Analysis from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System Results from Public Health District Sponsored Questions 2001 – 2008

December 2009



#### **Acknowledgments**

This project was funded in part by and in partnership with Idaho's seven Public Health Districts.

Additional funds were provided by a grant from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, number U58/CCU022801 and administered via a cooperative agreement with the Idaho Bureau of Vital Records and Health Statistics. The contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

The Idaho Department of Health and Welfare would like to thank the citizens of Idaho who have participated in the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System.

For more information on this project or any of the survey results, please contact the Bureau of Vital Records and Health Statistics at (208) 332-7326.


#### **Suggested Citation**

Analysis from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System: Results from Public Health District Sponsored Questions, 2001 – 2008. Boise: Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Health, Bureau of Vital Records and Health Statistics, 2009.

## **Table of Contents**

Title Page	i
Acknowledgments	ii
Table of Contents	iii
Map of Idaho Public Health Districts	iv
Introduction	1
Methodology	1
Data Reporting	2
Data Limitations	2
Sample Size	3
Demographics	4
Results from Public Health District Sponsored Questions	5
Source of Water Supply	6
Trend Graphs: Water Supply	7
Waste Water Disposal	8
Trend Graphs: Wastewater Disposal	9
Sex Education, Part I	10
Trend Graphs: Sex Education, Part I	11
Sex Education, Part II	12
Trend Graphs: Sex Education, Part II	13
Child Safety Seat and Seat Belt Use	14
Trend Graphs: Child Safety Seat and Seat Belt Use	15
Understanding the Data Tables	17
Table 1: Source of Water Supply	18
Table 2: Home Wastewater System	20
Table 3: Sex Education, Part I	22
Table 3: Sex Education, Part II	24
Table 4: Child Safety Seat and Seat Belt Use	26
Appendix B: Trend Tables	28
Appendix C: Public Health District Sponsored Questions, 2008	30

## **Map of Idaho Public Health Districts**



Panhandle Health District	North Central District Health Department	Southwest District Health	Central District Health Department	South Central District Health	Southeastern District Health Department	Eastern Idaho Public Health District
District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7
Benewah	Clearwater	Adams	Ada	Blaine	Bannock	Bonneville
Bonner	Idaho	Canyon	Boise	Camas	Bear Lake	Clark
Boundary	Latah	Gem	Elmore	Cassia	Bingham	Custer
Kootenai	Lewis	Owyhee	Valley	Gooding	Butte	Fremont
Shoshone	Nez Perce	Payette		Jerome	Caribou	Jefferson
		Washington		Lincoln	Franklin	Lemhi
				Minidoka	Oneida	Madison
				Twin Falls	Power	Teton

#### Introduction

The Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), an ongoing surveillance program developed and partially funded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, is designed to estimate the prevalence of risk factors for the major causes of death and disability in the United States. Idaho began participating in the BRFSS in 1984. Since then, the program has grown to encompass 50 states and several U.S. territories. Idaho's sample has grown significantly since its first year of participation. In 1984, the sample size was 612, and it has grown to 5,111 interviews completed in 2008<sup>1</sup>.

Beginning in 1997, Idaho's seven public health districts entered into a partnership with the Department of Health and Welfare to develop health district level estimates from the BRFSS. With the districts' participation, the Department was able to increase the sample size and produce district-level health behavior estimates. In addition, the Department provided the health districts with the opportunity to add five questions to the BRFSS that address their specific data needs. This report summarizes the 2008 BRFSS results for the five district-sponsored questions and examines trends since 2001 for the years data are available.

### Methodology

The BRFSS has been conducted as a random telephone survey of the non-institutionalized adult population since 1984. The 2008 survey was administered in every month of the calendar year. After annual data collection was completed, individual responses were weighted to be representative of the state's adult population, and analysis was performed on the weighted data.

Additional information regarding BRFSS methodology is available online at <a href="http://www.cdc.gov/brfss">http://www.cdc.gov/brfss</a>.

Sampling: Idaho used disproportionate stratified sampling for the 2008 BRFSS. The sample was stratified by Idaho's seven public health districts. Approximately 700 Idahoans were interviewed in each health district, resulting in a total sample size of 5,111. Interviews were conducted by telephone with interviewers using computer-assisted telephone interviewing (CATI) software to record responses.

Weighting: The data were weighted to the respondent's probability of selection as well for age and sex differences between the sample and population estimates. For example, some households have more than one telephone line and are more likely to be called. The weighting corrects for these differences in probability of selection.

*Analysis*: Idaho used SAS® software to manipulate data and create risk factors. SUDAAN® software was used to generate prevalence estimates, calculate the 95% confidence intervals used in the tables, and for statistical testing. This software takes into account the complex sampling design of the BRFSS. All "don't know," "not sure," and "refused" responses were excluded from analyses.

<sup>1</sup> Idaho Behavioral Risk Factors: Results from the 2008 Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. Boise: Idaho Department of Health and Welfare, Division of Health, Bureau of Vital Records and Health Statistics. 2009.

#### **Data Reporting**

Prevalence estimates are presented as the weighted percent of responses to a specific question. Prevalence estimates based on denominators with fewer than 50 respondents have been suppressed and are indicated in the data tables with an asterisk (\*). The BRFSS has adopted this standard to maintain a high degree of reliability. Differences between estimates are reported throughout the document. Estimates with non-overlapping 95 percent confidence intervals were deemed statistically significant. Any difference determined to be statistically significant is preceded by the words "significantly" or "statistically."

#### **Data Limitations**

Errors in estimation can result from BRFSS data being self-reported and certain behaviors possibly being underreported<sup>2</sup>. Another source of error is based on sampling. Each sample drawn will deviate somewhat from the population. Additional errors may occur based on the population from which the sample is drawn.

Ideally, all adults aged 18 and older would be potential respondents for the survey. In order to be cost effective, however, the sample is limited to adults aged 18 and older who are non-institutionalized, live in a household with a non-cellular telephone, and can communicate in either English or Spanish. This excludes people in prisons and dormitories, those who exclusively speak a language other than English or Spanish, those with only cellular telephones, and others who cannot communicate by telephone. Spanish interviewing for the Idaho BRFSS began in mid-year 2004 with 2005 as the first complete year that included Spanish interviews.

Telephone coverage varies by subpopulation. United States Census Bureau data indicate minorities and the poor are less likely to have a telephone in the home than are non-minorities and the affluent<sup>3</sup>. An estimated 95.5 percent of occupied households in Idaho had telephone service in 2006, the most recent year for which data are available<sup>4</sup>.

<sup>-</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Comparability Of Data: BRFSS 2008. Available from URL: http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/technical\_infodata/surveydata/2008/compare\_08.rtf (accessed 24 September 2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Bureau of the Census. Phoneless in America [Statistical brief]. Washington, DC: US Department of Commerce, Economics and Statistics Administration, Bureau of the Census, 1994. Publication no. SB/94-16. Available from URL: <a href="http://www.census.gov/apsd/www/statbrief/sb94\_16.pdf">http://www.census.gov/apsd/www/statbrief/sb94\_16.pdf</a> (accessed 24 September 2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Federal Communications Commission, Wireline Competition Bureau, Industry Analysis and Technology Division. 2008. Statistics of Communications Common Carriers 2005/2006. Available from URL: <a href="http://www.fcc.gov/wcb/iatd/socc.html">http://www.fcc.gov/wcb/iatd/socc.html</a> (accessed 24 September 2009).

## **Sample Size**

In 2008, Idaho completed 5,111 BRFSS interviews. Approximately 700 interviews were completed in each of the seven public health districts. The final sample size and its distribution among health districts are shown in the following table.

## 2008 Sample Size

				Public	Health D	istrict									
	Statewide	District 1	District District District District District District 7												
TOTAL	5,111	729	754	750	751	727	704	696							

The table on the following page contains weighted demographic information from the 2008 BRFSS (weighting explanation can be found in Methodology section on page 1). The demographics table describes the eligible survey population by public health district and selected demographic categories.

Statewide, the 35 to 54 age category represented the largest percentage (36.6 percent) of adults. More than 60 percent of Idaho adults were employed for wages, and more than two in five had an annual household income of \$50,000 or greater.

Adults without a high school education made up 10.1 percent of the Idaho adult population, and 30.2 percent had a four-year college degree or greater. None of these percentages changed significantly since 2007.

