



Lipotropics, Statins

Therapeutic Class Review (TCR)

November 18, 2011

No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording, digital scanning, or via any information storage or retrieval system without the express written consent of Provider Synergies, L.L.C.

All requests for permission should be mailed to:

Attention: Copyright Administrator
Intellectual Property Department
Provider Synergies, L.L.C.
10101 Alliance Road, Suite 201
Cincinnati, Ohio 45242

The materials contained herein represent the opinions of the collective authors and editors and should not be construed to be the official representation of any professional organization or group, any state Pharmacy and Therapeutics committee, any state Medicaid Agency, or any other clinical committee. This material is not intended to be relied upon as medical advice for specific medical cases and nothing contained herein should be relied upon by any patient, medical professional or layperson seeking information about a specific course of treatment for a specific medical condition. All readers of this material are responsible for independently obtaining medical advice and guidance from their own physician and/or other medical professional in regard to the best course of treatment for their specific medical condition. This publication, inclusive of all forms contained herein, is intended to be educational in nature and is intended to be used for informational purposes only. Send comments and suggestions to PSTCReDitor@magellanhealth.com.

AGENTS

Drug	Manufacturer
amlodipine / atorvastatin (Caduet [®])	Pfizer, generic
atorvastatin (Lipitor [®])	Pfizer, generic
ezetimibe / simvastatin (Vytorin [™])	Merck
fluvastatin (Lescol [®])	Novartis
fluvastatin XL (Lescol XL [®])	Novartis
lovastatin (Mevacor [®])	generic
lovastatin ER (Altoprev [®])	Shionogi
niacin ER / lovastatin (Advicor [™])	Abbott
niacin ER / simvastatin (Simcor [®])	Abbott
pitavastatin (Livalo [®])	Eli Lilly
pravastatin (Pravachol [®])	generic
rosuvastatin (Crestor [®])	AstraZeneca
simvastatin (Zocor [®])	generic

FDA-APPROVED INDICATIONS

Indications	fluvastatin (Lescol) fluvastatin ER (Lescol XL) ^{1,2}	lovastatin ³	lovastatin ER (Altprev) ⁴	niacin ER/lovastatin (Advicor) ^{5*}	pravastatin (Pravachol) ⁶
Primary hypercholesterolemia ▪ Heterozygous familial and nonfamilial Reduce: Total-C, LDL-C, TG and ApoB	X	X	X	X	X
Heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia ▪ pediatric	X 10-16 years	X 10-17 years			X 8 years and older
Mixed dyslipidemia <i>Fredrickson Type</i> ▪ II _a and II _b Reduce: Total-C, LDL-C, TG and ApoB	X	X To reduce total-C, LDL-C	X	X	X
Increase HDL-C	X		X	X	X
Hypertriglyceridemia <i>Fredrickson Type IV</i>				X	X
Primary dysbetalipoproteinemia <i>Fredrickson Type III</i>					X
Homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia					
Atherosclerosis ▪ slow progression	X	X	X		X

* Niacin ER/lovastatin (Advicor) is indicated in hypertriglyceridemia (Fredrickson Types IV and V).

FDA-Approved Indications (continued)

Indications	fluvastatin (Lescol) fluvastatin ER (Lescol XL)	lovastatin	lovastatin ER (Altoprev)	niacin ER/lovastatin (Advicor)*	pravastatin (Pravachol)
CVD ▪ primary prevention of coronary events		Reduces risk of MI, unstable angina, coronary revascularization	Reduces risk of MI, unstable angina, coronary revascularization		Reduces risk of MI, myocardial revascularization, CV mortality
CHD ▪ secondary prevention of coronary events	Reduces risk of coronary revascularization				Reduces risk of MI, myocardial revascularization, CV mortality, stroke/TIA

* Niacin ER/lovastatin (Advicor) is indicated in hypertriglyceridemia (Fredrickson Types IV and V).

FDA-Approved Indications (continued)

Indications	atorvastatin (Lipitor), amlodipine/ atorvastatin (Caduet)** 7,8	pitavastatin (Livalo) ⁹	rosuvastatin (Crestor) ¹⁰	simvastatin ¹¹	ezetimibe/ simvastatin (Vytorin) ¹²	niacin ER/ simvastatin (Simcor) ¹³
Primary hypercholesterolemia ▪ Heterozygous familial and nonfamilial Reduce: Total-C, LDL-C, TG and ApoB	X	X	X	X	X	X
Heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia ▪ pediatric	X 10-17 years; not Caduet		X 10-17 years	X 10-17 years		
Mixed dyslipidemia <i>Fredrickson Type</i> ▪ II _a and II _b Reduce: Total-C, LDL-C, TG and ApoB	X		X	X	X	X
Increase HDL-C	X	X	X	X	X	X
Hypertriglyceridemia <i>Fredrickson Type IV</i>	X		X	X		X
Primary dysbetalipoproteinemia <i>Fredrickson Type III</i>	X		X	X		
Homozygous familial hypercholesterolemia	X		X	X	X	
Atherosclerosis ▪ slow progression			X			

**Caduet is indicated when amlodipine and atorvastatin are both appropriate. Indications for amlodipine are hypertension, chronic stable angina, vasospastic angina, and angiographically documented CAD.

***High risk=patients with increased risk of CV disease based on age 50 years (men) and 60 years (women), hsCRP 2 mg/dL, and presence of at least one additional CV risk factor e.g. HTN, low HDL-C, smoking, or a family history of CAD.

FDA-Approved Indications (continued)

Indications	atorvastatin (Lipitor), amlodipine/ atorvastatin (Caduet)**	pitavastatin (Livalo)	rosuvastatin (Crestor)	simvastatin	ezetimibe/ simvastatin (Vytorin)	niacin ER/ simvastatin (Simcor)
CVD <ul style="list-style-type: none"> primary prevention of coronary events 	Reduces risk of MI, stroke, revascularization, angina		Reduces risk of MI, stroke, revascularization, in patients without clinically evident CHD, but with multiple risk factors	Reduces total mortality risk by reducing CHD death, MI, stroke, & revascularization in high risk patients		
CHD <ul style="list-style-type: none"> secondary prevention of coronary events 	Reduces risk of MI, stroke in Type 2 Diabetics without CHD; Reduces risk of MI, stroke, CHF hospitalization, angina, and revascularization in CHD patients			Reduces total mortality risk by reducing CHD death, MI, stroke, & revascularization		

**Caduet is indicated when amlodipine and atorvastatin are both appropriate. Indications for amlodipine are hypertension, chronic stable angina, vasospastic angina, and angiographically documented CAD.

***High risk=patients with increased risk of CV disease based on age 50 years (men) and 60 years (women), hsCRP 2 mg/dL, and presence of at least one additional CV risk factor e.g. HTN, low HDL-C, smoking, or a family history of CAD.

