



Angiotensin Modulators: ACE Inhibitors and Renin Inhibitors

Therapeutic Class Review (TCR)

January 21, 2012

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, digital scanning, or via any information storage or retrieval system without the express written consent of Provider Synergies, L.L.C.

All requests for permission should be mailed to:

Attention: Copyright Administrator
Intellectual Property Department
Provider Synergies, L.L.C.
10101 Alliance Road, Suite 201
Cincinnati, Ohio 45242

The materials contained herein represent the opinions of the collective authors and editors and should not be construed to be the official representation of any professional organization or group, any state Pharmacy and Therapeutics committee, any state Medicaid Agency, or any other clinical committee. This material is not intended to be relied upon as medical advice for specific medical cases and nothing contained herein should be relied upon by any patient, medical professional or layperson seeking information about a specific course of treatment for a specific medical condition. All readers of this material are responsible for independently obtaining medical advice and guidance from their own physician and/or other medical professional in regard to the best course of treatment for their specific medical condition. This publication, inclusive of all forms contained herein, is intended to be educational in nature and is intended to be used for informational purposes only. Send comments and suggestions to PSTCReDitor@magellanhealth.com.

FDA-APPROVED INDICATIONS

KEY: HTN = hypertension, LVD = left ventricular dysfunction, CAD = coronary artery disease, MI = myocardial infarction, CHF = congestive heart failure

| Drug | Manufacturer | HTN | CHF | Post-MI | Other Indications |
|--|--|---|-------------------------------|---|--|
| ACE Inhibitors | | | | | |
| benazepril (Lotensin [®]) ¹ | generic | X (Pediatrics age 6-16 yrs) | -- | -- | -- |
| captopril (Capoten [®]) ² | generic | X | X | X (in patients with LVD) | Diabetic Nephropathy in type 1 diabetics |
| enalapril (Vasotec [®]) ³ | generic | X (Pediatrics age 1 month -16 yrs) | X (or asymptomatic LVD) | -- | -- |
| fosinopril ⁴ | generic | X (Pediatrics age 6-16 yrs) | X | -- | -- |
| lisinopril (Prinivil [®] , Zestril [®]) ^{5, 6} | generic | X (Pediatrics age 6-16 yrs) | X | X (in hemo- dynamically stable patients) | -- |
| moexipril (Univasc [®]) ⁷ | generic | X | -- | -- | -- |
| perindopril (Aceon [®]) ⁸ | generic | X | -- | -- | In stable CAD, reduces risk of cardiovascular mortality and non-fatal MI |
| quinapril (Accupril [®]) ⁹ | generic | X | X | -- | -- |
| ramipril (Altace [®]) ¹⁰ | generic (capsules) King (tablets) | X | X (post-MI) | -- | Reduction of risk of MI, stroke, and death from cardiovascular causes |
| trandolapril (Mavik [®]) ¹¹ | generic | X | X (post-MI) | X (in patients with CHF or LVD) | -- |
| Renin Inhibitor | | | | | |
| aliskiren (Tekturna [®]) ¹² | Novartis | X | -- | -- | -- |

Diuretic Combination Products

Several ACE inhibitors and the renin inhibitor are available in combination with a diuretic for treatment of hypertension. The fixed dose diuretic combinations are not indicated for initial treatment. The combination results in additional blood pressure reduction with minimal changes in adverse effect profile.¹³ The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (JNC 7) suggests most patients require two medications for adequate control of hypertension.¹⁴

| Drug | Manufacturer |
|--|--------------|
| ACE Inhibitors | |
| benazepril/HCTZ (Lotensin HCT [®]) | generic |
| captopril/HCTZ (Capozide [®]) | generic |
| enalapril/HCTZ (Vaseretic [®]) | generic |
| fosinopril/HCTZ | generic |
| lisinopril/HCTZ (Prinzide [®] , Zestoretic [®]) | generic |
| moexipril/HCTZ (Uniretic [®]) | generic |
| quinapril/HCTZ (Accuretic [®]) | generic |
| Renin Inhibitor | |
| aliskiren/HCTZ (Tekturna HCT [®]) | Novartis |

OVERVIEW

Hypertension affects over 33.5 percent of adult Americans (≥ 20 years of age) and is an independent risk factor for the development of cardiovascular disease.¹⁵ Hypertension can increase the risk of myocardial infarction (MI), stroke, heart failure (HF), and kidney disease. To reduce the risk of cardiovascular events, the current blood pressure goal is less than 140/90 mm Hg. For patients with chronic renal disease or diabetes, the current goal for blood pressure therapy is less than 130/80 mm Hg.^{16,17} Only about half of patients diagnosed with hypertension have their disease under control.¹⁸ Attainment of blood pressure goals results in a reduced risk of cardiovascular events.¹⁹ There is inter-patient variability in response to various antihypertensive classes. In the absence of compelling indications, reaching target blood pressure is central in determining cardiovascular benefit in patients with hypertension, not the specific agent used.^{20,21,22}

Angiotensin Modulators include the angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors, the renin inhibitor, and the angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs). All agents are used in the management of hypertension. This review will focus on the ACE inhibitors and the direct renin inhibitor, aliskiren (Tekturna).

First-line therapy for HTN according to The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (JNC-7), published in 2003, is diuretics.²³ Angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors may be used as first-line therapy for treatment of essential hypertension when a diuretic cannot be used or when a compelling indication is present. According to the JNC-7 guidelines, compelling indications for ACE inhibitors are: congestive heart failure (CHF), post-myocardial infarction (MI), high-risk coronary disease, diabetes mellitus,

chronic kidney disease, and recurrent stroke prevention.²⁴ ACE inhibitors have been shown to reduce mortality in CHF, delay progression of diabetic nephropathy, and reduce risk of adverse cardiovascular outcomes in high-risk patients.^{25,26,27,28,29}

Since the publication of JNC-7 guidelines for the treatment of hypertension, a meta-analysis aimed at evaluating the blood pressure lowering effects and incidences of heart attack, stroke and death in patients taking HCTZ has been published.³⁰ Based on 14 studies including 1,234 patients taking HCTZ, blood pressure lowering with HCTZ was inferior to all other classes, such as angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors, angiotensin receptor blockers, beta-blockers, and calcium antagonists. Additionally, the meta-analysis concluded that there are no studies or evidence that HCTZ reduces myocardial infarction, stroke, or death.

ACE inhibitors are a cornerstone in the treatment of CHF according to the 2009 focused update of the 2005 American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association (ACCF/AHA) Heart Failure Guidelines.³¹ Benefits of ACE inhibitor therapy are seen in patients with both mild and severe disease and are independent of CHF etiology. ACE inhibitors improve symptoms, slow disease progression, and decrease mortality in heart failure.³² The evidence suggests the benefit of ACE inhibitors in CHF is a class effect.³³ ACE inhibitors should be given to all CHF patients who are at high risk for CHD regardless of the presence or absence of concomitant hypertension.³⁴ Unfortunately, underdosing and underutilization of the ACE inhibitors in CHF patients are well documented. As a result, full benefits of ACE inhibitor therapy are not realized.³⁵

Beneficial effects of ACE inhibitors are demonstrated in diabetic and nondiabetic nephropathies beyond those expected from lowering blood pressure.³⁶ In type 1 diabetic patients with hypertension, ACE inhibitors delay the progression of nephropathy regardless of the degree of albuminuria. ACE inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) delay the progression of nephropathy and delay the increase in albuminuria in hypertensive type 2 diabetics with microalbuminuria.^{37,38}

In the setting of acute myocardial infarction (AMI), ACE inhibitors have been shown to reduce mortality rates even in those with normal left ventricular function.³⁹ ACE inhibitors should be started and continued indefinitely in all patients recovering from ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI) with left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of 40 percent or less and for those with hypertension, diabetes, or chronic kidney disease unless otherwise contraindicated.⁴⁰ ACE inhibitors are also considered a reasonable option in patients who are at lower risk.⁴¹ Patients recovering from unstable angina (UA)/non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) with LVD (LVEF less than 40 percent), hypertension or diabetes mellitus, unless contraindicated, should receive ACE inhibitors indefinitely.⁴²

In AMI, ACE inhibitors reduce 30-day mortality when therapy is initiated within 36 hours of the acute event.⁴³ Four studies with 98,496 MI patients were analyzed together. Trials using captopril and lisinopril showed approximately 30 percent mortality reduction if therapy was initiated within 24 hours of MI symptom onset.^{44,45}

The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) has published a comparative effectiveness report for the ACEIs and ARBs.⁴⁶ The ACEIs and ARBs appear to have similar long-term effects on blood pressure among individuals with essential hypertension. For mortality and major cardiovascular events, there is insufficient evidence to determine if there are any different effects of ACEIs versus ARBs on these serious outcomes. ACEIs have been shown to have a greater risk of cough than ARBs and the direct renin inhibitor.^{47,48,49,50}

A renin inhibitor, aliskiren (Tekturna), is approved for the treatment of hypertension.⁵¹ At this time, morbidity and mortality data are lacking for aliskiren.

PHARMACOLOGY

ACE inhibitors affect the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS). ACE inhibitors prevent conversion of angiotensin I to angiotensin II, a potent vasoconstrictor, by competing with angiotensin I for the active site of ACE. Reduction of angiotensin II formation decreases vasoconstriction, decreases aldosterone secretion, and increases plasma renin. Decreased blood pressure and total peripheral resistance, as well as decreased sodium and water retention, result.⁵² Hypothesized local activity within the vascular wall may also impact blood pressure.

ACE inhibitors reduce both preload and afterload through arterial and venous dilatation. In CHF, ACE inhibitors decrease total peripheral resistance, pulmonary vascular resistance, pulmonary capillary wedge pressure, and mean arterial and right atrial pressures. Cardiac index, cardiac output, stroke volume, and exercise tolerance are increased in these patients.⁵³

Aliskiren (Tekturna) is a renin inhibitor which targets the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system (RAAS) at the point of activation by inhibiting renin and blocking conversion of angiotensinogen to angiotensin I, thereby decreasing plasma renin activity (PRA).⁵⁴

Hydrochlorothiazide is a thiazide diuretic that exhibits its pharmacological effects by blocking the reabsorption of sodium and chloride leading to diuresis and a reduction in intravascular volume.⁵⁵ Consequently, there are increases in plasma renin activity, aldosterone secretion, and potassium excretion. Co-administration of a thiazide diuretic with an agent that blocks the production or function of angiotensin II may help to decrease potassium loss that occurs with thiazide diuretic therapy. The mechanism of action of the antihypertensive effect of thiazides is unknown.