## Demographics

	2008	Weighte	ed Sam	ple Der	nograp	hics		
					c Health Di			
	Statewide	District 1	District 2	District 3	District 4	District 5	District 6	District 7
TOTAL	100.0	14.8	7.4	15.6	27.8	11.7	10.7	12.0
SEX								
Male	49.8	49.2	50.6	49.5	50.6	49.9	49.1	48.9
Female	50.2	50.8	49.4	50.5	49.4	50.2	50.9	51.1
AGE								
18-24	11.9	8.8	8.7	14.1	9.4	8.1	16.8	20.1
25-34	21.3			21.0	23.9	22.5		
35-44	18.0			18.7	20.7	17.5		
45-54	18.6	19.9	17.7	16.9	19.1	19.4	18.4	18.0
55-64	14.2	16.7	14.7	13.0	13.8	14.3	14.2	13.5
65+	15.9	18.5	19.2	16.3	13.2	18.3	16.0	14.3
18-34	33.2	27.8	33.8	35.2	33.3	30.5	35.0	38.0
35-54	36.6			35.2	39.8	37.0		
55+	30.1	35.2	33.9	29.2	27.0		30.2	
	30.1	33.2	33.3	23.2	27.0	52.5	30.2	27.0
SEX and AGE								
Male								
18-34	34.3	28.7	35.6	36.3	34.5	32.2	35.6	
35-54	37.1	37.2	32.2	35.8	40.6	37.3	35.3	
55+	28.6	34.1	32.2	27.8	24.9	30.5	29.1	27.0
Female								
18-34	32.2	26.9	31.9	34.0	32.0	28.9	34.4	38.2
35-54	36.1	36.9	32.6	35.4	38.9	36.6	34.3	33.3
55+	31.7	36.3	35.5	30.6	29.2	34.5	31.3	28.5
INCOME								
Less than \$15,000	8.4	8.6	11.0	9.8	5.9	6.9	9.3	11.0
\$15,000 - \$24,999	17.3	19.2	20.4	21.2	14.0	17.4	14.8	18.3
\$25,000 - \$34,999	14.8	11.6	13.7	17.4	14.1	16.0	18.6	12.6
\$35,000 - \$49,999	18.2	20.2	19.0	18.9	16.5	19.7	18.8	16.3
\$50,000 - \$74,999	18.0	17.9	19.2	16.8	17.7	19.6	16.9	18.7
\$75,000+	23.4	22.7	16.7	15.8	31.6	20.4	21.6	23.1
EMPLOYMENT								
Employed	61.4	59.9	56.4	58.9	64.1	65.3	60.4	60.1
Unemployed	4.7	3.8	5.6	7.4	5.7	2.6	2.6	3.1
Other**	34.0	36.3	38.0	33.7	30.2	32.2	37.0	36.8
EDUCATION								
K-11th Grade	10.1	9.2	9.8	16.6	6.4	12.7	11.0	8.5
12th Grade or GED	28.9	33.4	34.3	30.6	25.6	29.6	29.5	24.1
Some College	30.8		28.4	31.8	27.5	31.9	32.0	35.4
College Graduate+	30.2	25.4	27.6	21.1	40.6	25.9	27.6	32.1

# Results from Public Health District Sponsored Questions

## **Source of Water Supply**

#### Question: What is the main source of your home water supply?

In 2008, 28.7 percent of Idaho adults lived in households with water supplied by a well. This rate has not changed significantly since 2001.


Males and females were equally likely to live in households with well water (29.2 percent and 28.1 percent, respectively). Adults age 35 and older, however, were significantly more likely than younger adults to live in households with well water (31.5 percent vs. 23.0 percent).

Middle-income households (\$35,000 to \$74,999) were significantly more likely to have well water than other households (31.6 percent vs. 26.1 percent). Adults without a college degree were significantly more likely to report having well water than those with a college degree (30.7 percent vs. 23.9 percent). Hispanic ethnicity was not related to the prevalence of household well water.

Among those with well water, 92.5 percent disposed of their wastewater with a septic tank or private sewer system.


As in 2008, Health Districts 3, 5, and 7 had significantly higher rates of well-supplied water than the state overall, and District 4 had a significantly lower rate. The prevalence of households with well water was highest in Districts 3 and 5; the rate in District 5 was significantly higher than any district except District 3. Although rates in District 3 appear to be on a downward trend, the difference between rates in 2001 and 2008 was not statistically significant. No district changed significantly between 2007 and 2008 in the prevalence of households with well water.

#### Percent of Idaho adults with well water by public health district, 2008




### **Trend Graphs: Water Supply**


Percent of Idaho adults with well water, 2001 - 2008




Percent of District 1 adults with well water, 2001 - 2008




Percent of District 2 adults with well water, 2001 - 2008




Percent of District 3 adults with well water, 2001 - 2008




Percent of District 4 adults with well water, 2001 - 2008




Percent of District 5 adults with well water,



Percent of District 6 adults with well water, 2001 - 2008



Percent of District 7 adults with well water, 2001 - 2008



#### **Waste Water Disposal**

#### Question: How is the sewage or wastewater disposed of for your home?

This question was asked for the first time in 2008. More than a third (33.7 percent) of Idaho adults lived in a household where sewage or wastewater was disposed of with a septic tank or private sewer system. Males and females in general were equally likely to live in such households, but among those age 55 and older, significantly more males (43.1 percent) than females (35.6 percent) lived in households with a septic tank or private sewer system.


Regardless of gender, adults age 35 and older were significantly more likely than younger adults to live in households with a septic tank or private sewer system (37.0 percent vs. 26.6 percent).

Having a septic tank or private sewer system was not related to household income or employment status, but it was associated with education attained and ethnicity. Adults without a college degree were significantly more likely to live in a household with a septic tank or private sewer than were college graduates (35.4 percent vs. 30.0 percent). Non-Hispanic adults were significantly more likely to live in households with a septic tank or private sewer system than Hispanics (34.7 percent vs. 18.1 percent).


Among those with a septic tank or private sewer system, 79.1 percent used a well as their source of home water.

Health Districts 1, 3, and 5 had significantly higher percentages of households with a septic tank or private sewer system than the state as a whole. District 4 had a significantly lower percentage than both the state in general as well as any other district. Districts 1 and 5 had significantly more households with a septic tank or private sewer system than Districts 2 or 6.

## Percent of Idaho adults with septic tank or private sewer system by public health district, 2008



### **Trend Graphs: Wastewater Disposal**



#### Sex Education, Part I


Question: At what grade level do you think children should begin to receive comprehensive sex education in school? Comprehensive sex education includes not only discussions about reproductive systems and associated biological functions, but also sexual behavior; outcomes of sexual behavior including STD/AIDS education and prevention; talking with parents about sex; birth control methods, availability, and usage; and may include discussion on personal sexuality choices.


The percentage of Idaho adults who in 2008 believed there should be no sex education in schools remained steady at 7.3 percent, statistically similar to last year's 6.6 percent. Overall, males and females were equally likely to oppose sex education in schools, although significantly more men age 55 plus (12.7 percent) were opposed than women 55 plus (8.0 percent). Adults of both sexes age 35 plus were nearly two and a half times more likely to oppose sex education in schools than those 18 to 34 (9.2 percent vs. 3.8 percent).

Idahoans with household incomes between \$35,000 to \$49,999 were twice as likely to oppose sex education in schools than those with incomes less than \$15,000 (9.8 percent vs. 4.1 percent). Adults who were retired, students, homemakers, or unable to work were more than three times as likely to oppose sex education in schools as were the unemployed (8.6 percent vs. 2.5 percent). Employed adults were two and one-half times more likely to oppose sex education than unemployed adults.


Non-Hispanics were more than three times as likely to oppose sex education in schools than were Hispanics (7.7 percent vs. 2.4 percent). Opinions on sex education in schools were not associated with education level.


No district differed significantly from the statewide rate or changed significantly since 2005 in the percentage of adults who think there should be no comprehensive sex education in schools.






### Trend Graphs: Sex Education, Part I







Percent of District 1 adults who think there should be no comprehensive sex education in schools, 2005 - 2008




Percent of District 2 adults who think there should be no comprehensive sex education in schools, 2005 - 2008




Percent of District 3 adults who think there should be no comprehensive sex education in




Percent of District 4 adults who think there should be no comprehensive sex education in schools, 2005 - 2008




Percent of District 5 adults who think there should be no comprehensive sex education in schools, 2005 - 2008



Percent of District 6 adults who think there should be no comprehensive sex education in schools, 2005 - 2008



Percent of District 7 adults who think there should be no comprehensive sex education in schools, 2005 - 2008



#### Sex Education, Part II

Question: At what grade level do you think children should begin to receive comprehensive sex education in school? Comprehensive sex education includes not only discussions about reproductive systems and associated biological functions, but also sexual behavior; outcomes of sexual behavior including STD/AIDS education and prevention; talking with parents about sex; birth control methods, availability, and usage; and may include discussion on personal sexuality choices.


In 2008, among those who supported any sex education in school, 38.5 percent thought it should begin in seventh grade<sup>5</sup> or later. This rate has not changed significantly since 2005. Males (44.0 percent), however, were significantly more likely than females (33.0 percent) to think comprehensive sex education should not start before seventh grade.

There were no significant differences among age groups when both genders were considered. Among those 35 and older, however, males were significantly more likely than females to think comprehensive sex education should begin no sooner than seventh grade (46.6 percent vs. 32.0 percent).

Household income, employment, education, and ethnicity were not associated with the opinion that comprehensive sex education should begin in seventh grade or later.

Significantly more adults in District 6 than those statewide thought comprehensive sex education should not start until seventh grade or later. Most of the health districts had rates similar to one another with the exception of District 6 which was significantly higher than Districts 4 or 5. No district changed significantly since 2007 in the percentage of adults who think comprehensive sex education should begin in seventh grade or later.


## Percent of Idaho adults who think comprehensive sex education should begin in seventh grade or later by public health district, 2008



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Seventh grade was analyzed because of its developmental importance and its being the median of grades K-12.

-

#### Trend Graphs: Sex Education, Part II





25


2001

2002

2003








2004


2005


2006

2007

2008









Percent of District 4 adults who think comprehensive sex education should begin in seventh grade or later, 2005 - 2008


Percent of District 5 adults who think comprehensive sex education should begin in seventh grade or later, 2005 - 2008






Percent of District 6 adults who think comprehensive sex education should begin in seventh grade or later, 2005 - 2008

Percent of District 7 adults who think comprehensive sex education should begin in seventh grade or later, 2005 - 2008





#### **Child Safety Seat and Seat Belt Use**

Question: (Asked of those with any children in the household aged 15 and younger): How often does the [child age]-month/year old child in your household use a [if child age < 5 then "car safety seat", if child age 5 – 15 then "seat belt"] when they ride in a car?


Although there was a nominal increase to 9.8 percent in 2008 from 8.2 percent in 2007 in the statewide rate of children 15 and younger not always using a safety seat or seat belt, the increase was not statistically significant. The statewide percentage remained significantly lower than that in 2004.