OVERVIEW

The 3-hydroxy-3-methyl-glutaryl-coenzyme A (HMG-CoA) reductase inhibitors, also known as statins, have become standard treatment in lowering cholesterol levels. Several statin trials document reduced morbidity and mortality with use. All statins lower low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), although to differing degrees, in a dose-related manner.¹⁴ Despite the well-documented benefits of statins in reducing the risk of cardiovascular events, many patients are not on lipid-lowering therapy or are not at LDL-C goals.^{15,16,17}

The Adult Treatment Panel (ATP) III guidelines from the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) recommend a goal LDL-C of <100 mg/dL for high risk patients, with LDL-C of <70 mg/dL as a therapeutic option in those at very high risk.^{18,19,20} For patients with multiple coronary heart disease (CHD) risk factors, LDL-C goals are <100 mg/dL or <130 mg/dL, depending on the patient's 10-year risk for CHD events based on Framingham risk scoring. The goal for patients with no or one risk factor is to lower LDL-C <160 mg/dL.²¹

As a result of clinical data published and/or presented since the 2001 ATP III guidelines, the NCEP issued additional guidance in 2004. The 2004 guidance suggests that an LDL-C goal of <70 mg/dL be considered as an option for high risk patients, especially those with established CVD (cardiovascular disease) and multiple major and/or uncontrolled risk factors for CHD and/or metabolic syndrome.^{22,23,24}

The new target of LDL-C of less than 70 mg/dL also extends to those patients who have a baseline LDL-C of less than 100 mg/dL.²⁵ For all patients with peripheral arterial disease (PAD), PAD guidelines recommend a LDL-C goal of less than 100 mg/dL. For patients with atherosclerotic ischemic stroke or TIA and without known CHD, a target LDL-C reduction of at least 50 percent or a target LDL-C level of less than 70 mg/dL is recommended.²⁶ Patients with ischemic stroke or TIA with elevated cholesterol or comorbid CAD should be otherwise managed according to NCEP III guidelines.

A 2011 scientific statement on hypertriglyceridemia from the American Heart Association (AHA), recommends 100 mg/dL as the new optimal fasting triglyceride level to replace 150 mg/dL.²⁷ The statement focuses on intensive therapeutic lifestyle change (e.g. diet and exercise) and less emphasis on medications to reduce triglycerides and risk, for most patients. This scientific statement is not intended to serve as a guideline but to complement the future Adult Treatment Panel IV (ATP IV) of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) guidelines.

The American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) recommends cholesterol screening (fasting lipid profile) for at-risk children starting at age two years but no later than age 10 years.²⁸ In addition to lifestyle interventions, the use of lipid-lowering medications is recommended in ages eight years and older if LDL-C is: ≥ 190 mg/dL, ≥ 160 mg/dL with family history of early heart disease or \geq two additional risk factors, or ≥ 130 mg/dL if diabetes mellitus is present. The initial LDL-C goal is less than 160 mg/dL, but LDL-C as low as 130 or even 110 mg/dL is warranted if strong CVD family history is present.

Many have questioned which patients with normal LDL-C levels would benefit most from statins' cardio-protective effects. The JUPITER trial reported that patients with a high C-Reactive Protein (CRP), a marker for circulating inflammatory cytokines, level benefited from statin therapy.²⁹ The National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) sponsored Multi-Ethnic Study on Atherosclerosis (MESA) study is investigating buildup of measureable artery-hardening calcium and statin therapy outcomes, in patients who met the same criteria as the JUPITER study.³⁰ **Coronary heart disease and cardiovascular**

disease event rates and multivariable-adjusted hazard ratios were compared in 950 participants from the MESA study that met all criteria for entry into the JUPITER trial.³¹ Coronary artery calcium (CAC) scores were stratified by scores of zero, one to 100, and greater than 100. Median follow-up was 5.8 years. Of the patients (47 percent) in the MESA JUPITER population that had CAC scores of zero, rates of coronary heart disease events were 0.8 per 1000 person-years. Seventy-four percent of all coronary events were in the 239 (25%) of participants with CAC scores of more than 100 (20.2 per 1000 person-years). In the total study population, presence of CAC was associated with a hazard ratio of 4.29 (95% CI 1.99-9.25) for coronary heart disease, and of 2.57 (1.48-4.48) for cardiovascular disease. High-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) was not associated with either disease after multivariable adjustment. The authors concluded that CAC seems to further stratify risk in patients eligible for JUPITER, and could be used to target subgroups of patients who are expected to derive the most, and the least, absolute benefit from statin treatment.

A 2011 familial hypercholesterolemia (FH) consensus statement from the National Lipid Association (NLA) calls for awareness and provides recommendations for screening, diagnosis, and treatment of FH in pediatrics and adults.³² The clinical guidance recommends universal screening for all pediatric patients' ages nine to 11 years old. Screening is also recommended in patients beginning at age two, in the presence of a family history of premature CVD or highly elevated cholesterol levels. In adults, universal screening is recommended by age 20 years. Drug therapy in both pediatrics and adults is recommended if LDL-C \geq 190 mg/dL or non-HDL-C \geq 220 mg/dL, after diet and lifestyle modification (maximum of three months). High-dose statin therapy is recommended as first-line for cardiovascular prevention in FH, with the goal of reducing LDL cholesterol by 50 percent. In adults, even more aggressive treatment goals (e.g. LDL-C goal $<$ 100 mg/dL), is an option for higher-risk patients e.g. clinically evident coronary disease, diabetes, family history of premature CV disease, or current smokers. Patients treated with a statin who achieved a 50 percent reduction in LDL-C but whose LDL-C levels remain above 160 mg/dL may need other agents, e.g., ezetimibe (Zetia), niacin ER (Niaspan), or bile-acid sequestrants. However, since cardiovascular-disease-prevention outcomes studies are lacking with these agents when used in combination with statins, high-dose statins are preferred to combination therapy. The potential risks of high-dose statin therapy should be weighed against their potential benefit. The NLA FH consensus statement was supported by an unrestricted grant funding from manufacturers.

PHARMACOLOGY

Statins competitively inhibit HMG-CoA reductase, the enzyme that catalyzes the conversion of HMG-CoA to mevalonate, which is an early rate-limiting step in cholesterol biosynthesis. The inhibition of cholesterol biosynthesis reduces cholesterol in hepatic cells, which stimulates the synthesis of LDL receptors and increases the uptake of circulating LDL particles. Additionally, the statins work to reduce LDL-C production by inhibiting the synthesis of very low density lipoprotein (VLDL-C), the LDL-C precursor. HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors decrease LDL-C, VLDL-C, triglycerides (TG), and increase high density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C). Marked response usually occurs within two weeks with maximum response occurring within four to six weeks. In early studies with some agents, daily doses given in the evening were more effective than when given in the morning, perhaps because cholesterol is synthesized mainly at night.^{33,34} The MERCURY II trial demonstrated that aggressive LDL-C reduction in CHD patients can also reach targets for recommended apolipoprotein B (apoB) levels.³⁵

Other beneficial effects of the statins in reducing the risk of cardiovascular events may be through an independent anti-inflammatory effect unrelated to LDL-C reduction. Reduction in C-reactive protein (CRP) levels may lead to a decrease in cardiovascular event risk.^{36,37} The REVERSAL trial investigators found that a reduction in LDL-C and CRP leads to a slowing in progression of atherosclerosis.³⁸ The PROVE IT-TIMI 22 investigators published findings indicating that lower CRP levels (< 2 mg/L) are associated with improvement in cardiovascular event-free survival.³⁹ The correlation among CRP levels, LDL-C reduction, and cardiovascular disease requires further investigation.

Several combination products have been marketed. Amlodipine/atorvastatin (Caduet) is designed to treat two indications - hypertension and hyperlipidemia – which are often seen in the coronary heart disease (CHD) patient. Ezetimibe/simvastatin (Vytorin) is the combination of two lipid-lowering therapies which work together to lower LDL-C. Niacin ER/lovastatin (Advicor) and niacin ER/simvastatin (Simcor) provide beneficial effects on HDL-C and lower TG and LDL-C.