PHARMACOKINETICS

| Drug | Absorption (%) | Half-Life (hr) | Metabolism | Elimination (%) |
|--|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| ACE Inhibitors | | | | |
| benazepril (Lotensin) ⁵⁶ | 37 | 10-11 | Yes - to active benazeprilat | Renal: 88 Biliary: 11-12 |
| captopril (Capoten) ⁵⁷ | 75 | < 3 | Yes | Renal: > 95 |
| enalapril (Vasotec) ⁵⁸ | 60 | 11 | Yes - to active enalaprilat | Renal: 60-78 Hepatic: 33 |
| fosinopril (Monopril) ⁵⁹ | 36 | 11.5 in HTN 14 in CHF | Yes - to active fosinoprilat | Renal: 44-50 Hepatic: 46-50 |
| lisinopril (Prinivil, Zestril) ^{60, 61} | 25 (varies between 6-60) | 12 | None | Renal: 100 |
| moexipril (Univasc) ⁶² | 13 | 2-9 | Yes - to active moexiprilat | Renal: 13 Feces: 53 |
| perindopril (Aceon) ⁶³ | 75 | 0.8-1 | Yes - to active perindoprilat | Renal: 100 |

Pharmacokinetics (continued)

| Drug | Absorption (%) | Half-Life (hr) | Metabolism | Elimination (%) |
|------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|
| ACE Inhibitors | | | | |
| quinapril (Accupril) ⁶⁴ | 60 | 3 | Yes - to active quinaprilat | Renal: 61 Hepatic: 37 |
| ramipril (Altace) ⁶⁵ | 50-60 | 13-17 | Yes - to active ramiprilat | Renal: 60 Feces: 40 |
| trandolapril (Mavik) ⁶⁶ | 80 | 22.5 | Yes - to active trandolaprilat | Renal: 33 Hepatic: 66 |
| Renin Inhibitor | | | | |
| aliskiren (Tekturna) ⁶⁷ | 2.5 | 24 | None | Renal: 25 |
| Diuretic | | | | |
| HCTZ ⁶⁸ | 50-80 | 5-15 | None | Renal: ≥ 61 |

Fosinopril does not require dosage adjustment in patients with renal failure.

Captopril has a sulfhydryl group which may contribute to additional side effects such as rash. The absorption of captopril decreases by 30 to 40 percent if given with food.

Lisinopril and captopril are active drugs. All other ACE inhibitors are prodrugs which require metabolism to active drugs.

Differences among agents with regard to structure and tissue specificity have been identified, but clinical relevance of the differences is not clear.⁶⁹ Benazepril, quinapril, and ramipril have the highest tissue specificity. The clinical significance of this finding has yet to be determined.

Aliskiren (Tekturna) AUC and C_{max} are decreased by 71 and 85 percent, respectively, when administered with a high fat meal. In clinical trials, aliskiren was administered without regard to meals. Patients should take aliskiren at the same time each day.

CONTRAINDICATIONS/WARNINGS^{70,71,72,73,74,75,76,77,78,79,80,81}

Angioedema of the head and neck can occur with any angiotensin modulating agent. Previous angioedema is a contraindication to use of any ACE inhibitor. The renin inhibitor should be avoided in patients with prior angioedema. If angioedema involves the tongue or airway, respiratory distress may occur and could result in death without prompt treatment.

Hypersensitivity to any component of the formulations for ACE inhibitors and renin inhibitors is a contraindication to use. ACE inhibitors should not be used in bilateral renal artery stenosis. No data are available on the use of aliskiren (Tekturna) in patients with unilateral or bilateral renal artery stenosis.

Caution should be used or these agents should be avoided in patients with hyperkalemia or drugs that increase potassium levels. Caution should be exercised when using aliskiren in volume and/or salt-depleted patients on high doses of diuretics.

Hydrochlorothiazide can cause an idiosyncratic reaction, resulting in acute transient myopia and acute angle-closure glaucoma. Symptoms such as acute onset of decreased visual acuity or ocular pain can occur within hours to weeks of drug initiation. If untreated, acute angle-closure glaucoma can lead to permanent vision loss. Hydrochlorothiazide should be discontinued as rapidly as possible. Prompt medical or surgical treatments may be considered if the intraocular pressure remains uncontrolled. Risk factors for developing acute angle-closure glaucoma may include a history of sulfonamide or penicillin allergy.

DRUG INTERACTIONS^{82,83,84,85,86,87,88,89,90,91,92,93}

ACE inhibitors can potentially interact with the following agents: azathioprine, cyclosporine, lithium, NSAIDs including selective COX-2 inhibitors, potassium-sparing diuretics, trimethoprim, gold therapy, macrolide antibiotics, or eplerenone. Concurrent use of loop and thiazide diuretics can increase the risk of hypovolemia, increasing the risk of nephrotoxicity.

Aliskiren (Tekturna) is metabolized by CYP3A4. P-glycoprotein (Pgp) is the major efflux system involved in absorption and disposition of aliskiren in preclinical studies. The potential for drug interactions at the Pgp site will likely depend on the degree of inhibition of this transporter. Atorvastatin, cyclosporine, and ketoconazole are potent Pp inhibitors.

Drug interactions with aliskiren (Tekturna) have occurred with irbesartan (Avapro[®]) (50 percent reduction in aliskiren concentrations), atorvastatin (Lipitor[®]) (50 percent increase in aliskiren's maximum concentration and area under the curve), ketoconazole (80 percent increase in aliskiren levels when administered with ketoconazole 200 mg twice daily), and furosemide (reduced furosemide's maximum concentration and area under the curve by 50 percent and 30 percent, respectively). Concomitant use of aliskiren with cyclosporine or itraconazole is not recommended. Co-administration of cyclosporine 200 mg and 600 mg, with aliskiren 75 mg has shown an approximate 2.5-fold increase in maximum concentration and five-fold increase in area under the curve of aliskiren. Co-administration of 240 mg of verapamil with 300 mg aliskiren resulted in an approximately 2-fold increase in aliskiren exposure. However, no dosage adjustment is necessary.

The effects of aliskiren (Tekturna) on warfarin pharmacokinetics have not been evaluated in a well controlled clinical trial. No significant interactions have been reported with lovastatin, atenolol, warfarin, digoxin, celecoxib (Celebrex[®]), hydrochlorothiazide, ramipril, valsartan, metformin, or amlodipine.

Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Agents including Selective Cyclooxygenase-2 Inhibitors (COX-2 Inhibitors): In patients who are elderly, volume-depleted (including those on diuretic therapy), or with compromised renal function, co-administration of NSAIDs, including Selective COX-2 Inhibitors, with ACE Inhibitors may result in deterioration of renal function, including possible acute renal failure. These effects are usually reversible. Monitor renal function periodically in patients receiving ACE Inhibitors and NSAID therapy.

Dual blockade of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system is associated with increased risk of hypotension, syncope, hyperkalemia, and changes in renal function (including acute renal failure). Closely monitor blood pressure, renal function and electrolytes in patients on ACEs and ARBs.

The ALTITUDE study, a phase III, double-blind trial evaluated the use of aliskiren in addition to conventional therapy in patients with type 2 diabetes and renal impairment, who are at high risk of cardiovascular and renal events.⁹⁴ Patients (n=8,606) were randomized to receive either aliskiren 300 mg or placebo, in addition to conventional therapy, including an ACE inhibitor or ARB. The study was halted early. The Data Monitoring Committee identified a higher incidence of non-fatal stroke, renal complications, hyperkalemia and hypotension after 18-24 months of therapy in the aliskiren arm of the study. The study sponsor, Novartis, recommended that ALTITUDE investigators remove aliskiren-based products from their patients' treatment regimen and review their high blood pressure medication. Novartis is also reviewing the findings of other clinical studies involving aliskiren and combination therapies. Per the FDA, healthcare professionals should stop aliskiren-containing medications in

diabetic patients who are also taking an ACE inhibitor or an ARB. Alternative antihypertensive therapy should be considered.

ADVERSE EFFECTS

Hypertensive Patients

| Drug | Headache | Dizziness | Fatigue | Cough | Rash | Angioedema |
|--|---------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------------|------------|
| ACE Inhibitors | | | | | | |
| benazepril (Lotensin) ⁹⁵ n=964 | 6.2 (4.2) | 3.6 (2.4) | 2.4 (2.2) | 1.2 (1) | reported | 0.5 |
| captopril (Capoten) ⁹⁶ | 0.5-2 | 0.5-2 | 0.5-2 | reported | 4-7 | < 1 |
| enalapril (Vasotec) ⁹⁷ n=2,314 | 5.2 (9.1) | 4.3 (4.3) | 3.0 (2.6) | 1.3 (0.9) | 1.4 (0.4) | 0.2 |
| fosinopril ⁹⁸ n=688 | > 1 (> 1) | 1.6 (0) | > 1 (> 1) | 2.2 (0) | 0.2-1 (reported) | 0.2-1 |
| lisinopril (Prinivil, Zestril) ^{99,100} n=1,349 | 5.7 (1.9) | 5.4 (1.9) | 2.5 (1) | 3.5 (1) | 1.3 (0.5) | 0.1 |
| moexipril (Univasc) ¹⁰¹ n=674 | > 1 (> 1) | 4.3 (2.2) | 2.4 (1.8) | 6.1 (2.2) | 1.6 (0.9) | < 0.5 |
| perindopril (Aceon) ¹⁰² n=789 | nr | 8.2 (8.5) | nr | 12 (4.5) | reported | 0.1 |
| quinapril (Accupril) ¹⁰³ n=1,563 | 5.6 (10.9) | 3.9 (2.6) | 2.6 (1) | 2 (0) | 1.4 (1) | 0.1 |
| ramipril (Altace) ¹⁰⁴ n=651 | 5.4 | 2.2 | 2 (1) | 12 | < 1 | 0.3 |
| trandolapril (Mavik) ¹⁰⁵ n=832 | > 1 (> 1) | 1.3 (0.4) | > 1 (> 1) | 1.9 (0.4) | 0.3-1 | 0.13 |
| Renin Inhibitor | | | | | | |
| aliskiren (Tekturna) ¹⁰⁶ | > 1 (>1) | > 1 (>1) | > 1 (>1) | 1.1* (0.6) | 1 (0.3) | 0.06-0.4 |

nr = not reported

Adverse effects are reported as a percentage. Adverse effects data obtained are from the prescribing information and are not meant to be comparative or all inclusive. Placebo incidences, when available, are indicated in parentheses. *Rates are one-third to one-half of active-controlled trials with ramipril and lisinopril.

The most commonly reported adverse event with aliskiren (Tekturna) 300 mg was diarrhea at 2.3 percent.

SPECIAL POPULATIONS^{107,108,109,110,111,112,113,114,115,116,117}

Pediatrics

Several ACE inhibitors including benazepril, enalapril, fosinopril, and lisinopril have been shown to be safe and effective in children ages six to 16 years. Enalapril can be used in children as young as one month old.

Ramipril (Altace) was studied in 352 pediatric patients with elevated or high normal blood pressure and chronic renal failure and found effective in reducing blood pressure and proteinuria. Ramipril (Altace) is not FDA-approved for use in children.¹¹⁸

Aliskiren (Tekturna) has not been studied in patients less than 18 years of age.¹¹⁹

Pregnancy

ACE inhibitors and aliskiren (Tekturna) are contraindicated in second and third trimesters of pregnancy; they are in Pregnancy Category C for the first trimester and class D for the second and third trimesters.^{120,121,122} ACE inhibitors and aliskiren can cause severe fetal injury or fetal death when used in pregnancy. **Avoid use in pregnancy.**

Other populations

Black patients receiving ACE inhibitor monotherapy have reported a higher incidence of angioedema compared to non-Blacks. In controlled clinical trials, ACE inhibitors have less effect on blood pressure in Black patients than in non-Blacks.^{123,124,125}

Patients with severe renal impairment were excluded from clinical trials of aliskiren (Tekturna) in hypertension.¹²⁶ Therefore, caution should be exercised in this population due to the lack of safety data with aliskiren in these patients and the potential renal effects (e.g., increase serum creatinine and blood urea nitrogen) of other agents which act on the renin-angiotensin system.