Girls and boys in general were equally likely to not always use a safety seat or seat belt. Girls age five to 15, however, were three times more likely to not always use a safety seat or seat belt than were girls younger than five (12.3 percent vs. 3.6 percent).

Children of both genders age two and older were nearly ten times more likely than those under two to not always use a safety seat or seat belt in a car (11.6 percent vs. 1.2 percent). The rates for Hispanic and non-Hispanic children were not statistically different.


In Health District 6, the percentage of children 15 and under who did not always use a safety seat or seat belt was more than double the statewide rate. The rate in District 7 was nearly double the statewide rate. In contrast, the rate in District 4 was one-fourth the statewide rate, and the rate in District 2 was almost one-third the statewide rate. The rate in District 6 was significantly higher than those in Districts 1, 2, 3, and 4. The rate in District 7 was significantly higher than those in Districts 2 and 4.

In 2008, no district saw a significant change since 2007 in the percentage of children 15 and under who did not always use a safety seat or seat belt.



Note: Responses weighted to reflect Idaho's child population.

#### Trend Graphs: Child Safety Seat and Seat Belt Use



20.3

17.7

14.4

19.2

23.6

22.5

16.0

17.4

[This page included for spacing.]

### **Understanding the Data Tables**

The data tables on the following pages provide state and health district data for various demographic subcategories. An understanding of the tables is important to interpreting the data correctly.

- A. This title refers to the risk factor.
- B. The labels across the top of the table (i.e., Statewide and District) refer to the geographic region of residence.
- C. The labels on the left side of the table refer to the particular group the numbers represent. For example, the row labeled "Female" will contain data for women for the geographic region indicated at the top of the table.
- D. The shaded columns contain the prevalence (percent) of the risk factor.
- E. The two numbers in the column labeled "95% CI" are the lower and upper limits of the confidence interval. This interval can be interpreted to mean that there is a 95% certainty that the true prevalence of the risk factor falls within the confidence interval.
- F. "n" refers to the number of people sampled who responded to the question within the demographic group and geographic region indicated. It does NOT represent the number of people who have the risk factor in the population. An asterisk indicates that fewer than 50 people in the group responded (see Methodology on page 3).

					of Wa											
	В	Public Health District  Statewide District 1 District 2 District 3														
C		Statewide	<b>)</b>		Distric	t 1			Distr	ict 2			Distri	ct 3		
	%	95% CI	n	%	95% C	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	
TOTAL	28.7	27.0 30.4	4,793	28.7	24.6	33.3	680	31.6	27.3	36.2	705	36.2	31.7	41.0	702	
SEX																
Male	29.2	26.6 32.0	1,869	30.8	24.2 3	38.3	267	32.8	26.3	40.1	298	33.6	27.2	40.6	252	
Female	28.1	26.1 30.3	2,924	26.7	22.0	32.0	413	30.4	25.1	36.2	407	38.8	32.6	45.3	450	



**Table 1: Source of Water Supply** 

				our						_						
								ı	Public	Heal	th Dis	strict				
		State	wide			Distr	ict 1			Distr	ict 2			Distri	ct 3	
	%	95%	-	n	%	95%		n	%	95%		n	%	95%		n
TOTAL	28.7	27.0	30.4	4,793	28.7	24.6	33.3	680	31.6	27.3	36.2	705	36.2	31.7	41.0	702
SEX																
Male	29.2	26.6	32.0	1,869	30.8	24.2	38.3	267	32.8	26.3	40.1	298	33.6	27.2	40.6	252
Female	28.1	26.1	30.3	· ·	26.7	22.0	32.0	413	30.4	25.1	36.2	407	38.8	32.6	45.3	450
AGE				,-								-				
18-24	25.4	18.4	33.8	153	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
25-34	21.7	18.0	25.8	596	24.6	14.8	38.0	62	28.4	18.9	40.2	81	27.3	18.9	37.8	103
35-44	27.9	24.3	31.9		26.1	17.9	36.4	96	28.4	19.8	38.9	103	33.1	23.3	44.6	94
45-54	32.5	29.3	35.9	983	28.9	21.9	37.1	160	36.0	28.0	44.9	145	45.6	36.4	55.1	125
55-64	34.2	30.9	37.7	1,018	31.3	23.9	39.8	149	31.5	24.2	39.9	160	46.2	37.1	55.7	146
65+	31.7	28.9	34.8	1,288	25.6	19.3	33.2	185	33.4	26.3	41.2	198	42.2	34.7	50.1	207
				,												
18-34	23.0	19.5	26.9	749	30.4	20.1	43.1	83	29.9	20.6	41.2	98	26.4	17.7	37.3	123
35-54	30.3	27.8	32.8	1,704	27.6	22.1	34.0	256	32.5	26.5	39.2	248	39.2	32.3	46.6	219
55+	32.9	30.7	35.2	2,306	28.3	23.3	33.9	334	32.5	27.3	38.2	358	44.0	38.1	50.1	353
SEX and AGE																
Male																
18-34	22.7	17.6	28.8	275	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
35-54	29.2	25.4	33.3	678	29.1	20.4	39.7	96	34.1	25.4	44.1	115	40.0	29.2	51.8	83
55+	36.9	33.3	40.5	907	32.7	25.1	41.5	141	34.5	26.4	43.6	141	47.1	37.3	57.1	117
Female																
18-34	23.3	18.9	28.5	474	30.8	18.9	45.9	54	29.6	18.3	44.2	56	36.3	22.8	52.5	74
35-54	31.3	28.3	34.5	1,026	26.2	19.8	33.8	160	31.1	23.1	40.3	133	38.4	30.3	47.3	136
55+	29.3	26.7	32.2	1,399	24.1	18.1	31.2	193	30.7	24.4	37.7	217	41.3	34.5	48.4	236
INCOME																
Less than \$15,000	21.4	16.2	27.7	443	24.5	13.6	40.2	73	17.6	9.3	30.9	77	19.8	11.4	32.4	74
\$15,000 - \$24,999	27.8	23.3	32.9	776	27.1	17.3	39.7	113	32.3	22.2	44.4	122	33.7	24.4	44.5	140
\$25,000 - \$34,999	25.1	20.6	30.2	617	15.2	6.0	33.5	71	29.1	19.9	40.4	98	30.8	19.2	45.5	101
\$35,000 - \$49,999	31.7	27.8	35.8	811	41.8	30.6	53.9	105	27.5	19.3	37.5	125	31.6	23.2	41.4	124
\$50,000 - \$74,999	31.5	27.5	35.6	755	33.3	23.8	44.3	110	40.6	29.4	52.8	105	41.6	30.4	53.8	99
\$75,000+	27.2	23.9	30.8	880	22.6	15.7	31.5	128	35.6	25.9	46.6	110	52.3	39.5	64.7	85
<b>EMPLOYMENT</b>																
Employed	28.9	26.7	31.2	2,575	30.1	24.3	36.7	352	28.7	23.5	34.5	367	35.4	29.7	41.4	361
Unemployed	30.2	20.7	41.7		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Other**	28.1	25.6	30.8	2,052	24.8	19.6	30.8	299	35.1	28.0	42.9	309	39.8	32.5	47.6	312
EDUCATION																
K-11th Grade	30.8	24.7	37.8	366	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	25.8	16.1	38.7	83
12th Grade or GED	31.7	28.3	35.3		38.4	30.1	47.5	210	32.9	25.6	41.0	234	39.3	30.6	48.8	
Some College	29.8	27.0	32.9		24.1	18.4	31.0	231	31.0	24.2	38.8	213	35.2	27.7	43.5	217
College Graduate+	23.9	21.4	26.6		19.0	13.8	25.7	187	26.4	19.6	34.5	209	40.6	32.2	49.5	163

<sup>\*</sup>Figure not reliable by BRFSS standards (n<50)

<sup>\*\*</sup>Other includes students, homemakers, retirees, and persons unable to work