Amlodipine (Norvasc[®], Caduet) inhibits calcium ions from moving across the cell membrane. The limitation of calcium entering into the cells causes a decrease in mechanical contraction of myocardial and smooth muscle, thereby causing dilation of systemic arteries and a decrease in total peripheral resistance, systemic blood pressure, and the afterload of the heart. The reduction in afterload, which results in a decrease in myocardial oxygen consumption, is thought to attenuate the signs and symptoms of angina.⁴⁰ Amlodipine given with atorvastatin (Caduet) in a single tablet treats both hypertension and hypercholesterolemia for patients in whom calcium channel blocker therapy and lipid lowering therapy are desired.

Ezetimibe (Zetia[®], Vytorin) inhibits cholesterol absorption along the brush border of the small intestine. This leads to a decrease in the delivery of intestinal cholesterol to the liver, reduction of hepatic cholesterol stores, and an increase in cholesterol clearance from the blood. Ezetimibe inhibits absorption of both dietary cholesterol and cholesterol in bile. Ultimately, ezetimibe reduces total cholesterol (total-C), LDL-C, TG, apolipoprotein B, and increases HDL-C in patients with hypercholesterolemia. When ezetimibe is administered with a statin, further reductions in the lipid profile occur.

Niacin (nicotinic acid) inhibits lipolysis in adipocytes and possibly inhibits hepatic TG production resulting in a reduction in the synthesis of VLDL-C and clearance of LDL-C. It may involve several actions including partial inhibition of the release of free fatty acids from adipose tissue, and increased lipoprotein lipase activity. Niacin decreases the rate of hepatic synthesis of VLDL and LDL-C. The combination products, niacin ER/lovastatin (Advicor) or niacin ER/simvastatin (Simcor), combine the efficacy of a statin with the beneficial effects of extended release niacin for those patients failing monotherapy.⁴¹

The Atherothrombosis Intervention in Metabolic Syndrome with Low HDL/High Triglyceride and Impact on Global Health Outcomes (AIM-HIGH) randomized 3,414 patients with established CVD and atherogenic dyslipidemia to simvastatin (or simvastatin plus ezetimibe) at a dose sufficient to maintain LDL-C at 40-80 mg/dL and patients were randomized to receive extended-release niacin or matching placebo.⁴²

Although, niacin was effective at raising HDL-C and lowering triglycerides, the trial was halted early due to the lack of incremental benefit on CV risk reduction (including myocardial infarctions and stroke) in the extended-release niacin plus simvastatin arm versus simvastatin alone (p=0.80).^{43,44} In addition, a small, unexplained, increase in the rate of ischemic stroke was observed in the simvastatin plus

extended-release niacin arm compared to simvastatin alone (29 patients versus 18 patients, respectively; $p=0.11$). Eight of the ischemic strokes in the simvastatin plus extended-release niacin group occurred in participants who had stopped taking their niacin for at least two months and up to four years before their stroke. Therefore, it is unclear whether niacin contributed to this imbalance in ischemic stroke. The authors note study limitations such as: the findings may not be generalizable to all patients with coronary disease or all patients with low HDL cholesterol levels; it remains unclear whether other populations may benefit from such treatment; it is unclear if in the 94 percent of patients who were taking statins at entry at baseline had more stable plaques, less likely to rupture, and therefore at lower risk of subsequent cardiovascular events; low percentage of women enrolled (15 percent); low rate of ethnic minorities (eight percent); and the 36 month follow-up period may not have been an adequate duration to show a clinical treatment effect of niacin. The AIM-HIGH trial was funded by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) of the National Institute of Health (NIH) with additional support from Abbott Laboratories. The FDA plans to conduct a review of AIM-HIGH.⁴⁵

PHARMACOKINETICS

Drug	Bioavailability	Time to Peak Plasma Levels (hr)	t _{1/2} (hr)	Excretion (%)	Circulating active metabolites
amlodipine and atorvastatin (Caduet) ⁴⁶	64-90%	6-12	30-50	Urine: 70	No
	~14%; first pass metabolism	1-2	14	Urine: <2	Yes
atorvastatin (Lipitor) ⁴⁷	~14%; first pass metabolism	1-2	14	Urine: <2	Yes
ezetimibe and simvastatin (Vytorin) ^{48,49}	--	4-12	22	Urine: 11 Feces: 78	Yes
	<5% of oral dose reaches general circulation; first pass metabolism	4	3	Urine: 13 Feces: 60	Yes
fluvastatin (Lescol) ⁵⁰ (Lescol XL) ⁵¹	24%; first pass metabolism	< 1	3	Urine: 5 Feces: 90	No
	29%; first pass metabolism	3	9		
lovastatin ⁵²	<5% of oral dose reaches general circulation; first pass metabolism	2-4	--	Urine: 10 Feces: 83	Yes
lovastatin ER (Altoprev) ⁵³	<5% of oral dose reaches general circulation; first pass metabolism	14.2	--	Urine: 10 Feces: 83	Yes
niacin ER and lovastatin (Advicor) ⁵⁴	72 %	5	<1	Urine: 60	Yes
	<5% of oral dose reaches general circulation; first pass metabolism	2	4.5	Urine: 10 Feces: 83	Yes
niacin ER and simvastatin (Simcor) ⁵⁵	dose dependent and variable; first pass metabolism	5	<1	Urine: 54	Yes
	<5% of oral dose reaches general circulation; first pass metabolism	4	4.2 to 4.9	Urine: 13 Feces: 60	Yes
pitavastatin (Livalo) ⁵⁶	51%	1	12	Urine: 15 Feces: 79	Yes
pravastatin ⁵⁷	17%; first pass metabolism	1-1.5	77	Urine: 20 Feces: 70	Yes
rosuvastatin (Crestor) ⁵⁸	20%	3-5	19	Feces: 90	Yes
simvastatin ⁵⁹	<5% of oral dose reaches general circulation; first pass metabolism	4	3	Urine: 13 Feces: 60	Yes

Atorvastatin and amlodipine (Caduet), ezetimibe and simvastatin (Vytorin), niacin ER and lovastatin (Advicor), and niacin ER and simvastatin (Simcor) pharmacokinetic profiles are not affected by concurrent administration of the individual components.^{60,61,62,63,64}

CONTRAINDICATIONS/WARNINGS

Skeletal muscle abnormalities related to statin usage range from mild myalgia to myopathy. Myopathy is defined as muscle symptoms including muscle pain, tenderness, or weakness plus the elevation of creatine kinase above ten times the upper limit of normal (ULN). Rhabdomyolysis is the presence of myopathy and the elevation of creatinine and often myoglobinuria. **While myalgias are common with**

statin use, myopathy and/or rhabdomyolysis are a rare yet serious concern.⁶⁵ The mechanism by which the statins cause myopathy and rhabdomyolysis is unknown.^{66,67,68}

All statins carry a potential risk of myopathy and/or rhabdomyolysis. On June 8, 2011 FDA notified healthcare professionals and patients of new safety recommendations for the highest approved dose (80 mg) of simvastatin based on review of data from a large clinical trial called Study of the Effectiveness of Additional Reductions in Cholesterol and Homocysteine (SEARCH) and other sources, that there is an increased risk of muscle injury compared to patients taking lower simvastatin doses or other statin drugs.⁶⁹ Due to this increased risk of myopathy, including rhabdomyolysis, the 80 mg dose of simvastatin must be reserved for patients who have been on this dose chronically (e.g., ≥ 12 months) without evidence of muscle toxicity. If, however, a patient who is currently tolerating the 80 mg dose of simvastatin needs to be initiated on an interacting drug that is contraindicated or is associated with a dose cap for simvastatin, that patient should be switched to an alternative statin with less potential for the drug-drug interaction. All statins have a warning in the prescribing information stating that myopathy and rhabdomyolysis have been reported with statin use. There is increased risk of myopathy and rhabdomyolysis associated with statin therapy in the following cases: advanced age (especially > 65 years), perioperative periods, multiple medications, multiple chronic disease states including uncontrolled hypothyroidism and renal impairment, drug interactions, high statin dose, and concurrent therapy with fibrates and/or higher dose niacin.^{70,71,72} Drug interactions with CYP450 3A4 inhibitors and concurrent therapy with fabric acid derivatives may increase the risk of rhabdomyolysis. Consult the individual prescribing information for specific contraindications, drug interactions and dose reductions.