DOSAGES

| Drug | Hypertension (Adult) | Hypertension (Pediatric) | CHF | Post-MI | Diabetic Nephropathy | Reduce risk of CV outcomes | Stable CAD – reduce CV mortality/ nonfatal MI | Availability |
|---|-------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|
| ACE Inhibitors | | | | | | | | |
| benazepril (Lotensin) ¹²⁷ | 10-40 mg daily | 0.2 – 0.6 mg/kg/day; doses > 0.6 mg/kg or > 40 mg have not been studied | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 5, 10, 20, 40 mg tablets |
| captopril (Capoten) ¹²⁸ | 12.5-150 mg three times daily | -- | 6.25-150 mg three times daily | 6.25–50 mg three times daily | 25 mg three times daily | -- | -- | 12.5, 25, 50, 100 mg tablets |
| enalapril (Vasotec) ¹²⁹ | 5-40 mg daily | 0.08 mg/kg/day up to 5 mg daily; doses > 0.58 mg/kg or > 40 mg have not been studied | 2.5-20 mg twice daily | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2.5, 5, 10, 20 mg tablets |
| fosinopril ¹³⁰ | 10-40 mg daily | For children > 50 kg, 5 – 10 mg daily | 10-40 mg daily | -- | -- | -- | -- | 10, 20, 40 mg tablets |
| lisinopril (Prinivil/ Zestril) ^{131,132} | 10-40 mg daily | 0.07 mg/kg/day up to 5 mg; doses > 0.61mg/kg or > 40 mg have not been studied | 5-40 mg daily | 5-10 mg daily | -- | -- | -- | 2.5, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40 mg tablets |
| moexipril (Univasc) ¹³³ | 7.5-30 mg daily | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 7.5, 15 mg tablets |
| perindopril (Aceon) ¹³⁴ | 4-16 mg daily | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 4 – 8 mg daily | 2, 4, 8 mg tablets |
| quinapril (Accupril) ¹³⁵ | 10-80 mg daily | -- | 5-20 mg twice daily | -- | -- | -- | -- | 5, 10, 20, 40 mg tablets |
| ramipril (Altace) ¹³⁶ | 2.5-20 mg daily | -- | 2.5-5 mg twice daily | -- | -- | 2.5-10 mg daily | -- | 1.25, 2.5, 5, 10 mg generic capsules and brand tablets |
| trandolapril (Mavik) ¹³⁷ | 1-4 mg daily | -- | 1-4 mg daily | 1-4 mg daily | -- | -- | -- | 1, 2, 4 mg tablets |

Dosages (continued)

| Drug | Hypertension (Adult) | Hypertension (Pediatric) | CHF | Post-MI | Diabetic Nephropathy | Reduce risk of CV outcomes | Stable CAD – reduce CV mortality/nonfatal MI | Availability |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-----|---------|----------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------|
| Renin Inhibitor | | | | | | | | |
| aliskiren (Tekturna) ¹³⁸ | 150-300 mg daily | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 150, 300 mg tablets |

Combinations with Hydrochlorothiazide (HCTZ)

Patients' blood pressure not adequately controlled with an ACE inhibitor or HCTZ monotherapy may require combination therapy. Dosage must be guided by clinical response.

In patients with severe renal impairment (creatinine clearance is < 30 mL/min, serum creatinine >3 mg/dL), loop diuretics are preferred to thiazides, so combinations with HCTZ are not recommended.

| Drug | Availability |
|--|--|
| ACE Inhibitors/HCTZ | |
| benazepril/HCTZ (Lotensin HCT) | 5/6.25, 10/12.5, 20/12.5, 20/25 mg/mg tablets |
| captopril/HCTZ (Capozide) | 25/15, 25/25, 50/15, 50/25 mg/mg tablets |
| enalapril/HCTZ (Vaseretic) | 5/12.5 (generic only), 10/25 mg/mg tablets |
| fosinopril/HCTZ | 10/12.5, 20/12.5 mg/mg tablets |
| lisinopril/HCTZ (Prinzide, Zestoretic) | 10/12.5, 20/12.5, 20/25 mg/mg tablets |
| moexipril/HCTZ (Uniretic) | 7.5/12.5, 15/12.5, 15/25 mg/mg tablets |
| quinapril/HCTZ (Accuretic) | 10/12.5, 20/12.5, 20/25 mg/mg tablets |
| Renin Inhibitor/HCTZ | |
| aliskiren/HCTZ (Tekturna HCT) | 150/12.5, 150/25, 300/12.5, 300/25 mg/mg tablets |

DOSAGE CONSIDERATIONS

ACE Inhibitors

benazepril (Lotensin) - For patients with creatinine clearance < 30 mL/min/ 1.73 m² (serum creatinine >3 mg/dL), the recommended initial dose is benazepril 5 mg once daily.¹³⁹

captopril (Capoten) – For patients with creatinine clearance 10-50 mL/min/ 1.73 m², the initial dose should be reduced by 25 percent. For patients with creatinine clearance less than 10 mL/min/ 1.73 m², the dose should be reduced by 50 percent.¹⁴⁰

enalapril (Vasotec) - For hypertensive patients with creatinine clearance < 30 mL/min (serum creatinine >3 mg/dL), the initial dose is 2.5 mg once daily. In patients with heart failure and renal impairment or hyponatremia, enalapril should be initiated at 2.5 mg once daily. Therapy may be increased to enalapril 2.5 mg twice daily, then 5 mg twice daily and higher as needed.¹⁴¹

fosinopril (Monopril) – No dosage adjustments are necessary for renal impairment.¹⁴²

lisinopril (Prinivil, Zestril) – For patients with renal impairment (serum creatinine >3 mg/dL or estimated creatinine clearance < 30 mL/minute) and heart failure or hyponatremia (serum sodium < 130 mEq/L), lisinopril therapy should be initiated at 2.5 mg once daily. For hypertensive patients with renal impairment, the initial lisinopril dose is 5 mg once daily. For patients on hemodialysis, the initial dose of lisinopril is 2.5 mg once daily.^{143,144}

moexipril (Univasc) - For patients with creatinine clearance < 40 mL/min/ 1.73 m², an initial dose of moexipril 3.75 mg once daily should be given cautiously.¹⁴⁵

perindopril (Aceon) – In patients with renal impairment (creatinine clearance < 30 mL/min), safety and efficacy of perindopril have not been established. For patients with mild to moderate renal impairment, the dose should be in a range of 2 to 8 mg/day.^{146,147}

quinapril (Accupril) - The recommended initial dose of quinapril is 2.5 mg in patients with a creatinine clearance of 10 to 30 mL/min. There are insufficient data for dosage recommendation in patients with a creatinine clearance less than 10 mL/min.¹⁴⁸

ramipril (Altace) - In patients with creatinine clearance < 40 mL/min/1.73 m² (serum creatinine approximately >2.5 mg/dL) or patients with hypertension and renal impairment, the recommended initial dose is ramipril 1.25 mg once daily. Dosage may be titrated upward until blood pressure is controlled or to a maximum total daily dose of 5 mg. For patients with heart failure and renal impairment, the recommended initial dose is ramipril 1.25 mg once daily. The dose may be increased to 1.25 mg twice daily, up to a maximum dose of 2.5 mg twice daily.¹⁴⁹

trandolapril (Mavik) – For patients with renal impairment (estimated creatinine clearance < 30 mL/min) or hepatic cirrhosis, the initial daily dose is trandolapril 0.5 mg. Dosage may be titrated for optimal response.¹⁵⁰

Renin Inhibitor

aliskiren (Tekturna) – Aliskiren has not been studied in patients with impaired renal function defined as serum creatinine greater than 1.7 mg/dL for women and greater than 2 mg/dL for men and/or estimated creatinine clearance < 30 mL/minute.¹⁵¹ No initial dosage adjustment is required in elderly patients, patients with mild to severe renal impairment, or patients with mild to severe hepatic insufficiency. Patients should establish a routine pattern for taking aliskiren with regard to meals. High fat meals decrease aliskiren absorption substantially.¹⁵²

CLINICAL TRIALS

Search Strategy

Studies were identified through searches performed on PubMed and review of information sent by manufacturers. Search strategy included the use of all drugs in this class. Randomized, controlled trials comparing agents within this class within the last five years for the approved indications are considered the most relevant in this category. Studies included for analysis in the review were published in English, performed with human participants and randomly allocated participants to comparison groups. In addition, studies must contain clearly stated, predetermined outcome measure(s) of known or probable clinical importance, use data analysis techniques consistent with the study question and include follow-up (endpoint assessment) of at least 80 percent of participants entering the investigation. Despite some inherent bias found in all studies including those sponsored and/or funded by pharmaceutical manufacturers, the studies in this therapeutic class review were determined to have results or conclusions that do not suggest systematic error in their experimental study design. While the potential influence of manufacturer sponsorship/funding must be considered, the studies in this review have also been evaluated for validity and importance.

ACE Inhibitors

Numerous clinical trials utilizing ACE inhibitors were published in the 1980's and 1990's. Little evidence suggests one drug is better than others for the approved indications. Many of the ACE inhibitors have

been compared in short-term trials evaluating antihypertensive effects. Experience from comparative trials suggests there are few differences among the ACE inhibitors in antihypertensive efficacy when equipotent doses of each agent are used.¹⁵³

Leonetti and colleagues reviewed ACE inhibitors to determine which agent should be used for specific patients.¹⁵⁴ The authors found no significant difference in antihypertensive efficacy or adverse effect profiles among agents. Clinically, the pharmacokinetic differences do not appear to affect the choice of agent.

Garg and colleagues reviewed randomized trials of ACE inhibitor therapy in patients with heart failure.¹⁵⁵ The authors found 32 trials (n=7,105) which met inclusion criteria. The agents studied included captopril, enalapril, ramipril, quinapril, and lisinopril. The two largest trials used enalapril, and the primary endpoint was mortality. Five smaller trials used captopril and evaluated mortality and/or morbidity as the outcome parameter. A statistically significant reduction in mortality for patients on ACE inhibitors versus controls was demonstrated in all trials. The largest amount of data is from trials using enalapril. A separate analysis excluding the SOLVD trial showed a significant reduction in progressive heart failure mortality.¹⁵⁶ The authors concluded the overall mortality results were consistent with those of two major trials, SOLVD and CONSENSUS.¹⁵⁷ An extension of the SOLVD trial demonstrated enalapril used for three to four years extended median survival by 9.4 months.¹⁵⁸

Numerous studies cite underutilization of ACE inhibitors in the treatment of CHF and acute MI.^{159,160,161,162} Elderly patients are most affected by underdosing and underutilization. Achievement of target doses and appropriate patient selection may improve outcomes. The ATLAS study with lisinopril demonstrated patients achieving high doses had a 12 percent lower risk of death or hospitalization for any reason (p=0.002) and 24 percent fewer hospitalizations for heart failure (p=0.002) compared to the low dose group.¹⁶³ In patients with severe heart failure, the use of high-dose lisinopril, beta-blocker, and digoxin therapy had 12 percent lower risk of death and hospitalization over one year than patients who received low-dose lisinopril only (p=0.006).¹⁶⁴

In the OPTIMAAL trial, losartan (Cozaar) and captopril displayed similar effects on morbidity and mortality in 5,477 patients with heart failure or left ventricular dysfunction (LVD) following an acute MI.¹⁶⁵ Captopril and losartan improved systolic and overall LVD function, but greater benefit was observed with captopril.¹⁶⁶

In patients with LVD after acute MI, trandolapril therapy decreased mortality, decreased sudden death, and reduced the risk of development of severe heart failure. However, in a small study, trandolapril did not improve exercise tolerance or NYHA functional class.^{167,168}