# Source of Water Supply Idaho Adults With Well Water, 2008

						!	Publi	с Неа	lth Di	strict						
		Distr	ict 4			Distr	ict 5			Distr	ict 6			Distr	ict 7	
	%	95%	G CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	G CI	n
TOTAL	13.0	10.3	16.1	700	45.6	40.9	50.5	680	30.1	25.5	35.2	663	35.6	30.8	40.6	663
SEX																
Male	12.0	8.4	16.9	272	49.9	42.2	57.6	268	32.1	24.8	40.4	237	37.4	29.8	45.8	275
Female	13.9	10.4	18.4	428	41.4	36.0	47.0	412	28.2	22.8	34.4	426	33.8	28.2	39.9	388
AGE																
18-24	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
25-34	5.9	2.4	14.1	85	47.3	34.3	60.7	71	27.2	17.6	39.5	89	18.6	11.7	28.2	105
35-44	13.8	8.2	22.3	140	48.1	37.4	59.0	100	28.4	19.0	40.1	81	42.4	32.9	52.4	107
45-54	14.0	9.0	21.1	138	47.8	38.9	56.8	148	34.4	25.8	44.2	135	45.5	36.5	54.8	132
55-64	19.0	12.8	27.3	156	49.7	40.7	58.8	135	31.4	23.9	40.0	155	47.2	37.6	57.0	117
65+	19.6	13.4	27.7	154	37.9	30.9	45.4	199	34.6	27.1	43.1	175	39.5	32.0	47.6	170
18-34	6.5	3.0	13.8	105	45.1	33.7	57.0	92	26.2	17.0	38.2	113	22.7	14.3	34.0	135
35-54	13.9	9.9	19.2	278	48.0	41.0	55.0	248	31.6	25.0	39.1	216	44.0	37.4	50.8	239
55+	19.3	14.7	24.9	310	43.3	37.6	49.1	334	33.1	27.6	39.1	330	43.3	37.4	49.7	287
SEX and AGE	13.3	14.7	24.3	310	43.3	37.0	43.1	334	33.1	21.0	39.1	330	43.3	37.1	45.7	201
Male																
18-34	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
35-54	12.7	7.0	21.9	107	48.4	37.5	59.5	90	26.4	17.2	38.1	83	38.7	29.4	49.0	104
55+	22.0	14.9	31.2	127	47.6	39.0	56.4	144	40.9	31.7	50.8	114	47.3	37.8	57.0	123
Female	22.0	14.5	31.2	127	47.0	39.0	30.4	144	40.3	31.7	30.0	114	47.5	37.0	37.0	123
18-34	9.5	3.7	22.3	68	36.5	24.7	50.1	60	21.3	11.7	35.6	74	16.6	9.5	27.4	88
35-54	15.1	10.3	21.8	171	47.5	39.2	55.9	158	36.8	28.1	46.5	133	49.2	40.3	58.2	135
55+	16.8	11.5	24.1	183	39.2	31.8	47.0	190	26.5	20.7	33.4	216	39.6	31.8	47.9	164
INCOME	10.0	11.0	27.1	100	00.2	01.0	47.0	150	20.0	20.7	00.∓	210	00.0	01.0	47.5	104
Less than \$15,000	*	*	*	*	31.4	16.4	51.6	59	27.6	12.2	51.2	61	31.6	15.2	54.5	50
\$15,000 - \$24,999	16.8	8.2	31.3	73	41.0	27.6	55.9	115	25.7	16.5	37.7	109	26.8	15.2	43.0	104
\$25,000 - \$34,999	10.8	6.0	18.4	85	42.8	31.0	55.4	92	35.9	22.4	52.0	97	27.3	17.2	40.6	73
\$35,000 - \$49,999	18.4	11.6	28.0	127	44.9	34.1	56.2	109	27.9	19.5	38.2	114	41.6	30.7	53.4	107
\$50,000 - \$74,999	11.2	5.7	20.9	105	49.7	38.7	60.8	108	27.8	19.1	38.4	103	39.3	30.3	49.1	125
\$75,000+	11.1	7.1	17.0	195	46.1	35.6	57.0	113	36.1	25.0	49.0	113	34.2	25.6	44.0	136
EMPLOYMENT													•			
Employed	11.4	8.3	15.4	395	49.6	43.3	55.8	387	33.2	26.8	40.4	340	37.5	31.5	43.8	373
Unemployed	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Other**	14.7	10.8	19.8	276	38.1	31.4	45.4	276	26.2	20.4	33.0	308	32.2	24.2	41.4	272
EDUCATION																
K-11th Grade	*	*	*	*	49.5	32.7	66.5	63	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
12th Grade or GED	14.8	9.1	23.2	158	45.1	36.2	54.3	189	30.8	22.4	40.8	201	33.5	25.0	43.3	164
Some College	14.0	9.5	20.0	204	46.8	39.2	54.5	235	34.0	25.8	43.4	219	38.7	29.8	48.4	222
College Graduate+	11.5	8.0	16.4	300	43.3	35.3	51.7	191	25.9	19.1	34.1	195	32.0	25.7	39.1	238

<sup>\*</sup>Figure not reliable by BRFSS standards (n<50)

<sup>\*\*</sup>Other includes students, homemakers, retirees, and persons unable to work

**Table 2: Home Wastewater System** 

#### Type of Home Wastewater System Idaho adults with septic tank or private sewer sytem, 2008 **Public Health District District 1** District 3 Statewide District 2 % 95% CI % 95% CI % 95% CI 95% CI n n n n **TOTAL** 33.7 32.0 35.5 4,692 46.5 41.8 51.2 670 28.6 37.4 693 41.6 36.9 681 SEX 37.7 1,843 48.5 56.1 266 31.2 25.0 38.1 Male 34.8 32.0 41.0 296 39.8 32.9 47.2 242 Female 50.0 404 29.1 397 439 32.6 30.5 34.8 2,849 44.4 38.9 34.6 40.6 43.3 37.0 49.8 AGE 18-24 32.0 23.3 42.1 134 25-34 28.3 576 52.0 26.0 38.3 74 29.8 40.6 102 24.0 20.1 37.8 25.4 59 16.6 20.9 35-44 31.5 27.7 35.5 704 37.8 28.0 48.8 97 34.7 25.3 45.4 101 37.9 27.4 49.8 91 45-54 38.8 42.4 968 50.2 41.8 58.6 160 34.0 26.3 42.7 143 48.3 38.9 57.9 122 35.3 55-64 43.2 46.8 1,001 57.7 49.0 66.0 143 37.0 29.2 45.6 159 55.8 46.5 64.8 144 39.6 53.3 200 65+ 35.6 32.6 38.7 1,277 43.0 35.3 51.0 184 36.5 29.5 44.1 199 45.3 37.6 18-34 26.6 22.6 30.9 710 45.0 33.0 57.6 79 27.4 18.3 38.8 90 31.8 22.2 43.3 118 35-54 35.2 32.6 37.8 1.672 44.5 37.8 51.3 257 34.3 28.1 41.0 244 43.0 35.7 50.6 213 39.2 55+ 49.8 327 36.7 358 50.1 36.9 41.6 2,278 43.9 55.7 31.4 42.3 44.0 56.2 344 **SEX and AGE** Male 18-34 28.1 21.9 35.1 262 35-54 33.9 30.0 38.2 671 44.3 33.9 55.2 33.9 25.2 43.8 114 44.8 33.3 56.8 80 96 55+ 46.8 901 53.1 61.7 140 29.0 54.4 114 43.1 39.5 44.3 37.1 46.0 141 44.4 64.2 Female 18-34 25.0 20.3 30.3 448 41.3 27.7 56.3 50 42.2 27.9 57.9 73 35-54 39.8 1,001 52.9 161 26.4 44.1 130 41.2 133 36.4 33.2 44.7 36.6 34.7 32.7 50.2 55+ 35.6 32.7 38.6 1,377 46.6 38.9 54.5 187 36.3 29.6 43.5 217 46.2 39.1 53.3 230 INCOME Less than \$15,000 23.4 19.4 22.5 415 36.3 13.2 17.9 30.0 23.2 51.8 68 9.7 34.9 73 35.8 69 35.6 40.5 33.8 755 48.4 60.7 107 25.4 122 30.0 137 \$15,000 - \$24,999 28.7 39.3 36.4 47.4 52.0 \$25,000 - \$34,999 609 28.3 37.3 31.5 100 28.4 23.8 33.6 16.4 44.3 71 27.1 48.8 96 19.8 46.1 \$35,000 - \$49,999 37.6 33.4 41.9 802 56.4 44.9 67.3 106 28.3 20.0 38.5 124 35.5 26.5 45.5 120 \$50,000 - \$74,999 753 48.0 59.0 33.0 104 50.3 36.5 32.4 40.8 37.2 110 23.3 44.4 38.6 61.9 \$75,000+ 85 32.3 28.7 36.1 873 44.9 35.0 55.2 128 39.3 29.4 50.2 110 56.0 43.0 68.3 **EMPLOYMENT Employed** 36.7 2.526 48.9 55.5 33.4 363 351 34.3 31.9 42.4 347 27.8 22.8 41.5 35.5 47.7 Unemployed 32.2 22.7 43.4 148 Other\*\* 35.7 304 32.9 30.2 2,013 41.1 297 45.8 302 42.8 35.4 50.7 34.8 47.8 38.2 31.1 **EDUCATION** K-11th Grade 31.9 25.6 39.0 349 29.5 18.8 43.1 80 12th Grade or GED 36.8 33.1 40.6 1,366 49.7 41.1 58.4 208 37.1 29.5 45.3 230 44.1 35.2 53.4 230 Some College 35.2 32.1 38.4 1,509 44.9 37.2 52.8 226 32.2 25.3 40.0 210 39.4 31.5 48.0 211

30.0

College Graduate+

27.3

1,461

32.9

43.0

35.2

51.2

185

26.8

20.3

34.4

205

49.5

40.6

58.5

160

<sup>\*</sup>Figure not reliable by BRFSS standards (n<50)

<sup>\*\*</sup>Other includes students, homemakers, retirees, and persons unable to work