All statin-containing products are contraindicated in pregnant or nursing women.

Patients with active liver disease and unexplained transaminase elevations are not appropriate candidates for statin therapy.

Niacin ER (Niaspan®) and niacin ER containing products (Advicor, Simcor) are contraindicated in patients with chronic liver disease, active peptic ulcer disease, or arterial bleeding. Niacin should be used with caution in patients predisposed to gout.⁷³ Niacin ER (Niaspan) can cause hyperglycemia so serum glucose levels should be monitored in patients with diabetes particularly during the first few months of therapy.⁷⁴

The concomitant use of statins and cyclosporine may increase the risk of myopathy/rhabdomyolysis. Co-administration of pitavastatin (Livalo) or ezetimibe/simvastatin (Vytorin) with cyclosporine is a contraindication.⁷⁵ Dose reductions are recommended for the other statins when used concurrently with cyclosporine. Pitavastatin (Livalo) has not been studied with the protease inhibitor combination lopinavir/ritonavir so should not be used.

DRUG INTERACTIONS

Many of the currently available statins are extensively metabolized by the CYP450 3A4 isoenzyme system. Those that are not metabolized by CYP 3A4 include: fluvastatin (Lescol/Lescol XL), pitavastatin (Livalo), pravastatin, and rosuvastatin (Crestor).

Maximum dose for lovastatin is 20 mg daily with danazol, gemfibrozil, or cyclosporine.⁷⁶ Simvastatin must not exceed 10 mg daily when given concurrently with verapamil, whereas lovastatin dose must not exceed 40 mg daily. Simvastatin (Zocor, Vytorin) must not exceed 10 mg daily when given

concurrently with diltiazem and contraindicated with niacin ER/simvastatin (Simcor). Simvastatin (Zocor, Vytorin, Simcor) dose is 20 mg daily when given concurrently with amiodarone, amlodipine or ranolazine, whereas lovastatin dose must not exceed 40 mg daily. Simvastatin is contraindicated in and lovastatin should be avoided with concurrent administration of the following: itraconazole, ketoconazole, erythromycin, clarithromycin, telithromycin, HIV protease inhibitors, nefazodone, and large quantities of grapefruit juice. Simvastatin (Zocor, Vytorin, Simcor) are also contraindicated with posaconazole, gemfibrozil, cyclosporine, and danazol. See prescribing information for full details on drug interactions.

Atorvastatin (Lipitor) also interacts with CYP450 3A4 inhibitors but to a lesser degree, as it undergoes less first-pass metabolism.⁷⁷ Atorvastatin must not exceed 10 mg daily when given concurrently with cyclosporine.⁷⁸ Fluvastatin (Lescol/Lescol XL) is primarily metabolized by CYP450 2C9 so its levels may be increased by CYP450 2C9 inhibitors, but there appear to be less drug interactions. Pitavastatin (Livalo), pravastatin, and rosuvastatin (Crestor) are not metabolized by the CYP450 enzymes to a clinically significant extent.

Rosuvastatin (Crestor) dose should be 5 mg daily if given in combination with cyclosporine and 10 mg if given concomitantly with lopinavir/ritonavir or atazanavir/ritonavir. Pitavastatin (Livalo) should not be administered with lopinavir/ritonavir.

The combination of rosuvastatin (Crestor) and gemfibrozil should be avoided. If used, the dose of rosuvastatin (Crestor) should not exceed 10 mg daily.

Simvastatin and rosuvastatin (Crestor) can increase INR in patients receiving coumarin anticoagulants. In patients taking these medications concomitantly, INR should be determined prior to starting simvastatin or rosuvastatin (Crestor) and then monitored.^{79,80,81,82}

Co-administration of atorvastatin and an oral contraceptive may increase exposure of norethindrone and ethinyl estradiol and should be considered when selecting an oral contraceptive for a woman taking atorvastatin.

In studies when multiple doses of atorvastatin and digoxin were co-administered, steady state plasma digoxin concentrations increased by approximately 20 percent. Patients taking digoxin should be monitored appropriately. Studies have shown small increases in digoxin exposure with concomitant use of simvastatin or immediate-release fluvastatin, and small decrease in digoxin levels with pitavastatin.

Rifampin may significantly increase pitavastatin exposure, therefore in patients taking rifampin, the dose of pitavastatin should be adjusted.

Drug – Drug Interactions that have been reported to cause an increase in statin exposure. Increases in statin exposure may be associated with an increased risk of myopathy.

Drug	amiodarone	cyclosporine	diltiazem	Erythromycin	gemfibrozil (fabric acid derivatives)	HIV protease inhibitors	itraconazole (azole antifungals)	nefazodone	niacin	verapamil	warfarin
atorvastatin (Lipitor, Caduet) ^{83,84}	--	X	X	X	X	X	X	--	X	--	--
ezetimibe / simvastatin (Vytorin) ⁸⁵	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X
fluvastatin (Lescol, Lescol XL) ^{86,87}	--	--	-	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
lovastatin ⁸⁸ lovastatin ER (Altoprev) ⁸⁹ niacin ER/ lovastatin (Advicor) ⁹⁰	X	X	-	X	X	X	X	X	--	X	--
pravastatin ⁹¹	--	--	-	--	X	--	--	--	--	--	--
pitavastatin (Livalo ⁹²)	--	X	-	X	X	X	X	--	X	--	--
rosuvastatin (Crestor) ⁹³	--	X	-	--	X	X	--	--	X	--	X
simvastatin ⁹⁴ niacin ER/ simvastatin (Simcor) ⁹⁵	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X

X = drug-drug interaction has been reported

The combination of amlodipine and atorvastatin (Caduet) and niacin ER and simvastatin (Simcor) have not been studied for drug interactions, although studies have been conducted in the individual components.^{96,97}