The HOPE trial with ramipril (Altace) demonstrated a reduction in death, MI, and stroke in patients with vascular disease or diabetes and other cardiovascular risk factors.¹⁶⁹ Ramipril reduced the rate of development of new onset heart failure by 24 percent in high-risk patients with ejection fractions >40 percent (preserved left ventricular function).¹⁷⁰ Further beneficial effects from the HOPE study were observed in the post-follow-up period of 2.6 years. Patients on ramipril experienced a reduction in relative risk of MI and revascularization, as well as a reduced risk of new onset diabetes.¹⁷¹ In another study, low-dose ramipril 1.25 mg daily had no effect on cardiovascular and renal outcomes of patients with type 2 diabetes and albuminuria, despite a slight decrease in blood pressure and urinary albumin concentration.¹⁷² Ramipril (Altace) reduced mortality in patients with heart failure following acute MI.¹⁷³

The DREAM trial was a randomized, double-blind, three-year study of 5,269 patients with impaired fasting glucose levels or impaired glucose tolerance but without cardiovascular disease.¹⁷⁴ The primary outcome was the occurrence of newly diagnosed diabetes or death. Secondary outcomes included composite of cardiac and renal events, glucose levels, and regression to normal glucose levels. Patients received ramipril (Altace) up to 15 mg per day or matching placebo [and rosiglitazone (Avandia®) or matching placebo]. The ramipril (18.1 percent) group did not differ from the placebo (19.5 percent) group for the primary outcome, the rate of death or diabetes (hazard ratio=0.91; 95% CI, 0.81 to 1.03; p=0.15). The ramipril group was more likely to have regression to normoglycemia compared to placebo (hazard ratio=1.16; 95% CI, 1.07 to 1.27, p=0.001). At the end of the study, the median fasting plasma glucose level was not significantly lower in the ramipril group (102.7 mg/dL) than in the placebo group (103.4 mg/dL, p=0.07), though plasma glucose levels two hours after an oral glucose load were significantly lower in the ramipril group (135.1 mg/dL versus 140.5 mg/dL, p=0.01). Treatment with rosiglitazone significantly reduced the incidence of diabetes or death (hazard ratio=0.4; 95% CI, 0.35 to 0.46, p<0.001). There were no significant interactions, indicating that the effect of ramipril was the same in the presence or absence of rosiglitazone with respect to the primary outcome, secondary outcomes, or their components (p>0.11 for all interactions). The results for the regression to normoglycemia were similar. Although ramipril did not significantly prevent diabetes in this patient population, it did show regression to normal glucose levels. In addition, compared to placebo, neither ramipril nor rosiglitazone reduced the risk of the cardiorenal composite outcome.¹⁷⁵ Ramipril had no impact on the CVD and renal components.

The PROGRESS trial showed the combination of perindopril (Aceon) and indapamide (Lozol®) reduced the risk of stroke among patients with history of stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) regardless of the presence or absence of hypertension.¹⁷⁶ Monotherapy with perindopril produced no significant reduction in the risk of stroke. In the EUROPA study, which included 13,655 stable CAD patients without evidence of CHF, perindopril demonstrated a relative risk reduction of 20 percent for the composite of cardiovascular mortality, MI, or cardiac arrest over the mean study period of more than four years.¹⁷⁷ Benefits were seen with perindopril in stable CAD patients without CHF despite concurrent use of lipid lowering therapy, antiplatelet therapy, and beta-blockers in a majority of patients. The diabetic population with CAD (n=1,502) in the EUROPA trial was evaluated separately in the PERSUADE trial to assess the effect of perindopril on the cardiovascular composite endpoint of cardiovascular death, non-fatal MI, and resuscitated cardiac arrest.¹⁷⁸ Over a median of 4.3 years, the composite outcome was reported in 12.6 versus 15.5 percent for perindopril and placebo groups, respectively (relative risk reduction, 19 percent [(95 percent CI, -7 to 38 percent), p=0.13].

In the PREAMI study, perindopril (Aceon) 8 mg daily reduced the combined primary endpoint of death, hospitalization for heart failure, and left ventricular remodeling compared to placebo over a 12-month period.¹⁷⁹ In the double-blind, randomized trial, 1,252 patients aged 65 years or older with a LVEF of 40 percent or higher and a recent history of MI were enrolled. The primary endpoint reached statistical significance and occurred in 35 percent and 57 percent of the perindopril and placebo groups, respectively (absolute risk reduction 0.22; 95% CI, 0.16 to 0.28; p<0.001). Fewer patients on perindopril experienced remodeling defined as ≥ eight percent increase in LV end diastolic volume as measured by echocardiography (28 versus 51 percent with placebo, absolute risk reduction 0.23; 95% CI, 17 to 30; p<0.001). No differences between groups were noted in the number of deaths or hospitalizations.

In the PEACE trial, 8,290 patients with CAD with normal or slightly reduced left ventricular function were randomized to trandolapril 4 mg daily or placebo in addition to intensive conventional therapy.¹⁸⁰

Patients (mean age 64 years) had a mean blood pressure of 133/78 mm Hg and mean left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of 58 percent at baseline. Of those who received intensive therapy, 72 percent had a history of coronary revascularization, and 70 percent were on lipid-lowering therapy. The primary endpoint was the composite of cardiovascular death, MI, or coronary revascularization which occurred over a mean of 4.8 years in 21.9 and 22.5 percent in the trandolapril and placebo groups, respectively (hazard ratio 0.96 for trandolapril; $p=0.43$).

The INVEST trial compared the combination of verapamil SR and trandolapril with atenolol and hydrochlorothiazide in 22,576 hypertensive CAD patients over 50 years old with dosage titration ranges of 120 to 480 mg/day, 1 to 8 mg/day, 25 to 200 mg/day, and 12.5 to 100 mg/day for verapamil SR, trandolapril, atenolol, and hydrochlorothiazide, respectively.¹⁸¹ In the randomized, open-label, blinded endpoint, multinational trial, patients were randomized to verapamil SR or atenolol. After a mean follow-up of 2.7 years, the rates of all-cause mortality, nonfatal myocardial infarction (MI), or nonfatal stroke, and BP control and goal attainment were similar in both groups.

A subgroup of patients with CAD from the INVEST trial were evaluated for newly diagnosed diabetes during follow-up.¹⁸² Newly diagnosed diabetes was significantly less frequent in the verapamil SR group versus atenolol group (7 percent versus 8.2 percent, HR 0.85, 95% CI, 0.76 to 0.95, $p<0.01$). Risk factors for newly diagnosed diabetes included US residence, left ventricular hypertrophy, previous stroke/transient ischemic attack, and Hispanic ethnicity. The addition of trandolapril to verapamil SR decreased the risk of new-onset diabetes (2 and 180 mg/day, respectively, HR 0.56, 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.74; 4 and 240 mg/day, respectively, HR 0.58, 95% CI, 0.44 to 0.78) and the addition of hydrochlorothiazide to atenolol increased the risk (12.5 and 50 mg/day, respectively, HR 1.07, 95% CI, 0.84 to 1.35; 25 and 100 mg/day, respectively, HR 1.38, 95% CI, 1.06 to 1.8).

telmisartan (Micardis) and ramipril

ONTARGET was a randomized, double-blind, multicenter study of 25,620 patients with controlled hypertension with vascular disease or high-risk diabetes.¹⁸³ After a three week single-blind run-in period, patients were randomized to ramipril 10 mg daily, telmisartan 80 mg daily, or a combination of ramipril 10 mg and telmisartan 80 mg daily. The primary composite endpoint of the 56-month study was death from CV causes, MI, stroke, or hospitalization for HF. The primary outcome occurred in 1,412 patients versus 1,423 patients (16.5 percent versus 16.7 percent, RR, 1.01, 95% CI, 0.94 to 1.09), in the ramipril versus telmisartan groups, respectively. The telmisartan group had lower rates of cough (1.1 percent versus 4.2 percent, $p<0.001$) and angioedema (0.1 percent versus 0.3 percent, $p=0.01$) and a higher rate of hypotensive symptoms (2.6 percent versus 1.7 percent, $p<0.001$) compared to ramipril. The rate of syncope was the same in both groups (0.2 percent). In the combination group, the primary outcome occurred in 1,386 patients (16.3 percent, RR 0.99, 95% CI, 0.92 to 1.07) and there was an increased risk of hypotensive symptoms (4.8 percent versus 1.7 percent, $p<0.001$), syncope (0.3 percent versus 0.2 percent, $p=0.03$), and renal dysfunction (13.5 percent versus 10.2 percent, $p<0.001$) compared to the ramipril group. Telmisartan was equivalent to ramipril in patients with vascular disease or high-risk diabetes and was associated with less adverse events. The combination of the two drugs was associated with more adverse events without an increase in benefit.

A pre-specified analysis of renal outcomes of the ONTARGET study, a 56-month, randomized, double-blind, multicenter study of 25,620 patients with controlled hypertension with vascular disease or high-risk diabetes, showed that a composite primary renal end point of dialysis, doubling of serum creatinine, and death was similar for telmisartan 80 mg versus ramipril 10 mg, 13.4 percent versus

13.5, respectively (HR 1, 95% CI, 0.92 to 1.09) but was increased with combination therapy 14.5 percent (HR 1.09, 95% CI, 1.01 to 1.18, $p=0.037$).¹⁸⁴ Secondary outcomes of dialysis and doubling of creatinine had similar findings. Estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) declined least with ramipril compared with telmisartan (-2.82 [SD 17.2] mL/min/1.73 m² versus -4.12 [SD 17.4], $p<0.0001$) or combination therapy (-6.11 [SD 17.9], $p<0.0001$). Compared with ramipril, the increase in urinary albumin excretion was less with telmisartan ($p=0.004$) or with combination therapy ($p=0.001$). In the study of patients with high vascular risk, telmisartan was similar to ramipril in reducing renal outcomes. However, combination therapy worsened renal outcomes and was associated with increased adverse events.

Renin Inhibitor

aliskiren (Tekturna) with hydrochlorothiazide (HCTZ)

A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, 15-arm factorial study of 2,776 patients on aliskiren 75, 150, and 300 mg and HCTZ 6.25, 12.5, and 25 mg was conducted. Evaluations of each agent alone and in combination were completed in an eight week study.¹⁸⁵ Greater blood pressure reductions were achieved with combination therapy compared with monotherapy.

Aliskiren was studied in obese patients (body mass index ≥ 30 g/m²) with hypertension.¹⁸⁶ A total of 560 patients received single-blind HCTZ 25 mg for four weeks. Non-responders ($n=489$) were randomized in a double-blind fashion to HCTZ plus one of the following: aliskiren 150 mg, irbesartan 150 mg, amlodipine 5 mg or placebo for four weeks. Doses of aliskiren, irbesartan, and amlodipine were doubled and given in addition to HCTZ 25 mg daily. After the total of eight weeks, aliskiren/HCTZ decreased BP significantly more than placebo/HCTZ ($-15.8/-11.9$ mm Hg versus $-8.6/-7.9$ mm Hg, $p<0.0001$) and produced similar BP reductions as irbesartan/HCTZ ($-15.4/-11.3$ mm Hg) and amlodipine/HCTZ ($-13.6/-10.3$ mm Hg). Tolerability of aliskiren/HCTZ was similar to placebo. The amlodipine/HCTZ arm had the highest incidence of adverse events, with peripheral edema occurring in 11.1 percent of patients.