## **Type of Home Wastewater System**

Idaho adults with septic tank or private sewer sytem, 2008

						I	Publi	с Неа	lth Di	strict						
		Distr	ict 4			Distr	ict 5			Distr	ict 6			Distr	ict 7	
	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n
TOTAL	15.5	12.4	19.3	689	46.4	41.8	51.0	658	33.8	29.0	38.9	653	38.5	33.6	43.6	648
SEX																
Male	14.6	9.9	21.0	268	52.6	45.1	59.9	263	36.8	29.3	45.1	237	42.7	34.9	50.9	271
Female	16.4	12.8	20.9	421	40.2	34.9	45.8	395	30.8	25.4	36.8	416	34.5	28.9	40.5	377
AGE																
18-24	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
25-34	9.0	4.3	17.5	84	38.8	27.0	52.1	69	30.6	20.6	42.8	88	21.1	13.7	31.1	100
35-44	13.1	8.1	20.4	138	53.6	42.5	64.5	94	31.5	21.5	43.5	80	43.5	33.9	53.7	103
45-54	18.9	12.8	26.9	136	52.5	43.4	61.5	145	41.5	32.3	51.3	131	44.7	35.7	54.1	131
55-64	23.2	16.3	31.9	154	52.8	43.6	61.8	131	41.8	33.5	50.6	153	48.7	39.1	58.5	117
65+	16.9	11.2	24.8	154	41.3	34.1	48.9	197	38.0	30.3	46.2	174	38.1	30.6	46.2	169
18-34	40.0	<b>5</b> 0	21.4	101	36.6	26.0	40.0	0.5	26.1	17.0	27.0	111	28.7	18.7	44.4	126
35-54	10.9 15.8	5.3 11.7	21.4	274	53.0	26.0 45.9	48.6 60.0	85 239	36.8	29.7	37.9 44.4	211	28.7 44.1	37.5	41.4 51.0	234
55+	20.2	15.5	25.9	308	46.5	40.7	52.4	328	39.8	34.1	44.4	327	44.1	37.5	49.7	286
	20.2	15.5	25.9	300	40.5	40.7	32.4	320	33.0	34.1	45.6	321	43.3	37.1	49.7	200
SEX and AGE																
Male	*				*		.	*	*							*
18-34		7.0	*	*		*				*	* 45 7	*	*	*	*	
35-54 55+	13.4	7.9	21.8 29.6	105 126	54.8	43.7 45.1	65.5	90 143	33.7 48.9	23.5 39.4	45.7 58.5	83 114	38.3 47.7	29.0	48.6	103 123
Female	20.6	13.8	29.6	120	53.9	45.1	62.4	143	46.9	39.4	58.5	114	47.7	38.2	57.4	123
18-34	10.4	4.6	21.9	65	27.2	16.9	40.8	57	20.8	12.0	33.4	72	15.6	8.3	27.3	82
35-54	18.3	12.9	25.2	169	51.1	42.4	59.6	149	39.9	31.0	49.6	128	50.1	41.0	59.2	o∠ 131
55+	19.9	13.8	27.7	182	39.5	32.0	47.4	185	32.0	25.6	39.2	213	39.2	31.4	47.5	163
INCOME	13.3	10.0	21.1	102	33.3	32.0	77.7	100	32.0	20.0	55.2	210	33.2	31.4	47.5	100
	*	*	*	*	22.5	44.7	20.7	F.4	26.0	40.0	E0.0		*	*	*	*
Less than \$15,000 \$15,000 - \$24,999	19.9	8.2	41.1	70	22.5 35.1	11.7 25.3	38.7 46.4	54 112	28.0	10.8 18.1	50.6 40.5	59 108	30.4	17.7	46.9	99
\$15,000 - \$24,999 \$25,000 - \$34,999	11.3	6.4	19.2	70 84	43.7	25.3 31.8	46.4 56.4	90	37.2	23.7	40.5 53.1	96	31.8	20.1	46.4	99 72
\$35,000 - \$34,999 \$35,000 - \$49,999	25.1	17.0	35.5	126	49.0	37.7	60.4	106	34.5	25.1	45.2	114	39.9	29.0	51.8	106
\$50,000 - \$74,999	15.0	8.6	24.9	107	54.2	42.9	65.0	108	33.6	24.2	44.5	101	40.7	31.5	50.6	125
\$75,000+	9.8	6.4	14.7	191	52.2	41.3	62.9	112	40.1	28.6	52.7	112	40.9	31.5	50.9	135
EMPLOYMENT	0.0	٠			<b>V</b>		02.0			20.0	02			01.0	00.0	.00
Employed	14.6	10.6	19.7	390	52.7	46.6	58.6	376	36.9	30.4	43.9	334	39.5	33.6	45.6	365
Unemployed	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Other**	18.1	13.4	24.0	272	34.3	28.2	41.0	267	29.9	23.7	37.0	306	37.1	28.4	46.7	265
EDUCATION																
K-11th Grade	*	*	*	*	45.4	30.4	61.4	59	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
12th Grade or GED	18.7	11.0	30.1	157	44.9	36.2	54.0	183	34.9	26.0	45.0	197	39.1	29.9	49.2	161
Some College	16.7	11.8	23.2	200	47.1	39.5	54.8	230	38.6	30.0	48.0	216	40.8	31.8	50.5	216
College Graduate+	13.9	10.1	18.9	296	47.4	39.1	55.9	184	31.1	24.2	39.0	195	34.8	28.4	41.8	236

<sup>\*</sup>Figure not reliable by BRFSS standards (n<50)

<sup>\*\*</sup>Other includes students, homemakers, retirees, and persons unable to work

Table 3: Sex Education, Part I

## **No Sex Education**

Idaho adults who think there should be no comprehensive sex education in schools. 2008

							, -	2008								
								ļ	Public	: Heal	th Di	strict				
		State	wide			Distr	ict 1			Distr	ict 2			Distri	ct 3	
	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n
TOTAL	7.3	6.4	8.4	4,340	8.3	5.9	11.6	610	5.1	3.5	7.4	634	8.6	6.4	11.6	647
SEX																
Male	8.1	6.7	9.9	1,692	11.6	7.4	17.7	243	6.3	3.8	10.3	269	10.1	6.6	15.2	228
Female	6.5	5.4	7.8	2,648	4.9	3.0	7.9	367	4.0	2.3	6.7	365	7.2	4.7	10.8	419
AGE																
18-24	4.7	2.1	9.9	154	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
25-34	3.3	2.0	5.4	564	6.5	2.0	19.2	54	2.0	0.5	7.7	78	4.7	1.7	12.5	101
35-44	6.5	4.7	9.0	694	9.0	4.3	17.8	95	3.2	1.0	10.0	97	11.6	6.0	21.2	91
45-54	10.2	7.9	13.0	906	6.2	3.0	12.2	146	5.7	2.9	11.0	136	11.7	6.6	19.9	116
55-64	9.9	7.7	12.7	925	7.6	3.7	14.8	136	7.4	3.9	13.5	141	11.7	6.7	19.6	138
65+	10.7	8.7	13.0	1,073	10.1	5.6	17.5	154	11.9	6.3	21.5	163	10.2	6.0	17.0	175
18-34	3.8	2.4	5.9	718	8.2	3.3	19.0	74	1.5	0.4	5.9	96	4.2	1.6	10.6	123
35-54	8.3	6.8	10.2	1,600	7.5	4.5	12.4	241	4.6	2.5	8.2	233	11.7	7.6	17.6	207
55+	10.3	8.7	12.0	1,998	8.8	5.6	13.6	290	9.8	6.1	15.5	304	11.0	7.5	15.8	313
SEX and AGE																
Male																
18-34	3.8	1.9	7.7	265	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
35-54	9.1	6.7	12.1	631	11.3	6.0	20.5	92	5.3	2.2	11.9	106	12.4	6.4	22.6	77
55+	12.7	10.2	15.7	791	11.9	6.6	20.5	125	15.1	8.4	25.6	122	16.1	9.7	25.6	100
Female																
18-34	3.8	2.2	6.4	453	*	*	*	*	3.2	0.8	12.1	55	4.2	1.2	13.5	74
35-54	7.6	5.8	9.9	969	3.7	1.7	7.8	149	4.0	1.7	8.9	127	11.0	6.2	18.6	130
55+	8.0	6.3	10.2	1,207	5.8	3.0	11.0	165	4.7	2.4	9.0	182	6.5	3.8	10.7	213
INCOME																
Less than \$15,000	4.1	2.6	6.4	398	6.8	2.6	17.0	61	1.7	0.4	7.0	73	2.1	0.6	6.8	63
\$15,000 - \$24,999	7.0	4.8	10.1	685	6.4	2.8	14.1	102	9.2	4.1	19.5	105	4.0	1.8	9.0	132
\$25,000 - \$34,999	7.5	5.0	11.3	563	10.5	3.6	26.7	66	2.6	0.6	10.2	88	5.3	2.2	12.3	96
\$35,000 - \$49,999	9.8	7.3	13.1	740	14.6	6.9	28.3	98	7.4	3.7	14.4	108	16.1	9.2	26.6	116
\$50,000 - \$74,999	6.1	4.4	8.5	705	2.2	0.6	8.2	98	4.1	1.6	9.9	103	5.6	2.4	12.2	94
\$75,000+	7.1	5.3	9.5	830	8.7	4.6	15.9	121	3.6	1.3	9.6	104	13.3	6.4	25.7	82
EMPLOYMENT																
Employed	7.0	5.8	8.4	2,395	8.8	5.5	13.8	326	4.7	2.9	7.6	341	9.4	6.4	13.8	342
Unemployed	2.5	1.1	5.7	147	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Other**	8.6	7.0	10.5	1,794	8.2	5.1	13.0	258	6.0	3.3	10.8	265	9.3	5.9	14.4	276
EDUCATION																
K-11th Grade	5.0	2.9	8.5	319	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	7.3	2.5	19.7	75
12th Grade or GED	7.4	5.7	9.7		10.6	5.8	18.5	188	5.3	2.9	9.3	206	8.2	4.7	14.1	219
Some College	7.9	6.2	10.0			5.0	14.8	208	3.3	1.7	6.5	195	8.8	5.4	13.9	201
College Graduate+	7.1	5.7	8.9			3.6	12.8	170	4.4	2.2	8.8	193	10.0	5.6	17.3	152

<sup>\*</sup>Figure not reliable by BRFSS standards (n<50)

<sup>\*\*</sup>Other includes students, homemakers, retirees, and persons unable to work

## **No Sex Education**

Idaho adults who think there should be no comprehensive sex education in schools, 2008