ADVERSE EFFECTS

Drug	Myalgia	Abd Pain	Diarrhea	Dyspepsia	Nausea	Rash	Headache	Fatigue or Malaise
atorvastatin (Lipitor) ⁹⁸	5.6 (1.1)	0-3.8 (0.7)	0-3.8 (1.5)	1.3-2.8 (4.1)	reported	1.1-3.9 (0.7)	2.5-16.7 (7)	reported
ezetimibe / simvastatin (Vytorin) ⁹⁹	3.6 (2.3)	reported	reported	reported	reported	reported	6.8 (6.4)	reported
fluvastatin (Lescol) ¹⁰⁰	5 (4.5)	4.9 (3.8)	4.9 (4.2)	7.9 (3.2)	3.2 (2)	reported	8.9 (7.8)	2.7 (2.3)
fluvastatin XL (Lescol XL) ¹⁰¹	3.8 (4.5)	3.7 (3.8)	3.3 (4.2)	3.5 (3.2)	2.5 (2)	reported	4.7 (7.8)	1.6 (2.3)
lovastatin ¹⁰²	1.8-3 (1.7)	2-2.5 (1.6)	2.2-2.6 (2.3)	1-1.6 (1.9)	1.9-2.5 (2.5)	0.8-1.3 (0.7)	2.1-3.2 (2.7)	reported
lovastatin ER (Altoprev) ¹⁰³	3	reported	3	reported	reported	reported	7	reported
niacin ER / lovastatin (Advicor) ¹⁰⁴	3	4	6	3	7	5	9	reported
niacin ER / simvastatin (Simcor) ¹⁰⁵	reported	3.5	3 (2.9)	4	3.2 (4.2)	reported	4.5 (4.6)	reported
pitavastatin (Livalo) ¹⁰⁶	1.9-3.1 (1.4)	nr	1.5-2.6 (1.9)	nr	nr	reported	reported	nr
pravastatin ¹⁰⁷	0.6-2.7 (0-1)	2-5.4 (3.9-6.9)	2-6.2 (1.9-5.6)	2-2.9 (0.7-1.9)	2.9-7.3 (3.4-7.1)	1.3-3.4 (0.9-1.1)	1.7-6.2 (0.2-3.9)	1.9-3.8 (1-3.4)
rosuvastatin (Crestor) ¹⁰⁸	2.7-12.7 (2.6-12.1)	2.4 (1.8)	nr	nr	2.4 (2.3)	reported	3.1-8.5 (5-5.3)	nr
simvastatin ¹⁰⁹	3.7 (3.2)	3.2 (3.2)	1.9 (2.5)	1.1	1.3 (1.9)	0.6 (0.6)	0.7	reported

nr=not reported. Adverse effects are indicated as percentage occurrence. Adverse effects data are compiled from package inserts and cannot be considered comparative or all inclusive. Incidences for the placebo group are indicated in parentheses.

Adverse events data for atorvastatin/amlodipine (Caduet) have been evaluated in 1,092 patients with hypertension and hyperlipidemia. Adverse events were mostly mild or moderate in severity with no unusual adverse events reported.¹¹⁰ Specific incidences have not been reported with atorvastatin/amlodipine. The combination tablet is not expected to have more adverse effects than single entity administration.

In clinical trials, six to eight percent of patients on niacin ER/lovastatin (Advicor) withdrew from therapy due to flushing.¹¹¹ Overall, 53 to 83 percent of patients will experience flushing associated with the niacin component in niacin ER/lovastatin.¹¹² In a controlled study, flushing occurred in up to 59 percent of patients treated with niacin ER/simvastatin (Simcor) and resulted in study discontinuation for six percent of patients.¹¹³ Aspirin or another NSAID may be taken with niacin ER/lovastatin or niacin ER/simvastatin therapy to reduce the incidence of flushing. The other most common adverse events, in

addition to headache, nausea, and diarrhea, in a six-month study comparing niacin ER/simvastatin to simvastatin, were pruritus and back pain (3.2 percent incidence for each).

After completing a 12-week randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, factorial, 10-armed study comparing ezetimibe 10 mg/simvastatin 10, 20, 40, or 80 mg; simvastatin 10, 20, 40, or 80 mg; ezetimibe 10 mg; or placebo, 768 patients with primary hypercholesterolemia entered a 48-week extension, with randomized, blinded, reassignment of the simvastatin 10 mg, ezetimibe, and placebo groups to one of the ezetimibe/simvastatin groups.¹¹⁴ Patients previously on ezetimibe/simvastatin combination therapy, or simvastatin 20, 40, and 80 mg monotherapy continued the same therapies in the 7-arm extension study. Adverse events were assessed during the extension phase. Ezetimibe/simvastatin and simvastatin monotherapy groups generally had a similar incidence of all clinical adverse events (73 versus 69 percent), treatment-related adverse events (14 versus 11 percent), clinical serious adverse events (5.2 versus 2.6 percent), treatment-related serious adverse events (0.2 versus zero percent), discontinuations due to all clinical adverse events (4.5 versus 2.6 percent), and discontinuations due to treatment-related adverse events (2.8 versus 2.2 percent), respectively.

An article cited an increased rate of serious adverse events based on the number of voluntarily reported adverse drug events for rosuvastatin (Crestor).¹¹⁵ Use of voluntarily reported cases without assessment of causality is not a proper method to assess the rate of an adverse events associated with a drug. Due to the heightened awareness of serious adverse events following the removal of cerivastatin (Baycol®) from the US market in 2001, there is likely reporting bias with rosuvastatin as it entered the US market in 2003. In summary, the occurrence of serious adverse events such as myopathy and rhabdomyolysis are extremely rare with all statins including rosuvastatin.^{116,117,118,119,120}

Several meta-analyses and systematic reviews have evaluated statin use and the overall risk of cancer.^{121,122,123,124,125} There is no convincing evidence that statins increase or decrease the incidence of cancer.

The Simvastatin and Ezetimibe in Aortic Stenosis Study (SEAS) trial, a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter, 52.2-month study of 1,873 patients with mild to moderate aortic stenosis, found no reduction in the primary endpoint of major cardiovascular events with simvastatin/ezetimibe (Vytorin) compared to placebo.^{126,127,128} However, there was a decrease in a pre-specified secondary endpoint of atherosclerotic disease events. SEAS found an increase in various types of cancer and deaths in patients taking simvastatin/ezetimibe. An interim analysis of the ongoing CV trials with simvastatin/ezetimibe (Vytorin), SHARP and IMPROVE-IT, did not show a significant increase in cancer (p=0.61).¹²⁹ Based on review of SEAS and interim data from IMPROVE-IT and SHARP, the FDA believes it is unlikely that simvastatin/ezetimibe (Vytorin) or ezetimibe (Zetia) increase the risk of cancer or cancer-related death.^{130,131,132} Final analysis of SHARP was consistent with the interim results, reporting no increase in cancer risk.¹³³

The seven-year SEARCH trial included the number of major CV events (MI, revascularization, and CV death) in patients with prior MIs.¹³⁴ There were 6,031 patients taking 80 mg of simvastatin compared to 6,033 patients taking 20 mg of simvastatin. FDA's report revealed that more patients in the high dose simvastatin arm developed myopathy versus patients in the simvastatin 20 mg arm (52 [0.9 percent] cases compared to one case [0.02 percent]). Twenty-two patients (0.4 percent) in the 80 mg group versus zero patients in the 20 mg group developed rhabdomyolysis. There were no fatalities related to rhabdomyolysis. The risks for myopathy and rhabdomyolysis with simvastatin 80 mg were

highest in the first 12 months of treatment, five per 1,000 person-years and two per 1,000 person-years, respectively, and decreased to one per 1,000 person-years and 0.4 per 1,000 person-years after that.

Hyperglycemia has been reported with statins.¹³⁵

In clinical trials, atorvastatin (Lipitor), fluvastatin (Lescol/Lescol XL), pravastatin, and simvastatin were rarely (less than two percent) discontinued due to adverse effects. Rosuvastatin (Crestor) was discontinued in 1.4 percent of patients in clinical trials.¹³⁶ Pitavastatin (Livalo) was discontinued in 3.6 percent of patients in clinical trials.¹³⁷ Lovastatin was discontinued in 4.6 percent of patients in trials.