A randomized, double-blind study compared a single-pill combination of once-daily aliskiren and HCTZ (300/25 mg or 300/12.5 mg) or aliskiren 300 mg monotherapy in 880 patients with hypertension and an inadequate BP response to aliskiren monotherapy.¹⁸⁷ At the week eight endpoint, aliskiren/HCTZ 300/25 mg and 300/12.5 mg provided significantly greater least-squares mean changes in mean sitting systolic/diastolic BP (msSBP/DBP) reductions from baseline (15.9/11 mm Hg and 13.5/10.5 mm Hg, respectively) than aliskiren 300 mg alone (8/7.4 mm Hg; both $p<0.001$). Rates of blood pressure control ($<140/90$ mm Hg) were significantly higher with aliskiren/HCTZ 300/25 mg (60.2 percent) and 300/12.5 mg (57.9 percent) than with aliskiren 300 mg alone (40.9 percent; both $p<0.001$). Aliskiren/HCTZ single-pill combination treatment showed similar tolerability to aliskiren monotherapy.

A double-blind, multicenter study randomized 1,124 patients with mean sitting diastolic blood pressure (msDBP) 95 to 109 mm Hg to aliskiren 150 mg, HCTZ 12.5 mg, or placebo once daily.¹⁸⁸ Forced titration to aliskiren 300 mg or HCTZ 25 mg occurred at week three. At week six, patients receiving placebo were reassigned (1:1 ratio) to aliskiren 300 mg or HCTZ 25 mg. From week 12, amlodipine 5 mg was added and titrated to 10 mg from week 18 for patients who's BP remained uncontrolled. BP reductions (msSBP/DBP) were significantly greater with aliskiren compared to HCTZ based treatment at week 26 ($-20.3/-14.2$ versus $-18.6/-13$ mm Hg; $p<0.05$) and were also greater at week 52 ($-22.1/-16$ versus $-21.2/-15$ mm Hg; $p<0.05$ for mean msDBP). At the end of the monotherapy period (week 12), aliskiren

300 mg was more effective than HCTZ 25 mg in reducing blood pressure (-17.4/-12.2 versus -14.7/-10.3 mm Hg; $p < 0.001$). Adverse event rates were similar with aliskiren versus HCTZ based therapy, 65.2 percent versus 61.5 percent, respectively. Hypokalemia was more frequent with HCTZ based therapy versus aliskiren based therapy, 17.9 percent versus 0.9 percent, respectively, $p < 0.0001$.

Utilizing the study population mentioned above, a post hoc analysis of 396 obese patients (body mass index ≥ 30 kg/m²) was performed.¹⁸⁹ Aliskiren monotherapy provided significantly greater BP reductions than HCTZ at week 12 (-16.7/-12.3 versus -12.2/-9.1 mm Hg, $p \leq 0.001$) in the subgroup of obese patients. At week 52, blood pressure reductions were also significantly greater with aliskiren-based therapy than HCTZ-based therapy (-19.9/-15.5 versus -17.5/-13.3 mm Hg; $p = 0.138$ for SBP and $p = 0.007$ for DBP). Mean BP reductions from baseline with aliskiren-based therapy were similar in obese and nonobese patients. However, HCTZ-based therapy provided significantly smaller mean reductions in BP from baseline in obese patients versus nonobese patients ($p < 0.05$). Aliskiren-based therapy was generally well tolerated in obese patients and was associated with a significantly lower incidence of hypokalemia (one versus 14 percent, $p < 0.0001$) than HCTZ-based therapy.

The efficacy, safety, and tolerability of a single-pill combination (SPC) of aliskiren/HCTZ were investigated in patients non-responsive to HCTZ 25 mg therapy.¹⁹⁰ Patients ($n = 722$) with mean sitting diastolic BP ≥ 90 and < 110 mm Hg despite four weeks of therapy with HCTZ 25 mg were randomized to eight weeks of once-daily, double-blinded treatment with a SPC of aliskiren/HCTZ 300/25 mg or 150/25 mg, or continued HCTZ 25 mg monotherapy. Least-squares mean changes in mean sitting systolic/diastolic BP (msSBP/DBP) from baseline were analyzed for the intention-to-treat population. Aliskiren/HCTZ 300/25 mg and 150/25 mg SPCs lowered msSBP/DBP from baseline significantly more than HCTZ alone (-16.7/-10.7 and -12.9/-8.5 mm Hg, respectively, compared to -7.1/-4.8 mm Hg; both $p < 0.001$). Rates of BP control ($< 140/90$ mm Hg) were also significantly higher with aliskiren/HCTZ 300/25 mg (58 percent) and 150/25 mg (49 percent) when compared with HCTZ (26 percent; both $p < 0.001$). Additionally, results showed that aliskiren/HCTZ 300/25 mg provided significantly greater msSBP/DBP reductions and rates of BP control than the 150/25 mg SPC dose (all $p < 0.05$). Aliskiren/HCTZ SPC treatment showed similar tolerability to HCTZ alone, and aliskiren/HCTZ showed a numerically lower incidence of hypokalemia (serum potassium < 3.5 mmol/L; aliskiren/HCTZ, 1.3-2.2 percent: HCTZ alone, 3.4 percent).

In another study, efficacy, safety and tolerability of a single-pill combination (SPC) of aliskiren/HCTZ was investigated in patients non-responsive to aliskiren monotherapy.¹⁹¹ Patients ($n = 880$) with mean sitting diastolic BP (msDBP) > 90 and ≤ 110 mm Hg despite four weeks of therapy with aliskiren 300 mg were randomized to eight weeks of once-daily, double-blind treatment with a SPC of aliskiren/HCTZ 300/25 mg or 300/12.5 mg, or continued aliskiren 300 mg monotherapy. Least-squares mean changes in mean sitting systolic/diastolic BP (msSBP/DBP) from baseline were analyzed for the intent-to-treat population. Aliskiren/HCTZ 300/25 mg and 300/12.5 mg lowered msSBP/DBP from baseline significantly more than aliskiren alone (-15.9/-11 mm Hg and -13.5/-10.5 mm Hg, respectively, compared to -8/-7.4 mm Hg; both $p < 0.001$). Rates of BP control ($< 140/90$ mm Hg) were also significantly higher with aliskiren/HCTZ 300/25 mg (60.2 percent) and 300/12.5 mg (57.9 percent) when compared to aliskiren 300 mg alone (40.9 percent; both $p < 0.001$). Aliskiren/HCTZ SPC treatment showed similar tolerability to aliskiren monotherapy.

A randomized double-blind study included 688 patients with a mean sitting SBP ≥ 160 mm Hg and < 180 mm Hg.¹⁹² After a two- to four-week washout period, patients were randomized to once-daily aliskiren/hydrochlorothiazide (HCTZ) 150/12.5 mg or aliskiren 150 mg for one week and then the dose

was doubled for an additional 11 weeks. At week 12, aliskiren/HCTZ lowered BP significantly more than aliskiren (least-squares mean between-treatment differences [95% CI] were -9.7 [-12 to -7.4] for SBP and -4.5 [-5.8 to -3.2] for DBP; both $p < 0.0001$). Similar BP reductions were seen in the subgroups of patients with isolated systolic hypertension and obesity.

aliskiren (Tekturna) with valsartan (Diovan®)

A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, four-arm, dose escalation study of 1,797 patients was conducted over eight weeks. Patients received aliskiren 150 or 300 mg or valsartan 160 or 320 mg either alone or in combination.¹⁹³ Inclusion criteria were baseline mean sitting DBP of 95 to 100 mm Hg and eight hour daytime ambulatory DBP greater than or equal to 90 mm Hg. Patients were randomized to once daily therapy with aliskiren 150 mg, valsartan 160 mg, a combination of aliskiren 150 mg and valsartan 160 mg, or placebo for four weeks. Forced titration to double the initial dose continued for an additional four weeks. Greater blood pressure reductions were achieved with combination therapy compared with monotherapy. Reduction in the mean sitting DBP compared to baseline was 12.2 mm Hg with combination therapy, 9 mm Hg with aliskiren 300 mg ($p < 0.0001$), 9.7 mm Hg with valsartan 320 mg ($p < 0.0001$) and 4.1 mm Hg with placebo ($p < 0.0001$). Rates of adverse events were similar among all groups.

An eight-week, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multifactorial, parallel group, multicenter study of 1,123 hypertensive patients compared blood pressure lowering effects of aliskiren and valsartan monotherapy or in combination versus placebo.¹⁹⁴ Aliskiren monotherapy at doses of 75 mg to 300 mg resulted in similar blood pressure reductions as valsartan 80 mg to 320 mg. The combination of aliskiren and valsartan decreased blood pressure more than the individual monotherapies. All treatments were well tolerated.

Patients ($n=465$) with hypertension, increased ventricular wall thickness and body mass index >25 kg/m² were randomized to receive aliskiren 300 mg, losartan 100 mg or the combination of both for nine months.¹⁹⁵ Add-on therapy, with the exception of other inhibitors of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system and beta-blockers, was allowed to treat patients to standard blood pressure targets. Assessment of left ventricular (LV) mass at baseline and at study completion was performed using cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging. The change in LV mass index from baseline to follow-up in the combination and losartan arms was the primary end point; the secondary objective was to determine whether aliskiren was noninferior to losartan in reducing LV mass index from baseline to follow-up. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure was reduced similarly in all groups (6.5+/-14.9/3.8+/-10.1 mm Hg in the aliskiren group; 5.5+/-15.6/3.7+/-10.7 mm Hg in the losartan group; 6.6+/-16.6/4.6+/-10.5 mm Hg in the combination arm; $p < 0.0001$ within groups, $p=0.81$ between groups). LV mass index was reduced significantly from baseline in all treatment groups (4.9-, 4.8-, and 5.8 g/m² reductions in the aliskiren, losartan, and combination arms, respectively; $p < 0.0001$ for all treatment groups. The reduction in LV mass index in the combination group was not significantly different from that with losartan alone ($p=0.52$). Aliskiren was as effective as losartan in reducing LV mass index ($p < 0.0001$ for noninferiority). Safety and tolerability were similar across all treatment groups.

aliskiren (Tekturna) with lisinopril

An eight-week, randomized, double-blind, parallel group, multicenter study of 183 patients with severe hypertension compared aliskiren 150 mg to lisinopril 20 mg. Dose titration to aliskiren 300 mg or

lisinopril 40 mg and subsequent addition of HCTZ occurred if additional blood pressure reduction was needed.¹⁹⁶ Aliskiren showed similar reductions to lisinopril in both SBP (aliskiren 20 mm Hg versus lisinopril 22.3 mm Hg, mean treatment difference 2.8 mm Hg, 95% CI, -1.7 to 7.4) and DBP (aliskiren 18.5 mm Hg versus lisinopril 20.1 mm Hg, mean treatment difference 1.7 mm Hg, 95% CI, -1 to 4.4). About 50 percent of both groups required the addition of HCTZ. The percentage of patients reporting adverse events was similar in the two groups.

aliskiren (Tekturna) with ramipril

An eight-week, randomized, double-blind, multicenter study of 837 patients with diabetes mellitus and hypertension compared aliskiren 150 mg titrated to 300 mg after four weeks, ramipril 5 mg titrated to 10 mg, or aliskiren/ramipril.¹⁹⁷ The combination reduced DBP more than aliskiren ($p=0.043$) or ramipril ($p=0.004$) monotherapy, resulting in an additional 4.6/2.1 mm Hg reduction. The aliskiren and ramipril combination also provided significantly greater mean reductions from baseline in SBP than ramipril ($p<0.0001$), but not aliskiren ($p=0.088$). Aliskiren monotherapy was statistically non-inferior to ramipril for DBP reduction ($p=0.0002$) and statistically superior for SBP reduction ($p=0.021$). Aliskiren significantly reduced plasma renin activity both as monotherapy (by 66 percent, $p<0.0001$) and combination therapy (by 48 percent, $p<0.0001$), despite large increases in plasma renin concentration in all groups. Aliskiren was well-tolerated.