						1	Publi	с Неа	lth Di	strict						
		Distr	ict 4			Distr	ict 5			Distr	ict 6			Distr	ict 7	
	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n
TOTAL	5.4	3.8	7.6	658	7.2	5.3	9.8	599	9.6	6.8	13.5	601	8.3	5.8	11.9	591
SEX																
Male	4.5	2.6	7.9	252	7.0	4.5	10.9	243	11.1	6.7	17.9	214	9.5	5.3	16.4	243
Female	6.2	4.0	9.5	406	7.4	4.9	11.1	356	8.2	5.2	12.7	387	7.3	4.9	10.6	348
AGE																
18-24	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
25-34	1.3	0.2	8.7	83	3.2	0.9	10.4	64	3.6	0.9	13.6	84	4.9	2.1	10.9	100
35-44	4.9	2.2	10.5	135	1.7	0.4	7.5	97	2.7	0.7	10.7	78	10.8	5.7	19.3	101
45-54	9.6	4.9	17.8	128	15.3	9.4	23.9	136	13.1	7.1	22.9	124	9.7	5.2	17.3	120
55-64	11.3	6.2	19.8	143	7.1	3.4	14.4	119	12.7	7.6	20.4	143	9.4	4.7	17.6	105
65+	6.0	3.1	11.2	142	13.5	8.8	20.2	158	16.2	10.5	24.3	146	13.1	7.9	20.9	135
18-34	0.9	0.1	6.3	105	2.3	0.7	7.7	83	7.4	2.9	17.8	108	5.0	1.6	14.8	129
35-54	7.0	4.2	11.6	263	8.7	5.4	13.7	233	8.2	4.6	14.1	202	10.2	6.6	15.6	221
55+	8.8	5.5	13.6	285	10.4	7.1	15.0	277	14.5	10.4	19.8	289	11.1	7.4	16.4	240
SEX and AGE																
Male																
18-34	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
35-54	6.5	2.8	14.3	100	9.9	5.0	18.8	86	9.3	3.8	20.7	76	9.5	4.7	18.3	94
55+	8.5	4.2	16.6	114	10.8	6.5	17.6	125	18.8	11.8	28.7	100	13.1	7.4	22.1	105
Female																
18-34	2.0	0.3	12.9	68	5.0	1.5	15.5	53	7.2	2.2	21.5	70	3.1	1.2	7.7	85
35-54	7.6	4.0	14.0	163	7.5	4.0	13.6	147	7.1	3.6	13.5	126	10.9	6.2	18.4	127
55+	9.0	4.9	15.9	171	10.0	5.7	17.0	152	10.7	6.9	16.1	189	9.3	5.2	16.1	135
INCOME																
Less than \$15,000	2.2	0.5	8.8	51	*	*	*	*	8.5	3.2	21.0	58	*	*	*	*
\$15,000 - \$24,999	4.4	1.6	11.8	66	6.7	2.8	15.1	96	9.6	3.8	22.4	96	13.8	4.8	33.7	88
\$25,000 - \$34,999	4.7	1.2	16.4	77	12.2	6.3	22.3	80	14.8	6.3	30.9	90	3.7	1.0	12.5	66
\$35,000 - \$49,999	6.4	2.8	14.0	120	8.6	4.2	16.8	98	4.4	1.9	9.8	105	10.7	5.3	20.6	95
\$50,000 - \$74,999	7.1	3.3	14.6	102	7.9	3.8	15.7	101	6.7	3.4	12.8	92	8.2	4.5	14.7	115
\$75,000+	5.1	2.7	9.3	184	3.9	1.6	9.0	106	10.3	4.6	21.4	109	7.8	4.1	14.3	124
<b>EMPLOYMENT</b>																
Employed	4.7	2.8	7.5	372	6.3	4.1	9.5	356	9.2	5.4	15.0	315	7.6	5.1	11.1	343
Unemployed	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Other**	7.5	4.5	12.3	260	8.8	5.5	13.8	231	10.9	7.2	16.3	271	9.5	4.8	18.1	233
EDUCATION																
K-11th Grade	*	*	*	*	4.2	1.6	10.6	53	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
12th Grade or GED	5.9	2.8	12.1	148	5.1	2.6	10.1	165	12.1	6.4	21.9	179	4.0	1.9	8.3	143
Some College	5.3	2.7	10.1	192	10.2	6.5	15.6	209	6.2	2.7	14.0	196	12.2	6.7	21.1	196
College Graduate+	5.3	3.2	8.8	282	7.3	4.0	13.0	171	10.7	6.8	16.6		8.5	5.4	13.2	218

<sup>\*</sup>Figure not reliable by BRFSS standards (n<50)

<sup>\*\*</sup>Other includes students, homemakers, retirees, and persons unable to work

Table 3: Sex Education, Part II

# Sex Education in 7th Grade+ Idaho adults who think comprehensive sex education should begin in 7th grade or later, 2008

								I	Public	Heal	th Di	strict				
		State	wide			Distr	ict 1			Distr	ict 2			Distri	ict 3	
	%	95%		n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%		n	%	95%	CI	n
TOTAL	38.5	36.4	40.6	3,995	38.7	33.9	43.8	563	38.0	33.1	43.2	598	40.1	34.8	45.6	589
SEX																
Male	44.0	40.6	47.5	1,534	47.6	39.4	55.9	218	49.8	41.9	57.7	250	45.1	36.6	54.0	200
Female	33.0	30.5	35.6	2,461	30.4	24.9	36.4	345	26.5	21.3	32.4	348	35.3	29.3	41.7	389
AGE																
18-24	42.6	33.7	52.0	147	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
25-34	34.6	29.8	39.7	542	37.3	23.9	52.8	51	34.9	24.5	46.9	76	41.6	31.5	52.4	97
35-44	35.4	31.2	39.9	652	36.8	26.4	48.5	87	29.1	19.7	40.7	94	39.1	28.0	51.5	81
45-54	43.4	39.4	47.5	824	43.8	35.0	53.0	136	35.0	26.6	44.5	127	39.3	29.5	50.1	104
55-64	37.4	33.7	41.3	847	31.7	23.9	40.7	128	36.2	27.5	46.0	131	40.6	31.0	51.0	123
65+	40.1	36.5	43.8	962	47.2	38.2	56.3	140	37.7	29.8	46.4	151	38.4	30.1	47.5	159
18-34	37.6	33.1	42.3	689	35.5	24.0	49.0	69	43.9	33.4	55.0	94	41.2	30.7	52.6	118
35-54	39.3	36.3	42.4	1,476	40.4	33.5	47.8	223	32.3	25.8	39.5	221	39.2	31.5	47.5	185
55+	38.8	36.1	41.4	· '	39.4	33.2	46.0	268	37.0	31.0	43.5	282	39.5	33.0	46.3	282
SEX and AGE	00.0	00.1	71.7	1,000	00.4	00.2	40.0	200	07.0	01.0	+0.0	202	00.0	00.0	40.0	202
Male																
18-34	40.0	33.1	47.4	256	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
35-54	45.8	41.0	50.7	577	49.8	38.1	61.5	82	38.5	28.7	49.4	100	46.2	33.7	59.3	67
55+	47.7	43.5	51.9	696	55.7	46.0	65.1	113	49.1	39.0	59.2	100	40.6	29.7	52.5	84
Female	47.7	45.5	31.3	090	33.7	40.0	03.1	113	43.1	39.0	39.2	109	40.0	25.1	32.3	04
18-34	34.9	29.5	40.9	433	*	*	*	*	26.3	16.0	39.9	53	35.5	23.6	49.5	71
35-54	32.9	29.4	36.6	899	31.8	24.3	40.4	141	26.7	18.8	36.4	121	32.4	23.9	42.3	118
55+	30.9	27.8	34.2		24.4	17.6	32.8	155	26.6	20.1	34.3	173	38.6	31.2	46.6	198
INCOME	00.0	27.0	01.2	1,110		11.0	02.0	.00		20.1	01.0	170	00.0	01.2	10.0	100
Less than \$15,000	38.9	31.6	46.6	373	34.4	21.3	50.4	56	38.4	23.9	55.4	71	58.3	41.4	73.4	60
\$15,000 - \$24,999	34.5	29.0	40.4	631	43.3	31.1	56.5	94	41.1	29.0	54.3	96	28.7	19.6	39.9	125
\$25,000 - \$34,999	37.9	31.7	44.5	522	25.4	14.9	39.7	61	37.4	26.2	50.0	86	39.7	26.7	54.4	89
\$35,000 - \$49,999	40.3	35.7	45.0	671	52.1	39.7	64.2	89	35.8	25.2	48.0	98	40.5	30.3	51.7	99
\$50,000 - \$74,999	39.9	35.2	44.8	656	33.0	23.0	44.9	95	42.2	30.2	55.3	98	41.0	28.9	54.2	88
\$75,000+	36.2	31.9	40.8	768	34.6	25.1	45.5	110	31.2	21.8	42.4	100	35.8	24.2	49.3	74
EMPLOYMENT	00.2	00		. 00	00		.0.0		•			.00	55.5		.0.0	
Employed	37.2	34.5	40.0	2,218	38.2	31.6	45.3	302	34.1	28.0	40.9	322	40.6	33.9	47.7	310
Unemployed	41.0	29.9	53.2		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Other**	40.4	37.0		1,634	38.5	31.6	46.0	236	43.5	35.2	52.0	250	37.5	29.7	46.0	250
EDUCATION				,				,								
K-11th Grade	42.8	35.0	50.9	295	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	36.4	23.1	52.2	70
12th Grade or GED	36.4	32.4	40.6		38.8	30.1	48.4	172	32.0	24.1	41.2	193	35.5	26.5	45.7	202
Some College	37.4	33.7				24.0	39.1	190	36.8	28.9	45.4	186	43.0	33.5	53.0	180
College Graduate+	40.0	36.5	43.6	· ·		32.3	49.7	159	36.6	28.3		184	45.0	35.7	54.7	137

<sup>\*</sup>Figure not reliable by BRFSS standards (n<50)

<sup>\*\*</sup>Other includes students, homemakers, retirees, and persons unable to work

## Sex Education in 7th Grade+

Idaho adults who think comprehensive sex education should begin in 7th grade or later, 2008