Liver Function/Safety^{138,139,140,141,142,143,144,145,146,147,148,149,150,151}

Drug	Marked increases (3X ULN) in serum transaminases (%)	Liver Function Testing Recommendations
atorvastatin (Lipitor, Caduet)	0.7	before initiation, 12 weeks after initiation or elevation in dose, and semi-annually
ezetimibe / simvastatin (Vytorin)	1.8	before initiation, and when clinically indicated. The 10 mg/80 mg dose requires testing three months after initiation and periodically thereafter
fluvastatin (Lescol)	1.1	before initiation, 12 weeks after initiation or elevation in dose
fluvastatin XL (Lescol XL)	1.9	before initiation, 12 weeks after initiation or elevation in dose
lovastatin, lovastatin ER (Altoprev)	1.9	before initiation for patients with a history of liver disease or for doses ≥ 40 mg, then as clinically necessary
niacin ER / lovastatin (Advicor)	1	before initiation, every 6-12 weeks after initiation for six months, then periodically (every six months)
niacin ER / simvastatin (Simcor)	0-1	before initiation, every 12 weeks after initiation for six months, then periodically (every six months)
pitavastatin (Livalo)	0-0.5	before initiation, every 12 weeks after initiation and elevation in dose and periodically (every six months)
pravastatin	< 1.2	before initiation and when clinically indicated
rosuvastatin (Crestor)	1.1	before initiation, 12 weeks after initiation or elevation in dose, and semi-annually
simvastatin	1	before initiation, and when clinically indicated. The 80 mg dose requires testing three months after initiation and periodically thereafter

Liver enzyme elevation rates are obtained from product information and clinical trials and therefore, should not be considered comparative.

Hepatic failure has been reported during post marketing experience of some statins and should be considered a class effect.^{152,153,154,155,156,157}

SPECIAL POPULATIONS

Pediatrics

Several statins have been approved for use in adolescent boys and girls (at least one year post-menarche). Atorvastatin (Lipitor), fluvastatin (Lescol/Lescol XL), lovastatin, pravastatin, rosuvastatin (Crestor), and simvastatin have been approved for the adjunctive management of Heterozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia (HeFH) in addition to diet.^{158,159,160,161,162,163,164,165} Statin therapy for HeFH is generally initiated when the LDL-C levels are ≥ 190 mg/dL or when the LDL-C is ≥ 160 mg/dL in the presence of at least two more cardiovascular event risk factors or for the patient with a known family history of premature CHD.¹⁶⁶ The minimum goal of therapy is to achieve LDL-C < 130 mg/dL. Very little data are known for children less than eight years old.

In 2011 the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI) published guidelines on cardiovascular health and risk reduction in children and adolescents in which they outline age appropriate lipid screening in the pediatric population.¹⁶⁷ NHLBI recommends a fasting lipid profile in children age one to four years, only if the child is familial hypercholesterolemia positive, the child has a parent with dyslipidemia, or if the child has any other risk factors or high-risk condition. All children should be screened for high cholesterol at least once between the ages of 9 and 11 years, and again between ages 17 and 21 years. It is anticipated that a universal screening will more accurately identify children who are at high risk of cardiovascular disease. The guideline also identifies age-specific strategies to reduce risk factors and manage cardiovascular disease in children and adolescents. Most children with high cholesterol would be referred to lifestyle modifications including diet and physical activity. Less than one percent of children, primarily those with genetic dyslipidemias, would qualify for cholesterol-lowering medications.

A 2011 familial hypercholesterolemia (FH) consensus statement from the National Lipid Association (NLA) calls for awareness and provides recommendations for screening, diagnosis, and treatment of FH in pediatrics and adults.¹⁶⁸ The clinical guidance recommends universal screening for all pediatrics ages nine to 11 years old. Screening is also recommended in patients beginning at age two, in the presence of a family history of premature CVD or highly elevated cholesterol levels. Drug therapy in both pediatrics and adults is recommended if LDL-C ≥ 190 mg/dL or non-HDL-C ≥ 220 mg/dL, after diet and lifestyle modification (maximum of three months). High-dose statin therapy is recommended as first-line for cardiovascular prevention in FH, with the goal of reducing LDL cholesterol by 50 percent. The NLA FH consensus statement was supported by an unrestricted grant funding from manufacturers. The NHLBI is expected to release an updated Pediatrics Cardiovascular Risk Reduction Initiative in 2011.

Safety and effectiveness of pitavastatin (Livalo), lovastatin ER (Altoprev), niacin ER/lovastatin (Advicor), niacin ER/simvastatin (Simcor), atorvastatin/amlodipine (Caduet), and ezetimibe/simvastatin (Vytorin) have not been established in pediatric patients.

Pregnancy

All statin-containing products are Pregnancy Category X.

Race

In a prospective, open-label, blinded endpoint trial, pravastatin 10 to 20 mg daily plus diet therapy was compared to diet therapy alone in 7,832 Japanese patients with hypercholesterolemia but without a

history of CHD or stroke.¹⁶⁹ During the follow-up period of 5.3 years, the primary endpoint of first occurrence of CHD was significantly lower in the pravastatin group (66 events versus 101 events; HR 0.67, 95% CI, 0.49-0.91; $p=0.01$). Mean LDL-C reductions were 3.2 percent in the diet group and 18 percent in the diet plus pravastatin group.

An open-label trial with 696 Hispanic patients at medium to high risk for CHD compared the mean LDL-C reductions with atorvastatin (Lipitor) and rosuvastatin (Crestor) over six weeks.¹⁷⁰ Patients were randomized to atorvastatin or rosuvastatin 10 or 20 mg daily. Both doses of rosuvastatin were associated with greater reductions in LDL-C compared to atorvastatin. Comparing the 10 mg doses of each, rosuvastatin produced significantly greater reductions in LDL-C (45 percent rosuvastatin, 36 percent atorvastatin, $p<0.0001$). For the 20 mg doses, rosuvastatin (50 percent) reduced LDL-C to a greater degree than atorvastatin (42 percent, $p<0.0001$). Achievement of the target levels of LDL-C of less than 100 mg/dL was reported for 74 and 91 percent for the rosuvastatin 10 and 20 mg doses and 52 and 62 percent for atorvastatin 10 and 20 mg doses, respectively. Adverse events were similar between the groups.

In an open-label randomized trial in the US and Canada, 740 patients of South-Asian origin with hypercholesterolemia received rosuvastatin (Crestor) 10 or 20 mg or atorvastatin (Lipitor) 10 or 20 mg daily.¹⁷¹ A total of 66 percent of patients were considered as being high risk for CAD. LDL-C decreased by 45 percent with rosuvastatin 10 mg versus 40 percent with atorvastatin 10 mg ($p=0.0023$) and by 50 percent with rosuvastatin 20 mg versus 47 percent with atorvastatin 20 mg ($p=NS$). Most patients reached LDL-C goals, and both drugs were well tolerated. According to the prescribing information for rosuvastatin (Crestor), Asian patients should start on 5 mg daily.¹⁷²

A 12-week randomized, open-label, parallel group study compared the efficacy and safety of rosuvastatin (Crestor) 10 mg once daily to atorvastatin (Lipitor) 10 mg once daily in 1,482 adults in China, Hong Kong, Korea, Malaysia, Taiwan, and Thailand, with primary hypercholesterolemia and elevated cardiovascular risk (greater than 20 percent/ten years, type 2 diabetes, or a history of CHD).¹⁷³ The percentage of patients achieving LDL-C goal, based on the 1998 European Joint Task Force, was significantly higher in the rosuvastatin group versus atorvastatin group, 79.5 percent versus 69.4 percent, ($p<0.0001$). Both agents were well-tolerated and had a similar adverse event profile.