A double-blind study compared aliskiren and ramipril alone and combined with HCTZ in patients with hypertension.¹⁹⁸ Following a two to four week placebo run-in period, 842 patients were randomized to aliskiren 150 mg or ramipril 5 mg. Dose titration (to aliskiren 300 mg/ramipril 10 mg) and subsequent HCTZ addition (12.5 mg, titrated to 25 mg if needed) were permitted at weeks six, 12, 18 and 21 for inadequate blood pressure control. Patients completing the 26-week active-controlled treatment period were re-randomized to their existing regimen or placebo for a four-week double-blind withdrawal phase. At week 26, the aliskiren group produced greater mean reductions in mean sitting systolic blood pressure (msSBP) (17.9 versus 15.2 mm Hg, $p=0.0036$) and mean sitting diastolic blood pressure (msDBP) (13.2 versus 12 mm Hg, $p=0.025$), and higher rates of SBP (<140 mm Hg; 72.5 versus 64.1 percent, $p=0.0075$) compared with the ramipril group. During withdrawal, blood pressure increased more rapidly after stopping ramipril than aliskiren; median blood pressure reached 140/90 mm Hg after one and four weeks, respectively. Blood pressure reductions were maintained with continued active treatment. Adverse event rates were similar with aliskiren (61.3 percent) and ramipril (60.4 percent); cough was more frequent with ramipril (9.5 percent) compared with aliskiren (4.1 percent).

A 36-week, randomized, double-blind, parallel-group, active-controlled, optional-titration study was performed to compare efficacy and safety of aliskiren with ramipril for the treatment of essential systolic hypertension in 901 elderly (≥ 65 years of age) patients with SBP ≥ 140 mm Hg.¹⁹⁹ Aliskiren 150-300 mg per day ($n=457$) or ramipril 5-10 mg per day ($n=444$) was administered for 12 weeks. HCTZ 12.5-25 mg per day was added at week 12, and amlodipine 5-10 mg per day was added at week 22 if blood pressure control was not achieved. Non-inferiority of aliskiren versus ramipril monotherapy for change from baseline in mean sitting SBP (msSBP) at week 12 was the primary end point. Decreases from baseline msSBP and mean sitting diastolic BP with aliskiren monotherapy (-14 and -5.1 mm Hg, respectively) were non-inferior ($p<0.001$ for both values) and superior to ramipril monotherapy (-11.6, -3.6 mm Hg; $p=0.02$, $p<0.01$, respectively). More patients achieved BP control with aliskiren (42 percent) than ramipril (33 percent; $p<0.01$). At week 36, fewer patients receiving aliskiren-based

therapy required add-on treatment with HCTZ or amlodipine ($p=0.01$ and 0.048 , respectively). More patients receiving ramipril reported cough ($p<0.001$).

Aliskiren (Tekturna) with amlodipine

Aliskiren 150 mg and 300 mg and amlodipine besylate 5 mg and 10 mg were studied alone and in combination in 1,685 patients in a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multifactorial, eight-week, study.²⁰⁰ Treatment with aliskiren and amlodipine resulted overall in significantly greater reductions in diastolic and systolic blood pressure compared to the respective monotherapy components.

Meta-analyses

A meta-analysis of seven trials including 33,960 patients found that in stable CAD patients with preserved left ventricular function, ACE inhibitors were associated with reduced total and cardiovascular mortality, MI, and stroke.²⁰¹ Drugs included in the meta-analysis were enalapril, perindopril, quinapril, ramipril, and trandolapril.

A review of six trials of aliskiren involving over 5,000 patients with mild to moderate hypertension found aliskiren to be no more effective than ACE inhibitors, ARBs, or diuretics for lowering blood pressure.²⁰²

SUMMARY

Data from numerous clinical trials suggest, when given in equipotent doses, all ACE inhibitors are effective in the treatment of hypertension. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic differences do not support an advantage of any one agent over another in the majority of patients with hypertension.

The 2009 ACCF/AHA HF Guidelines consider ACE inhibitors a standard of therapy for HF, as they have consistently demonstrated a significant reduction in mortality. The evidence suggests the benefit of ACE inhibitors in CHF is a class effect. ACE inhibitors should be given to all CHF patients who are at high risk for CHD regardless of the presence or absence of concomitant hypertension.

Beneficial effects of ACE inhibitors are demonstrated in diabetic and nondiabetic nephropathies beyond those expected from lowering blood pressure. In patients with type 1 diabetes and hypertension, ACE inhibitors delay the progression of nephropathy regardless of the degree of albuminuria. ACE inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) delay the progression of nephropathy and delay the increase in albuminuria in hypertensive type 2 diabetics with microalbuminuria.

In the setting of AMI, ACE inhibitors prevent ventricular remodeling, attenuate ventricular dilatation over time, and decrease the likelihood of CHF, recurrent MI, and death in patients with LVD, and early ACE inhibitor therapy is recommended.

All ACE inhibitors have similar incidence rates of adverse events. Cough and central nervous system effects (e.g., dizziness and headache) are the most prevalent. Captopril has a slightly higher incidence of rash, likely due to its sulphhydryl side chain.

Aliskiren (Tekturna) offers an alternative in the treatment of hypertension, but at this time, evidence does not support a clear advantage over ACEIs and ARBs. Significant drug interactions with aliskiren (Tekturna) include irbesartan (Avapro), atorvastatin (Lipitor), furosemide and ketoconazole. The clinical

significance of aliskiren's (Tekturna) unique mechanism has not been demonstrated in reduction of morbidity and mortality.

REFERENCES

- 1 Lotensin [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp; May 2011.
- 2 <http://clinicalpharmacology.com>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 3 Vasotec [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Biovail Pharmaceuticals; March 2008.
- 4 <http://clinicalpharmacology.com>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 5 Prinivil [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; June 2011.
- 6 Zestril [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; August 2011.
- 7 Univasac [package insert]. Smyrna, GA; Schwarz Pharma; August 2011.
- 8 Aceon [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 9 Accupril [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; August 2011.
- 10 Altace [package insert]. Bristol, TN; King Pharmaceuticals; June 2011.
- 11 Mavik [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott Laboratories; September 2011.
- 12 Tekturna [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp; October 2011.
- 13 Nesbitt SD. Antihypertensive combination therapy: optimizing blood pressure control and cardiovascular risk reduction. *J Clin Hypertens* (Greenwich). 2007; 9(11 S 4):26-32.
- 14 Joint National Committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure and the National High Blood Pressure Education program Coordinating Committee. The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure. *JAMA*. 2003; 289:2560-2572.
- 15 Roger VL, Go AS, Lloyd-Jones D, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2012 Update: A Report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2012;125:e12-e230. Available at: <http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/early/2011/12/15/CIR.0b013e31823ac046>. Accessed January 31, 2012.
- 16 American Diabetes Association. Executive Summary: Standards of medical care in diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2010; 33:S4-S10. Available at: http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/33/Supplement_1/S11.full.pdf+html. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 17 National Kidney Foundation Guidelines. K/DOQI clinical practice guideline on hypertension and antihypertensive agents in chronic kidney disease: Kidney Disease Outcome Quality Initiative. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2004; 43(suppl 1):S16-S33. Available at: <http://www.kidney.org/PROFESSIONALS/kdoqi/index.cfm>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 18 Roger VL, Go AS, Lloyd-Jones D, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics-2012 Update: A Report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2012;125:e12-e230. Available at: <http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/early/2011/12/15/CIR.0b013e31823ac046>. Accessed January 31, 2012.
- 19 Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR and the National High Blood Pressure Education Program Coordinating Committee. The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. *JAMA*. 2003; 289:2560-2572.
- 20 Rosendorff C, Black HR, Cannon CP, et al. Treatment of hypertension in the prevention and management of ischemic heart disease: a scientific statement from the American Heart Association council for high blood pressure research and the councils on clinical cardiology and epidemiology and prevention. *Circulation*. 2007; 115(21):2761-2788. Available at: <http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/reprint/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.183885>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 21 Turnbull F, Neal B, Algert C, et al. Effects of different blood pressure-lowering regimens on major cardiovascular events in individuals with and without diabetes mellitus: results of prospectively designed overviews of random trials. *Arch Intern Med*. 2005; 165(12):1410-1419.
- 22 Staessen JA, Wang JG, Thijs L. Cardiovascular prevention and blood pressure reduction: a quantitative overview updated until 1 March 2003. *J Hypertens*. 2003; 21(6):1055-1076.
- 23 Joint National Committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure and the National High Blood Pressure Education program Coordinating Committee. The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure. *JAMA*. 2003; 289:2560-2572.
- 24 Joint National Committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure and the National High Blood Pressure Education program Coordinating Committee. The Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure. *JAMA*. 2003; 289:2560-2572.
- 25 Hunt SA, Abraham WT, Chin MH. 2009 Focused update incorporated into the ACC/AHA 2005 guidelines for the diagnosis and management of heart failure in adults. A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Developed in collaboration with the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. *Circulation*. 2009; 119:e391-e479. Available at: <http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/content/full/119/14/e391>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 26 American Diabetes Association. Nephropathy in Diabetes. *Diabetic Care*. 2004; 27(1):S79-83. Available at: http://care.diabetesjournals.org/cgi/content/full/27/suppl_1/s79. Accessed January 21, 2012. American Diabetes Association. Nephropathy in Diabetes: *Diabet Care*. 2008; 31:S3-S4.
- 27 The Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation Study Investigators. Effects of an angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor, ramipril, on cardiovascular events in high-risk patients. *N Engl J Med*. 2000; 342:145-153.
- 28 Antman EM, Hand M, Armstrong PW, et al. 2007 Focused Update of the ACC/AHA 2004 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines: Developed in Collaboration With the Canadian Cardiovascular Society Endorsed by the American Academy of Family Physicians : 2007 Writing Group to Review New Evidence and Update the ACC/AHA 2004 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction, Writing on Behalf of the 2004 Writing Committee. Available at <http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/reprint/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.188209>. 2009; 32 (suppl 1):S6-S12. Available at: http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/vol32/Supplement_1/. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 29 American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2012; 35 (suppl 1):S11-S63. Available at: http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/35/Supplement_1/S11.full. Accessed January 21, 2012.