							Publi	c Hea	lth Di	strict						
		Distr	ict 4			Distr	ict 5			Distr	ict 6			Distr	ict 7	
	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	G CI	n	%	95%	G CI	n
TOTAL	33.5	29.1	38.2	618	35.2	30.1	40.7	547	47.0	41.0	53.2	542	43.8	37.9	50.0	538
SEX																
Male	34.5	27.6	42.1	238	43.0	34.7	51.6	219	50.8	41.6	60.0	189	54.1	44.7	63.2	220
Female	32.5	27.2	38.3	380	26.9	21.7	32.9	328	43.5	35.5	51.9	353	34.4	27.3	42.2	318
AGE																
18-24	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
25-34	25.7	16.8	37.1	82	39.4	26.0	54.6	61	39.0	27.7	51.7	81	41.0	29.8	53.3	94
35-44	34.4	25.9	44.0	128	22.9	15.0	33.4	95	38.2	27.3	50.5	76	46.7	36.3	57.5	91
45-54	46.3	36.7	56.2	118	36.1	26.7	46.7	119	54.4	44.2	64.2	111	43.9	34.1	54.1	109
55-64	35.8	27.3	45.3	131	34.6	25.5	45.0	111	43.3	34.0	53.1	128	44.0	33.7	54.9	95
65+	36.3	28.1	45.4	133	40.4	31.8	49.7	136	41.5	32.3	51.3	123	40.4	31.6	50.0	120
18-34	25.8	18.0	35.5	104	40.6	28.8	53.7	80	50.5	37.7	63.3	102	43.6	31.6	56.5	122
35-54	39.8	33.2	46.7	246	29.2	22.8	36.6	214	46.2	38.4	54.2	187	45.3	38.0	52.7	200
55+	36.1	30.0	42.6	264	37.5	31.1	44.4	247	42.4	35.8	49.3	251	42.4	35.4	49.7	215
SEX and AGE																
Male																
18-34	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
35-54	46.1	35.7	56.8	94	35.2	24.7	47.5	78	48.0	35.6	60.6	70	52.6	41.6	63.3	86
55+	41.0	31.5	51.3	106	49.8	39.9	59.7	109	55.9	44.5	66.6	83	48.6	37.8	59.6	92
Female																
18-34	32.9	22.4	45.5	67	33.6	21.6	48.1	50	50.8	33.4	67.9	66	30.6	17.9	47.2	80
35-54	33.2	25.6	41.9	152	23.1	16.5	31.3	136	44.6	35.1	54.6	117	37.9	28.9	47.8	114
55+	31.7	24.4	40.1	158	24.7	17.5	33.7	138	31.9	24.7	40.1	168	36.5	28.0	45.9	123
INCOME																
Less than \$15,000	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	59.8	36.6	79.3	51	*	*	*	*
\$15,000 - \$24,999	24.5	13.7	39.9	61	41.1	25.6	58.6	87	37.6	25.2	51.9	89	39.4	22.2	59.8	79
\$25,000 - \$34,999	31.1	19.7	45.3	74	32.7	21.3	46.5	70	62.9	46.4	76.8	79	38.5	21.5	58.9	63
\$35,000 - \$49,999	32.5	23.4	43.0	112	39.3	27.7	52.2	89	41.7	30.2	54.2	98	47.0	34.8	59.6	86
\$50,000 - \$74,999	40.4	29.4	52.5	95	30.2	19.9	42.8	93	43.8	32.4	55.8	83	51.0	40.1	61.8	104
\$75,000+	32.8	25.3	41.3	172	32.1	22.3	43.8	100	43.4	30.5	57.4	99	50.4	38.9	61.9	113
EMPLOYMENT																
Employed	31.3	25.8	37.4	353	33.9	27.5	40.9	328	46.9	39.4	54.4	289	44.0	36.7	51.4	314
Unemployed	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Other**	37.0	29.9	44.6	240	38.1	30.1	46.9	209	50.1	40.1	60.2	239	44.1	33.4	55.3	210
EDUCATION																
K-11th Grade	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
12th Grade or GED	29.6	21.1	39.6	140	34.8	25.6	45.4	155	48.3	37.9	58.9	159	44.9	32.3	58.2	135
Some College	30.6	23.5	38.9	181	34.4	26.5	43.2	185	48.5	37.8	59.3	186	44.0	33.3	55.2	174
College Graduate+	38.1	31.2	45.5	263	34.2	26.1	43.5	158	42.3	33.4	51.8	160	45.1	36.9	53.5	197

<sup>\*</sup>Figure not reliable by BRFSS standards (n<50)

<sup>\*\*</sup>Other includes students, homemakers, retirees, and persons unable to work

Table 4: Child Safety Seat and Seat Belt Use

## **Child Safety Seat and Seat Belt Use**

Idaho children aged 15 and younger who did not always use a safety seat or seat belt when riding in a car, 2008

				44116	11 110	iiiig i	ıı a v	Jui,	2000	,						
									Publi	с Неа	lth D	istrict				
		State			Distr	ict 1			Distr	ict 2		District 3				
	%	95%	CI	n	%	95% CI		n	%	95% CI		n	%	95% CI		n
TOTAL	9.8	<b>9.8</b> 8.0 12.0 1,276		7.1	3.7	13.1	148	3.6	1.7	7.5	166	8.8	5.0	15.1	185	
SEX																
Male	10.5	7.8	13.9	649	5.3	2.4	11.4	84	2.2	0.8	5.9	86	13.4	7.1	23.9	83
Female	9.2	6.9	12.0		9.1	3.6	21.2	64	5.0	1.8	13.0			1.0	12.4	102
AGE																
Less than 2	1.2	0.3	4.0	196	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
2-7	8.6	5.7	12.6	425	*	*	*	*	3.3	0.7	15.0	53	6.5	2.1	18.4	67
8-12	11.7	8.4	16.1	389	*	*	*	*	1.8	0.4	7.2	54	13.0	5.3	28.3	57
13-15	17.3	12.3	23.9	266	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
0-4	4.5	2.4	8.2	393	*	*	*	*	0.8	0.1	5.6	52	4.0	1.0	14.8	56
5-15	12.7	10.3	15.6	883	8.0	4.0	15.2	106	5.0	2.2	10.9			6.1	20.3	129
SEX and AGE																
Male																
0-4	5.5	2.3	12.3	200	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5-15	13.1	9.6	17.5		6.6	2.8	14.9	64	2.6	8.0	8.2	54	16.2	7.9	30.3	60
Female																
0-4	3.6	1.5	8.1	193	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
5-15	12.3	9.1	16.3	434	*	*	*	*	7.5	2.7	18.9	60	5.8	1.6	18.8	69

<sup>\*</sup>Figure not reliable by BRFSS standards (N<50)

 $<sup>\</sup>ensuremath{^{\star\star}}\xspace\ensuremath{^{\circ}}\xspace\en$ 

## **Child Safety Seat and Seat Belt Use**

Idaho children aged 15 and younger who did not always use a safety seat or seat belt when riding in a car, 2008

						iiig i		c Hea									
	District 4					Distr	ict 5			Distr	ict 6		District 7				
	%	95% CI		n	%	95% CI		n	%	95% CI		n	%	95% CI		n	
TOTAL	2.4	0.8	6.9	217	10.6	6.4	17.2	174	23.6	16.5	32.5	188	17.7	12.1	25.1	198	
SEX																	
Male	3.4	0.8	12.8	105	9.1	4.1	18.8	84	23.6	13.6	37.8	102	17.7	10.1	29.1	105	
Female	1.3	0.3	5.5	112	12.3	6.3	22.7	_			35.4			_	28.4	93	
AGE																	
Less than 2	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
2-7	1.2	0.2	7.9	85	2.5	0.6	9.8	59	19.7	8.8	38.4	58	24.2	13.7	39.1	66	
8-12	0.7	0.1	5.0	63	17.2	8.2	32.6	59	31.3	18.4	47.8	57	17.0	8.3	31.6	54	
13-15	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
0-4	0.0	*	*	66	*	*	*	*	18.4	7.5	38.6	64	3.6	0.8	14.5	64	
5-15	3.6	1.2	10.3	151	15.0	8.9	24.2	125	26.4	18.1	36.8			18.1	36.7	134	
SEX and AGE																	
Male																	
0-4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
5-15	5.1	1.3	18.5	68	14.0	6.4	27.8	60	20.6	11.4	34.5	72	28.8	17.1	44.2	71	
Female																	
0-4	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
5-15	2.0	0.5	8.2	83	16.1	7.9	30.0	65	32.6	20.1	48.4	52	23.9	13.6	38.5	63	

<sup>\*</sup>Figure not reliable by BRFSS standards (N<50)

 $<sup>^{\</sup>star\star}\textsc{Other}$  includes students, homemakers, retirees, and persons unable to work