A post-hoc analysis of the GREACE study investigated the extent in vascular event reduction by statin treatment according to gender.¹⁷⁴ From a total of 1,600 patients with stable CHD, 624/176 and 632/168 were men/women on atorvastatin (Lipitor) or on usual care, respectively. During the three year follow-up, comparison of atorvastatin treatment with usual care demonstrated a relative risk reduction (RRR) of the primary end point (all vascular events) of 54 percent in women (hazard ratio [HR] 0.46, 95% CI, 0.24-0.87, $p=0.003$) and of 50 percent in men (HR 0.5, 95% CI, 0.32-0.7, $p<0.001$). The fall in LDL-C levels played the key role in end point reduction in both genders. However, in men there was an additional benefit related to the atorvastatin-induced increase in HDL-C and estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR), while in women end points were related to a substantial TG reduction.

The ongoing Heart Protection Study 2 (HPS2) identified that the incidence of myopathy is higher in Chinese compared with non-Chinese patients taking simvastatin 40 mg co-administered with lipid-modifying doses of a niacin-containing product.^{175,176} Because the risk for myopathy is dose-related, Chinese patients should not receive simvastatin 80 mg co-administered with lipid-modifying doses of niacin-containing products. It is unknown if this risk applies to other Asian patients.

Plasma concentrations of pitavastatin are lower (about 21 percent for C_{max} and five percent for AUC) in healthy Black or African American subjects compared with healthy Caucasian patients.¹⁷⁷ In pharmacokinetic comparison between Caucasian volunteers and Japanese volunteers, there were no significant differences in C_{max} and AUC.

The JUPITER trial evaluated rosuvastatin in the primary prevention of MI, stroke, arterial revascularization, hospitalization for unstable angina, and cardiovascular death.¹⁷⁸ It included 12,683 whites and 5,117 nonwhites with LDL levels <130 mg/dL and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) levels ≥2.0 mg/L. In patients randomized to rosuvastatin 20 mg, a 45 percent reduction in the primary end point among whites (HR 0.55, 95% CI 0.43-0.69) and a 37 percent reduction was seen among nonwhites (HR 0.63, 95% CI 0.41-0.99). Blacks (HR 0.65, 95% CI 0.35-1.22) and Hispanics (HR 0.58, 95% CI 0.25-1.39) had similar risk reductions. Among nonwhites in the placebo group, the stroke rate exceeded the MI rate (0.44 versus 0.20 per 100 person-years); however among whites the stroke rate was less than the MI rate (0.31 versus 0.42 per 100 person-years). Nonwhites had higher death rates than whites (2.25 versus 0.93 per 100 person-years); however, all-cause mortality was similar with rosuvastatin treatment in both participant groups.

Hepatic/Renal Impairment

Statins are contraindicated in patients with active liver disease.

SHARP, a double-blind study included 9,438 CKD patients, of which 3,056 were on dialysis, that were randomized to receive daily ezetimibe 10 mg plus simvastatin 20 mg, matching placebo, or simvastatin 20 mg (with the latter arm re-randomized at one year to ezetimibe 10 mg plus simvastatin 20 mg versus placebo).^{179,180,181} After a median follow-up of 4.9 years, patients that received ezetimibe/simvastatin combination experienced a 17 percent reduction in major atherosclerotic events (defined as the combination of MI, coronary death, ischemic stroke, or any revascularization procedure) compared to placebo (p=0.0022). Compared with placebo, ezetimibe/simvastatin resulted in average LDL-C differences of 43 mg/dL (1.1 mmol/L) at one year and 33 mg/dL (0.85 mmol/L) at 2.5 years. Ezetimibe/simvastatin was not associated with any excess of myopathy, hepatic toxicity, or biliary complications compared to placebo, or compared to simvastatin alone (at one year).

Please refer to the Dosing Consideration section for hepatic and renal considerations.

DOSAGES^{182,183,184,185,186,187,188,189,190,191,192,193}

Drug	Usual Starting Dose	Adult Dosing Range	Pediatric Dosing Range	Approximate Equivalent Dose (based on LDL-C lowering)	Availability
amlodipine / atorvastatin (Caduet)	5 mg/10 mg daily	2.5 mg/10 mg – 10 mg/80 mg daily	--	10 mg daily of atorvastatin	amlodipine / atorvastatin combination tablets: 2.5mg/10mg, 2.5mg/20mg, 2.5mg/40mg, 5mg/10mg, 5mg/20mg, 5mg/40mg, 5mg/80mg, 10mg/10mg, 10mg/20mg, 10mg/40mg, 10mg/80mg
atorvastatin (Lipitor)	10 – 40 mg daily	10 – 80 mg daily	ages 10-17: 10 – 20 mg daily	10 mg daily	10, 20, 40, 80 mg tablets
ezetimibe / simvastatin (Vytorin)	10 mg/10 mg – 10 mg 20 mg daily	10 mg/10 mg – 10 mg/40 mg daily	--	10 mg/10 mg daily	ezetimibe / simvastatin combination tablets: 10mg/10mg, 10mg/20mg, 10mg/40mg, 10mg/80mg
fluvastatin (Lescol)	20 – 40 mg daily	20 – 80 mg daily	ages 10-16: 20 mg daily to 40 mg twice daily	40 mg twice daily	20, 40 mg capsules
fluvastatin XL (Lescol XL)	80 mg daily	80 mg daily	ages 10-16: 80 mg daily	80 mg daily	80 mg tablet
lovastatin	20 mg daily with evening meal	10 – 80 mg daily	ages 10-17: 10 – 40 mg daily	40 mg daily	10, 20, 40 mg tablets
lovastatin ER (Altoprev)	20 – 60 mg daily	10 – 60 mg daily	--	40 mg daily	20, 40, 60 mg tablets
niacin ER / lovastatin (Advicor)	500 mg/20 mg at bedtime	500 mg/20 mg – 2,000 mg/40 mg at bedtime	--	1,000 mg/40 mg daily	niacin ER / lovastatin combination tablets: 500mg/20mg, 750mg/20mg, 1,000mg/20 mg, 1,000/40mg
niacin ER / simvastatin (Simcor)	500 mg/20 mg at bedtime	500 mg/20 mg – 2,000 mg/40 mg at bedtime	--	1,000 mg/20 mg daily	niacin ER/simvastatin combination tablets: 500mg/20mg, 750mg/20mg, 1,000mg/20mg, 500mg/40mg, 1,000mg/40mg
pitavastatin (Livalo)	2 mg daily	1 – 4 mg daily	--	2 mg daily	1, 2, 4 mg tablets

Dosages (continued)

Drug	Usual Starting Dose	Adult Dosing Range	Pediatric Dosing Range	Approximate Equivalent Dose (based on LDL-C lowering)	Availability
pravastatin	40 mg daily	10 – 80 mg daily	ages 8-13: 20 mg daily ages 14-18: 40 mg daily	40 mg daily	10, 20, 40, 80 mg tablets
rosuvastatin (Crestor)	10 – 20 mg daily	5– 40 mg daily	ages 10-17: 5 – 20 mg daily	5 mg daily	5, 10, 20, 40 mg tablets
simvastatin	10 – 40 mg daily in the evening	5 – 40 mg daily	ages 10-17 years: 10 – 40 mg daily	20 mg daily	5, 10, 20, 40, 80 mg tablets

DOSING CONSIDERATIONS^{194,195,196,197,198,199,200,201,202,203,204,205}

amlodipine/atorvastatin (Caduet)

- Caduet may be substituted for the individual agents after titration
- Dosage should be individualized for tolerance of both amlodipine and atorvastatin
- Renal impairment: no dosage adjustment is needed

atorvastatin (Lipitor)

- Use caution when administering with fibrates
- No dosage adjustment is recommended in renal insufficiency
- Severe hepatic disease: adjust dosage
- Cyclosporine: do not exceed 10 mg daily
- Clarithromycin or in combination with ritonavir plus saquinavir or lopinavir: use caution when exceeding atorvastatin > 20 mg daily. The lowest dose necessary should be used.