- 30 Messerli FH, Makani H, Benjo A, et al. Antihypertensive efficacy of hydrochlorothiazide as evaluated by ambulatory blood pressure monitoring: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2011; 57:590-600.
- 31 Hunt SA, Abraham WT, Chin MH. 2009 Focused update incorporated into the ACC/AHA 2005 guidelines for the diagnosis and management of heart failure in adults. A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Developed in collaboration with the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. *Circulation.* 2009; 119:e391-e479. Available at: <http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/content/full/119/14/e391>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 32 Hunt SA, Abraham WT, Chin MH. 2009 Focused update incorporated into the ACC/AHA 2005 guidelines for the diagnosis and management of heart failure in adults. A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Developed in collaboration with the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. *Circulation.* 2009; 119:e391-e479. Available at: <http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/content/full/119/14/e391>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 33 Garg R, Yusuf S, for the Collaborative Group on ACE Inhibitor Trials. Overview of randomized trials of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors on mortality and morbidity in patients with heart failure. *JAMA.* 1995; 273:1450-1456.
- 34 Hunt SA, Abraham WT, Chin MH. 2009 Focused update incorporated into the ACC/AHA 2005 guidelines for the diagnosis and management of heart failure in adults. A Report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Developed in collaboration with the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. *Circulation.* 2009; 119:e391-e479. Available at: <http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/content/full/119/14/e391>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 35 Ahmed A, Centor RM, Weaver MT, et al. A propensity score analysis of the impact of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors on long-term survival of older adults with heart failure and perceived contraindications. *Am Heart J.* 2005; 149-(4):737-743.
- 36 Strippoli GFM, Craig M, Deeks JJ, et al. Effects of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor antagonists on mortality and renal outcomes in diabetic nephropathy: systematic review. *BMJ.* 2004; 329:828-839.
- 37 American Diabetes Association. Nephropathy in Diabetes. *Diabetic Care.* 2004; 27(1):S79-83. Available at: http://care.diabetesjournals.org/cgi/content/full/27/suppl_1/s79. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 38 American Diabetes Association. Standards of medical care in diabetes. *Diabetes Care.* 2012; 35 (suppl 1):S11-S63. Available at: http://care.diabetesjournals.org/content/35/Supplement_1/S11.full. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 39 Anderson JL, Adams CD, Antman EM, et al. ACC/AHA 2007 guidelines for the management of patients with unstable angina/non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to Revise the 2002 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With Unstable Angina/Non-ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction): developed in collaboration with the American College of Emergency Physicians, American College of Physicians, Society for Academic Emergency Medicine, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2007; 50:e1-157. Available at: <http://content.onlinejacc.org/cgi/reprint/50/7/e1>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 40 Antman EM, Hand M, Armstrong PW, et al. 2007 Focused Update of the ACC/AHA 2004 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines: Developed in Collaboration With the Canadian Cardiovascular Society Endorsed by the American Academy of Family Physicians : 2007 Writing Group to Review New Evidence and Update the ACC/AHA 2004 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction, Writing on Behalf of the 2004 Writing Committee. Available at: <http://www.circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/content/full/117/2/296>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 41 Antman EM, Hand M, Armstrong PW, et al. 2007 Focused Update of the ACC/AHA 2004 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines: Developed in Collaboration With the Canadian Cardiovascular Society Endorsed by the American Academy of Family Physicians : 2007 Writing Group to Review New Evidence and Update the ACC/AHA 2004 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction, Writing on Behalf of the 2004 Writing Committee. Available at: <http://www.circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/content/full/117/2/296>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 42 Anderson JL, Adams CD, Antman EM, et al. ACC/AHA 2007 guidelines for the management of patients with unstable angina/non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to Revise the 2002 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With Unstable Angina/Non-ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction): developed in collaboration with the American College of Emergency Physicians, American College of Physicians, Society for Academic Emergency Medicine, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2007; 50:e1-157. Available at: <http://content.onlinejacc.org/cgi/reprint/50/7/e1>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 43 ACE inhibitor Myocardial Infarction Collaborative Group. Indications for ACE inhibitors in the early treatment of acute myocardial infarction: systematic overview of individual data from 100,000 patients in randomized trials. *Circulation.* 1998; 97:2202-2012.
- 44 ISIS-4: a randomized factorial trial assessing early oral captopril, oral mononitrate, and intravenous magnesium sulphate in 58,050 patients with suspected acute myocardial infarction. ISIS-4 (Fourth International Study of Infarct Survival) Collaborative Group. *Lancet.* 1995; 345(8951):669-685.
- 45 GISSI-3: effects of lisinopril and transdermal glyceryl trinitrate singly and together on 6-week mortality and ventricular function after acute myocardial infarction. Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravvivenza nell'infarto Miocardico. *Lancet.* 1994; 343(8906):1115-1122.
- 46 The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. Comparative Effectiveness of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors (ACEIs) and Angiotensin II Receptor Antagonists (ARBs) for Treating Essential Hypertension. 2007. Available at: http://effectivehealthcare.ahrq.gov/repFiles/ACEI_ARBFullReport.pdf. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 47 Lacourciere Y, Brunner H, Irwin R, et al. Effects of modulators of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system on cough. Losartan Cough Study Group. *J Hypertens.* 1994; 12:1387-1393.
- 48 Yusuf S, Sleight P, Anderson C, ONTARGET Investigators. Telmisartan, ramipril, or both in patients at high risk for vascular events. *N Engl J Med.* 2008; 358(15):1547-1559.
- 49 Matchar DB, McCrory DC, Orlando LA, et al. Systematic review: comparative effectiveness of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors and angiotensin II receptor blockers for treating essential hypertension. *Ann Intern Med.* 2008; 148(1):16-29.
- 50 Tekturna [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp: October 2011.
- 51 Tekturna [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp: October 2011.
- 52 <http://clinicalpharmacology.com>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 53 Unger T, Li J. The role of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system in heart failure. *J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst.* 2004; 5 Suppl 1:57-10.
- 54 Tekturna [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp: October 2011.
- 55 Lotensin HCT [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals; June 2009.

- 56 Lotensin [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp; May 2011.
- 57 DRUGDEX® System [Internet Greenwood, Colo: Thompson Micromedex. updated periodically. Accessed January 31, 2012.
- 58 Vasotec [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Biovail Pharmaceuticals; March 2008.
- 59 <http://clinicalpharmacology.com>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 60 Prinivil [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; June 2011.
- 61 Zestril [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; August 2011.
- 62 Univas [package insert]. Smyrna, GA; Schwarz Pharma; August 2011.
- 63 Aceon [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 64 Accupril [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; August 2011.
- 65 Altace [package insert]. Bristol, TN; King Pharmaceuticals; June 2011.
- 66 Mavik [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott Laboratories; September 2011.
- 67 Tekturna [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp; October 2011.
- 68 DRUGDEX® System [Internet Greenwood, Colo: Thompson Micromedex. updated periodically. Accessed January 31, 2012..
- 69 Vittorio TJ, Ahuja K, Kasper M, et al. Comparison of high- versus low-tissue affinity ACE-inhibitor treatment of circulating aldosterone levels in patients with chronic heart failure. *J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst.* 2007; 8(4):200-204.
- 70 Lotensin [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp; May 2011.
- 71 <http://clinicalpharmacology.com>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 72 Vasotec [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Biovail Pharmaceuticals; March 2008.
- 73 <http://clinicalpharmacology.com>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 74 Prinivil [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; June 2011.
- 75 Zestril [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; August 2011.
- 76 Univas [package insert]. Smyrna, GA; Schwarz Pharma; August 2011.
- 77 Aceon [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 78 Accupril [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; August 2011.
- 79 Altace [package insert]. Bristol, TN; King Pharmaceuticals; June 2011.
- 80 Mavik [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott Laboratories; September 2011.
- 81 Tekturna [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp; October 2011.
- 82 Lotensin [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp; May 2011.
- 83 <http://clinicalpharmacology.com>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 84 Vasotec [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Biovail Pharmaceuticals; March 2008.
- 85 <http://clinicalpharmacology.com>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 86 Prinivil [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; June 2011.
- 87 Zestril [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; August 2011.
- 88 Univas [package insert]. Smyrna, GA; Schwarz Pharma; August 2011.
- 89 Aceon [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 90 Accupril [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; August 2011.
- 91 Altace [package insert]. Bristol, TN; King Pharmaceuticals; June 2011.
- 92 Mavik [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott Laboratories; September 2011.
- 93 Tekturna [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp; October 2011.
- 94 <http://www.novartis.com/newsroom/media-releases/en/2011/1572562.shtml>. Accessed January 31, 2012.
- 95 Lotensin [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp; May 2011.
- 96 <http://clinicalpharmacology.com>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 97 Vasotec [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Biovail Pharmaceuticals; March 2008.
- 98 <http://online.factsandcomparisons.com>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 99 Prinivil [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; June 2011.
- 100 Zestril [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; August 2011.
- 101 Univas [package insert]. Smyrna, GA; Schwarz Pharma; August 2011.
- 102 Aceon [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 103 Accupril [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; August 2011.
- 104 Altace [package insert]. Bristol, TN; King Pharmaceuticals; June 2011.
- 105 Mavik [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott Laboratories; September 2011.
- 106 Tekturna [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp; October 2011.
- 107 Lotensin [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp; May 2011.
- 108 <http://clinicalpharmacology.com>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 109 Vasotec [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Biovail Pharmaceuticals; March 2008.
- 110 <http://clinicalpharmacology.com>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 111 Prinivil [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; June 2011.
- 112 Zestril [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; August 2011.
- 113 Univas [package insert]. Smyrna, GA; Schwarz Pharma; August 2011.
- 114 Aceon [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 115 Accupril [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; August 2011.
- 116 Altace [package insert]. Bristol, TN; King Pharmaceuticals; June 2011.
- 117 Mavik [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott Laboratories; September 2011.
- 118 Wuhl E, Mehls O, Schaefer F; ESCAPE Trial Group. Antihypertensive and antiproteinuric efficacy of ramipril in children with chronic renal failure. *Kidney Int.* 2004; 66(2):768-776.
- 119 Tekturna [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp; October 2011.
- 120 DRUGDEX® System [Internet database]. Greenwood, Colo: Thompson Micromedex. Updated periodically. Accessed January 31, 2012.