## **Appendix B: Trend Tables**

Trends of Risk Factors  By Public Health District, 2001-2008																			
			Ву	Pub	lic F	lealt	h Dis	stric											
						Public Health District													
		Statewide					Distr	ict 1			Distr	ict 2		District 3					
		%	95%		n	% 95% CI n				%	95%		n	% 95% CI n					
Well Water	2001	30.6	29.2		4,662	30.5	26.8	34.6	655	26.0	22.6	29.7	654	42.5	38.3	46.7	657		
	2002 2003	29.8 30.5	28.3 29.0		4,772	32.4	28.7	36.4	713 676	29.1 29.8	25.4 25.9	33.0	692 646	42.9 41.6	38.7 37.4	47.2	681 671		
	2003	30.3	28.8		4,763 4,993	32.8 31.0	27.2	36.8 35.0	688	26.0	25.9	34.0 29.6	728	40.6	36.5	45.9 44.8	703		
	2004	28.0	26.5		5,313	26.4	22.9	30.4	848	25.2	21.7	29.0	736	39.3	35.0	43.7	703		
	2006	28.3	26.7		5,075	22.7	19.4	26.4	710	25.8	22.0	29.9	717	36.8	32.8	41.0	748		
	2007	27.7	26.1		4,950	27.1	23.2	31.3	705	27.8	23.5	32.6	724	40.1	35.3	45.1	705		
	2007	28.7	27.0		4,793	28.7	24.6	33.3	680	31.6	27.3	36.2	705	36.2	31.7	41.0	702		
Septic Tank or	2001	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
Private Sewer	2002	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
	2003	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
	2004	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
	2005	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
	2006	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
	2007	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
	2008	33.7	32.0	35.5	4,692	46.5	41.8	51.2	670	32.8	28.6	37.4	693	41.6	36.9	46.5	681		
No Sex Education	2001	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
	2002	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
	2003	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
	2004	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
	2005	5.8	5.1	6.7	4,822	5.9	4.4	7.9	773	3.6	2.3	5.6	667	6.8	5.0	9.2	651		
	2006	6.6	5.8		4,585	8.1	5.5	11.6	649	7.6	5.6	10.4	657	6.3	4.6	8.6	677		
	2007	6.6	5.7	7.6	l '	6.9	4.9	9.5	630	5.4	3.7	8.0	661	9.0	5.9	13.4	627		
Sex Education in	2008	7.3	6.4	8.4	4,340	8.3	5.9	11.6	610	5.1	3.5	7.4	634	8.6	6.4	11.6	647		
7th Grade +	2001	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
	2002	*		*	*	*		*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*			
	2003	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
	2004 2005									37.4		42.3		37.3					
	2005	40.5 38.0	38.5 36.1		4,510 4,257	43.8 39.0	38.9 34.3	48.9 44.0	722 597	34.5	32.7 29.7	39.7	640 606		32.7 31.4	42.2 40.1	605 635		
	2007	39.2	37.1		4,134	36.8	31.9	41.9		39.3	34.1	44.8	623	45.7	40.5	50.9	575		
	2007	38.5	36.4		3,995	38.7	33.9	43.8	563		33.1	43.2	598		34.8	45.6	589		
No Child Car	2001	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
Seat/Seat Belt	2001	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
	2003	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
	2004	14.9	13.1	17.0	1,728	9.6	6.0	15.1	230	11.3	7.3	17.1	202	13.0	9.0	18.5	266		
	2005	10.4	8.8		1,552	5.2	2.8	9.4	213		6.8	17.9	187	7.9	4.4	13.7	211		
	2006	12.6	10.7		1,498		4.1	13.8			9.0	21.8	170		6.3	14.1	248		
	2007	8.2	6.7		1,399		2.8	11.3			2.0	9.4	171	9.2	5.6	14.9	211		
	2008	9.8	8.0		1,276		3.7	13.1	148	3.6	1.7	7.5	166	8.8	5.0	15.1	185		

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates data are not available for that year

## **Appendix B: Trend Tables**

Trends of Risk Factors  By Public Health District, 2001-2008																	
			Ву	Pub	lic F	lealt	h Dis	stric	t, 20	01-2	800						
							F	Public	с Неа	lth D	istrict						
		District 4					Distr	ict 5			Distr	ict 6			Distr	ict 7	
		% 95% CI n		%	95%	CI	n	%	95%	CI	n	%	95% CI		n		
Well Water	2001	17.0	14.1	20.4	666	43.0	38.9	47.3	667	33.0	29.2	37.0	683	35.4	31.6	39.5	680
	2002	16.3	13.5	19.6	689	39.4	35.2	43.8	617	26.3	22.9	30.0	694	34.8	30.8	39.1	686
	2003	15.7	12.8	19.2	672	40.0	36.0	44.2	708	29.0	25.4	32.9	684	40.4	36.2	44.7	706
	2004	18.1	15.2	21.4	734	38.3	34.2	42.6	682	30.6	26.9	34.5	749	38.7	34.7	42.9	709
	2005	16.9	13.7	20.6	717	39.1	35.3	43.1	858	25.3	21.6	29.3	711	34.3	30.3	38.5	715
	2006	18.2	14.6	22.6	737	41.9	37.4	46.6	714	29.7	25.9	33.8	731	34.3	30.2	38.5	718
	2007	13.7	11.1	16.8	705	37.9	33.7	42.4	698	28.7	24.7	33.1	712	33.6	29.5	38.0	701
Septic Tank or	2008	13.0	10.3	16.1	700 *	45.6	40.9	50.5	680	30.1	25.5	35.2	663	35.6	30.8	40.6	663
Private Sewer	2001	*		*	*	*		*	*				*		*	*	*
	2002	*		*	*	*		*	*	*				*	*		
	2003	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2004	*		*	*	*	*	*	*			*	*		*	*	*
	2005	Î		*	*	Î		*	*	ı				_	•	*	*
	2006	,		*	*	*		*	*	*			*	*	*	*	*
	2007	45.5	40.4				44.0				00.0	20.0					
Na Carr Education	2008	15.5	12.4	19.3	689	46.4	41.8	51.0	658	33.8	29.0	38.9	653	38.5	33.6	43.6	648
No Sex Education	2001	,	*		*	î		*	*	Î	,		*	,			
	2002	*		*	*	*		*	*	,			*	*		*	*
	2003 2004	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2005	5.3	3.7	7.5	671	6.6	4.9	8.8	763	5.3	3.8	7.4	639	6.9	5.1	9.3	658
	2006	5.2	3.7	7.4	668	6.7	4.9	9.2	641	7.6	5.7	10.1	652	6.7	4.9	9.1	641
	2007	4.4	3.1	6.3	643	5.4	3.7	7.9	621	7.2	5.4	9.4	644	9.6	6.7	13.6	634
	2008	5.4	3.8	7.6	658	7.2	5.3	9.8	599	9.6	6.8	13.5	601	8.3	5.8	11.9	591
Sex Education in	2001	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
7th Grade +	2002	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2003	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2004	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2005	39.1	34.4	44.1	633	35.3	31.2	39.7	709	45.8	40.8	50.9	599	45.9	41.1	50.8	602
	2006	35.9	31.2	40.9	631	38.8	33.7	44.0	593	39.8	35.2	44.6	602	44.6	39.7	49.7	593
	2007	35.4	30.7	40.4	607	38.4	33.5	43.5	584	45.8	40.7	50.9	587	37.8	32.9	43.0	573
	2008	33.5	29.1	38.2	618		30.1	40.7	547	47.0		53.2	542	43.8	37.9	50.0	538
No Child Car	2001	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
Seat/Seat Belt	2002	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2003	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
	2004	6.3	3.6	10.9	277	19.5	14.0	26.4	227	29.3	23.4	35.9	279	23.2	17.7	29.8	247
	2005	4.8	2.6	8.6	232	14.1	9.4	20.6	241	17.4	12.2	24.1	218	19.2	14.0	25.7	250
	2006	6.3	3.4	11.1	226		10.5	26.0				29.6	239	20.3	14.6	27.6	248
	2007	2.5	1.0	6.3	205		5.3	16.7	198	16.0		22.3	217	14.4	9.9	20.3	224
	2008	2.4	0.8	6.9	217	10.6	6.4	17.2	174	23.6	16.5	32.5	188	17.7	12.1	25.1	198

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates data are not available for that year

## **Appendix C: Public Health District Sponsored Questions, 2007**

- Q1. What is the main source of your home water supply? (n=4,793)
  - 1 A city, county, or town water system (65.6%)
  - A small water system operated by a home association (3.4%)
  - A private well serving your home (28.7%)
  - 4 Other source (2.3%)
  - 7 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE
  - 9 REFUSED
- Q2. How is the sewage or wastewater disposed of for your home? (n=4,692)
  - 1 A city, county, or town sewer system (63.9%)
  - A small sewer district or association (1.8%)
  - A private sewer system or septic tank for your home (33.7%)
  - 4 Other source (2.3%)
  - 7 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE
  - 9 REFUSED
- Q3. At what grade level do you think children should begin to receive comprehensive sex education in school? Comprehensive sex education includes not only discussions about reproductive systems and associated biological functions, but also sexual behavior; outcomes of sexual behavior including STD/AIDS education and prevention; talking with parents about sex; birth control methods, availability, and usage; and may include discussion on personal sexuality choices.

(n=4,340)

- 1 1st (1.3%)
- 2 2nd (0.7%)
- 3 3rd (2.5%)
- 4 4th (7.7%)
- 5 5th (17.9%)
- 6 6th (26.2%) 7 7th (16.6%)
- 8 8th (8.8%)
- 9 9th (5.2%)
- 10 10th (2.5%)
- 11 11th (0.6%)
- 12 12th (2.2%)
- 55 Kindergarten (0.8%)
- 88 NEVER (7.3%)
- 77 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE

## **Appendix C: Public Health District Sponsored Questions, 2007**

99 REFUSED

# Q4. [IF ANY CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD RANDOMLY SELECT ONE OF THE CHILDREN] What is the birth month and year of the [SELECTED] child?

Used to approximate child age at time of interview.

(Due to the size of the table, these data are not printed.)

## Q5. [IF ANY CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD] Is the [SELECTED] child a boy or a girl?

- 1 Boy (51.2%)
- 2 Girl (48.8%)
- 9 REFUSED

# Q6. [IF ANY CHILDREN IN HOUSEHOLD AND CHILD AGE < 16 YEARS] How often does the [CHILD AGE]-month/year old child in your household use a [IF CHILD AGE < 5 "car safety seat", IF CHILD AGE > 4 "seatbelt"] when they ride in a car?

(n=1,399)

- 1 Always (92.6%)
- 2 Nearly Always (6.0%)
- 3 Sometimes (0.7%)
- 4 Seldom (0.6%)
- 5 Never (0.2%)
- 7 DON'T KNOW/NOT SURE
- 9 REFUSED