- 121 Cooper WO, Hernandez-Diaz S, Arbogast PG, et al. Major congenital malformations after first trimester exposure to ace inhibitors. *N Engl J Med.* 2006; 354(23):2443-2451.
- 122 Tekturna [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp: October 2011.
- 123 Altace [package insert]. Bristol, TN; King Pharmaceuticals; June 2011.
- 124 Accupril [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; August 2011.
- 125 Lotensin [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp; May 2011.
- 126 Tekturna [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp: October 2011.
- 127 Lotensin [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp; May 2011.
- 128 <http://clinicalpharmacology.com>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 129 Vasotec [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Biovail Pharmaceuticals; March 2008.
- 130 <http://clinicalpharmacology.com>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 131 Prinivil [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; June 2011.
- 132 Zestril [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; August 2011.
- 133 Univasc [package insert]. Smyrna, GA; Schwarz Pharma; August 2011.
- 134 Aceon [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 135 Accupril [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; August 2011.
- 136 Altace [package insert]. Bristol, TN; King Pharmaceuticals; June 2011.
- 137 Mavik [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott Laboratories; September 2011.
- 138 Tekturna [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp: October 2011.
- 139 Lotensin [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp; May 2011.
- 140 <http://clinicalpharmacology.com>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 141 Vasotec [package insert]. Bridgewater, NJ; Biovail Pharmaceuticals; March 2008.
- 142 <http://clinicalpharmacology.com>. Accessed January 21, 2012.
- 143 Prinivil [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; June 2011.
- 144 Zestril [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; August 2011.
- 145 Univasc [package insert]. Smyrna, GA; Schwarz Pharma; August 2011.
- 146 Aceon [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 147 Brugts JJ, Boersma E, Chonchol M, et al. EUROPA Investigators. The cardioprotective effects of the angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor perindopril in patients with stable coronary artery disease are not modified by mild to moderate renal insufficiency: insights from the EUROPA trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2007; 50(22):2148-2155.
- 148 Accupril [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; August 2011.
- 149 Altace [package insert]. Bristol, TN; King Pharmaceuticals; June 2011.
- 150 Mavik [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott Laboratories; September 2011.
- 151 Tekturna [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp: October 2011.
- 152 Tekturna [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp: October 2011.
- 153 Leonetti G, Cuspidi C. Choosing the right ACE inhibitor: A guide to selection. *Drugs.* 1995; 49:516-535.
- 154 Leonetti G, Cuspidi C. Choosing the right ACE inhibitor. A guide to selection. *Drugs.* 1995; 49:516-535.
- 155 Garg R, Yusuf S for the Collaborative Group on ACE Inhibitor Trials. Overview of randomized trials of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors on mortality and morbidity in patients with heart failure. *JAMA.* 1995; 273:1450-1456.
- 156 SOLVD Investigators. Effect of enalapril on survival in patients with reduced left ventricular ejection fractions and congestive heart failure. *N Engl J Med.* 1991; 325:293-302.
- 157 The CONSENSUS Trial Study Group. Effects of enalapril on mortality in severe congestive heart failure. *N Engl J Med.* 1987; 316:1429-1435.
- 158 Jong P, Yusuf S, Rousseau MF, et al. Effect of enalapril on 12-year survival and life expectancy in patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction: a follow-up study. *Lancet.* 2003; 361:1843-1848.
- 159 Roe CM, Motheral BR, Teitelbaum F, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor compliance and dosing among patients with heart failure. *Am J Heart.* 1999; 138(5 Pt 1):818-825.
- 160 Philbin EF. Factors determining angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor underutilization in heart failure in a community setting. *Clin Cardiol.* 1998; 21:103-108.
- 161 The Large State Peer Review Organization Consortium. Heart failure treatment with angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors in hospitalized Medicare patients in 10 large states. *Arch Intern Med.* 1997; 157:1103-1108.
- 162 Michaels AD, Maynard C, Every NR, et al. Early use of ACE inhibitors in the treatment of acute myocardial infarction in the United States: experience from the National Registry of Myocardial Infarction 2. National Registry of Myocardial Infarction 2 participants. *Am J Cardiol.* 1999; 84:1176-1181.
- 163 Packer M, Poole-Wilson PA, Armstrong PW, et al. Comparative effects of low and high doses of the angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, lisinopril, on morbidity and mortality in chronic heart failure. *Circulation.* 1999; 100:2312-2318.
- 164 Majumdar SR, McAlister FA, Cree M, et al. Do evidence-based treatments provide incremental benefits to patients with congestive heart failure already receiving angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors? A secondary analysis of one-year outcomes from the Assessment of Treatment with Lisinopril and Survival (ATLAS) study. *Clin Ther.* 2004; 26(5):694-703.
- 165 Dickstein K, Kjekshus J, OPTIMAAL Steering Committee. Effect of losartan and captopril on mortality and morbidity in high risk patients after acute myocardial infarction: The OPTIMAAL randomized trial. *Lancet.* 2002; 360(9335):752-760.
- 166 Moller JE, Dahlstrom U, Gotzsche O, et al. Effects of losartan and captopril on left ventricular systolic and diastolic function after acute myocardial infarction: Results of the Optimal Trial in Myocardial Infarction with Angiotensin II Antagonist Losartan (OPTIMAAL) echocardiographic substudy. *Am Heart J.* 2004; 147(3):494-501.
- 167 Kober L, Torp-Pedersen C, Carlsen JE, et al. A clinical trial of the angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor trandolapril in patients with left ventricular dysfunction after myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med.* 1995; 333:1670-1676.
- 168 Abdulla J, Burchardt H, Z Abildstrom S, et al. The angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor trandolapril has neutral effect on exercise tolerance or functional class in patients with myocardial infarction and reduced left ventricular systolic function. *Eur Heart J.* 2003 24(23):2116-2122.

- 169 The Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation Study Investigators. Effects of an angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitor, ramipril, on cardiovascular events in high-risk patients. *N Engl J Med.* 2000; 342:145-153.
- 170 Arnold JMO, Yusuf S, Young J, et al. Prevention of Heart Failure in Patients in the Heart Outcomes Prevention Evaluation (HOPE) Study. *Circulation.* 2003; 107:1282-1288.
- 171 HOPE/HOPE-TOO Study Investigators. Long-Term Effects of Ramipril on Cardiovascular Events and on Diabetes Results of the HOPE Study Extension. *Circulation.* 2005; 112:1339-1346.
- 172 Marre M, Lieve M, Chatellier G, et al. Effects of low dose ramipril on cardiovascular and renal outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes and raised excretion of urinary albumin: randomized, double blind, placebo controlled trial (the DIABHYCAR study). *BMJ.* 2004; 328(7438):495.
- 173 The AIRE Study Investigators. Effect of ramipril on mortality and morbidity of survivors of acute myocardial infarction with clinical evidence of heart failure. *Lancet.* 1993; 342:821-828.
- 174 DREAM Trial Investigators. Effect of ramipril on the incidence of diabetes. *N Engl J Med.* 2006; 355(15):1551-1562.
- 175 DREAM Trial Investigators. Effects of ramipril and rosiglitazone on cardiovascular and renal outcomes in people with impaired glucose tolerance or impaired fasting glucose: results of the Diabetes Reduction Assessment with ramipril and rosiglitazone Medication (DREAM) trial. *Diabetes Care.* 2008; 31(5):1007-1014.
- 176 Progress Collaborative Group. Randomized trial of a perindopril-based blood pressure lowering regimen among 6105 individuals with previous stroke or transient ischemic attack. *Lancet.* 2001; 358:1033-1041.
- 177 Fox KM. Efficacy of perindopril in reduction of cardiovascular events among patients with stable coronary artery disease: randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trial (the EUROPA study). *Lancet.* 2003; 362(9386):782-788.
- 178 Daly CA, Fox KM, Remme WJ, et al for the EUROPA Investigators. The effect of perindopril on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality in patients with diabetes in the EUROPA study: results from the PERSUADE substudy. *Eur Heart J.* 2005; 26(14):1369-1378.
- 179 Ferrari R and the PREAMI Investigators. Effects of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibition With Perindopril on Left Ventricular Remodeling and Clinical Outcome Results of the Randomized Perindopril and Remodeling in Elderly With Acute Myocardial Infarction (PREAMI) Study. *Arch Intern Med.* 2006; 166:659-666.
- 180 Braunwald E, Domanski MJ, Fowler SE, et al for the PEACE Trial Investigators. Angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibition in stable coronary artery disease. *N Engl J Med.* 2004; 351(20):2058-2068.
- 181 Pepine CJ, Handberg EM, Cooper-De Hoff RM, et al. A calcium antagonist vs. a non-calcium antagonist hypertension treatment strategy for patients with coronary artery disease. The International Verapamil-Trandolapril Study (INVEST): a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2003; 290(21):2805-2816.
- 182 Cooper-Dehoff R, Cohen JD, Bakris GL, et al. Predictors of development of diabetes mellitus in patients with coronary artery disease taking antihypertensive medications (findings from the International Verapamil SR-Trandolapril Study [INVEST]). *Am J Cardiol.* 2006; 98(7):890-894.
- 183 Yusuf S, Sleight P, Anderson C, ONTARGET Investigators. Telmisartan, ramipril, or both in patients at high risk for vascular events. *N Engl J Med.* 2008; 358(15):1547-1559.
- 184 Mann JFE, Schmieder RE, McQueen M, et al. Renal outcomes with telmisartan, ramipril, or both in people at high vascular risk (the ONTARGET study): a multicentre, randomized, double-blind controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2008; 372:547-553.
- 185 Tekturna [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp.; October 2011.
- 186 Jordan J, Engeli S, Boye SW, et al. Direct renin inhibition with aliskiren in obese patients with arterial hypertension. *Hypertension.* 2007; 49(5):1047-1055.
- 187 Nickenig G, Simanekov V, Lembo G, et al. Efficacy of aliskiren/hydrochlorothiazide single-pill combinations in aliskiren non-responders. *Blood Press Suppl.* 2008; 2:31-40.
- 188 Schmieder RE, Philipp T, Guerediaga J, et al. Long-term antihypertensive efficacy and safety of the oral direct renin inhibitor aliskiren: a 12-month randomized double-blind comparator trial with hydrochlorothiazide. *Circulation.* 2009; 113(3):371-373.
- 189 Schmieder RE, Philipp T, Guerediaga J, et al. Aliskiren-based therapy lowers blood pressure more effectively than hydrochlorothiazide-based therapy in obese patients with hypertension: sub-analysis of a 52-week, randomized, double-blind trial. *J Hypertens.* 2009; 27(7):1493-1501.
- 190 Blumenstein M, Romaszko J, Calderon A, et al. Antihypertensive efficacy and tolerability of aliskiren/hydrochlorothiazide (HCT) single-pill combinations in patients who are non-responsive to HCT 25 mg alone. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2009; 25(4):903-910.
- 191 Nickeing G, Simanekov V, Lembo G, et al. Efficacy of aliskiren/hydrochlorothiazide single-pill combinations in aliskiren non-responders. *Blood Press Suppl.* 2008; 2:31-40.
- 192 Black HR, Kribben A, Acquirre PF. Aliskiren alone or in combination with hydrochlorothiazide in patients with the lower range of stage 2 hypertension: the AQUIRE randomized double-blind study. *J Clin Hypertens.* 2010; 12(12):917-926.
- 193 Oparil S, Yarows SA, Patel S, et al. Efficacy and safety of combined use of aliskiren and valsartan in patients with hypertension: a randomized, double-blind trial. *Lancet.* 2007; 370(9583):221-229.
- 194 Pool JL, Schmeider RE, Azizi M, et al. Aliskiren, an orally effective Renin Inhibitor, provides antihypertensive efficacy alone and in combination with valsartan. *Am J Hypertens.* 2007; 20(1):11-20.
- 195 Solomon SD, Appelbaum E, Manning WJ, et al. Effect of the direct Renin inhibitor aliskiren, the Angiotensin receptor blocker losartan, or both on left ventricular mass in patients with hypertension and left ventricular hypertrophy. *Circulation.* 2009; 119(4):530-537.
- 196 Strasser RH, Puig JG, Farsang C, et al. A comparison of the tolerability of the direct renin inhibitor aliskiren and lisinopril in patients with severe hypertension. *J Hum Hypertens.* 2007; 21(10):780-787.
- 197 Uresin Y, Taylor AA, Kilo C, et al. Efficacy and safety of the direct renin inhibitor aliskiren and ramipril alone or in combination in patients with diabetes and hypertension. *J Renin Angiotensin Aldosterone Syst.* 2007; 8(4):190-198.
- 198 Andersen K, Weinberger MH, Egan B, et al. Comparative efficacy and safety of aliskiren, an oral direct renin inhibitor, and ramipril in hypertension: a 6-month, randomized double-blind trial. *J Hypertens.* 2008; 26(3):589-599.
- 199 Duprez DA, Munger MA, Botha J, et al. Aliskiren for geriatric lowering of systolic hypertension: a randomized controlled trial. *J Hum Hypertens.* 2010; 24(9):600-608.
- 200 Tekturna [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corp.; October 2011.
- 201 Danchin N, Cucherat M, Thuillez C, et al. Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors in Patients With Coronary Artery Disease and Absence of Heart Failure or Left Ventricular Systolic Dysfunction An Overview of Long-term Randomized Controlled Trials. *Arch Intern Med.* 2006; 166:787-796.
- 202 Sealey JE, Laragh JH. Aliskiren, the first renin inhibitor for treating hypertension: reactive renin secretion may limit its effectiveness. *Am J Hypertens.* 2007; 20(5):587-597.