ezetimibe / simvastatin (Vytorin)

- Homozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia (HoFH): 10mg/40 mg in the evening
- Severe renal impairment: do not start Vytorin unless patient has tolerated more than simvastatin 5 mg daily
- Bile Acid Sequestrants: administer Vytorin either two hours before or four hours after administration of a bile acid sequestrant
- Fibrates - should be avoided
- Gemfibrozil: concomitant administration is contraindicated.
- Niacin >1 gm daily - Caution should be used.
- Cyclosporine or danazol: concomitant administration is contraindicated.
- Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors: concomitant administration is contraindicated.
- Diltiazem or verapamil: do not exceed 10/10 mg daily
- Amiodarone, amlodipine, or ranolazine: do not exceed 10 mg/20 mg daily
- Due to increased risk for myopathy, Chinese patients should not receive Vytorin 10 mg/80 mg co-administered with lipid-modifying doses of niacin-containing products (≥1 g/day niacin). It is not known if this risk of myopathy observed in Chinese patients applies to other Asian patients.
- High dose simvastatin: potential increased risk of myopathy with the 80 mg dose of simvastatin compared to lower doses of simvastatin and possibly other statin drugs.
- Due to the increased risk of myopathy, including rhabdomyolysis, use of the 80 mg dose of simvastatin should be restricted to patients who have been taking ezetimibe/simvastatin 10/80 mg chronically (e.g., for ≥ 12 months) without evidence of muscle toxicity.
- New patients should not be started on ezetimibe/simvastatin 10/80 mg.
- Place patients who do not meet their LDL-C goal on ezetimibe/simvastatin 10/40 mg on alternative LDL-C lowering treatment(s) that provides greater LDL-C lowering.

- Follow the recommendations in the simvastatin-containing products labels regarding drugs that may increase the risk for muscle injury when used with simvastatin.
- Switch patients who need to be initiated on a drug that interacts with simvastatin to an alternative statin with less potential for the drug-drug interaction.

fluvastatin (Lescol, Lescol XL)

- Don't crush, chew, break tablets; do not open capsules
- Renal insufficiency: very little data with doses > 40 mg daily, use with caution in severe impairment
- Severe hepatic impairment or heavy alcohol ingestion: use caution

lovastatin

- Cyclosporine or danazol: dosing range is 10 to 20 mg daily
- Amiodarone or verapamil: do not exceed 40 mg daily
- Gemfibrozil, fibrates, or niacin >1 gm daily: do not exceed 20 mg daily
- Renal insufficiency (CrCl < 30 mL/min): use caution with doses above 20 mg daily

lovastatin ER (Altoprev)

- Elderly and complicated medical conditions including diabetes: use 20 mg at bedtime
- Cyclosporine: do not begin Altoprev; consider immediate release lovastatin
- Amiodarone and verapamil: do not exceed 20 mg daily
- Gemfibrozil, fibrates, or niacin >1 gm daily: do not exceed 20 mg daily
- Renal insufficiency (CrCl < 30 mL/min): use caution with doses above 20 mg daily

niacin ER / lovastatin (Advicor)

- For niacin ER/lovastatin, two tablets of 500mg/20mg are not interchangeable with 1,000mg/40mg.²⁰⁶ When converting patients on Niaspan to niacin ER/lovastatin, an equivalent niacin dosage can be started (mg per mg). If the patient has been on any other niacin product, therapy with niacin ER/lovastatin should be initiated at the lowest dose and titrated upward every four weeks as needed to achieve treatment goals. If niacin ER/lovastatin therapy is interrupted for more than seven days, initiate therapy at niacin ER/lovastatin 500 mg/20 mg to minimize adverse effects.
- Combination with gemfibrozil and fibrates should be avoided if possible. If benefits outweigh risks, consider niacin ER/lovastatin dosage not exceeding 1,000/20 mg daily
- Cyclosporine: do not exceed niacin ER/lovastatin 1,000/20 mg daily
- Renal insufficiency: use caution

niacin ER / simvastatin (Simcor)

- Dosages > 2000mg/40mg per day are not recommended
- If therapy is discontinued for > seven days, re-titration as tolerated
- Amlodipine or ranolazine: do not exceed 1,000 mg/20 mg daily

- Due to an increased risk for myopathy, in Chinese patients taking simvastatin 40 mg co-administered with lipid-modifying doses (≥ 1 g/day niacin) of niacin-containing use caution when administering niacin ER/simvastatin in doses that exceed 1,000/20 mg/day to Chinese patients. The cause of the increased risk of myopathy is not known. It is also unknown if the risk for myopathy with co-administration of simvastatin with lipid-modifying doses of niacin-containing products observed in Chinese patients applies to other Asian patients
- Concomitant administration of strong CYP3A4 inhibitors is contraindicated
- Concomitant administration of gemfibrozil, cyclosporine, or danazol is contraindicated
- Concomitant administration of amiodarone, verapamil, or diltiazem is contraindicated
- Fenofibrate: combination with niacin ER/simvastatin should be avoided.
- Severe renal impairment: do not initiate therapy unless patient has already tolerated treatment with simvastatin at 10 mg daily or higher

pitavastatin (Livalo)

- Doses greater than 4 mg once daily have been associated with an increased risk for severe myopathy; therefore, do not exceed 4 mg daily.
- Renal insufficiency: moderate and severe renal impairment (glomerular filtration rate 30-59 and 15-29 mL/min/1.73 m², respectively) and end-stage renal disease on hemodialysis initial dose is 1 mg daily; maximum dose 2 mg daily.
- Erythromycin: pitavastatin dose should be limited to 1 mg daily
- Rifampin: pitavastatin dose should be limited to 2 mg daily
- Gemfibrozil, fibrates or: pitavastatin should be administered with caution
- Lipid-modifying doses of niacin: consider a dose reduction of pitavastatin

pravastatin

- Patients with significant renal or hepatic impairment: use 10 mg daily to start
- Cyclosporine: use 10 to 20 mg daily

rosuvastatin (Crestor)

- Asian patients: consider starting dose of 5 mg daily
- Homozygous Familial Hypercholesterolemia (HoFH): Starting dose is 20 mg daily
- Cyclosporine: use 5 mg only
- Gemfibrozil: combination should be avoided; if used, do not exceed rosuvastatin 10 mg daily
- Severe renal impairment (CrCl < 30mL/min/1.73m²) not on hemodialysis: initiate therapy at 5 mg daily; do not exceed 10 mg daily
- Rosuvastatin 40 mg should be limited only to patients who fail to achieve LDL-C goals with rosuvastatin 20 mg daily
- Lopinavir / ritonavir (Kaletra[®]) or atazanavir/ritonavir: do not exceed 10 mg daily
- Consider reducing rosuvastatin dosage when used in combination with niacin or fenofibrate

simvastatin (Zocor)

- HoFH: use 40 mg daily in evening.
- Recommended starting dose for patients at high risk of CHD is 40 mg/day.
- Fibrates: combination should be avoided.
- Diltiazem or verapamil: do not exceed 10 mg daily
- Amiodarone, amlodipine, or ranolazine: do not exceed 20 mg daily
- Severe renal impairment (CrCl < 10 mL/min): initiate therapy at 5 mg daily with close monitoring
- Due to increased risk of myopathy, do not co-administer simvastatin 40 mg lipid-modifying doses of niacin-containing products (≥ 1 g/day niacin) in Chinese patients, use caution in administering simvastatin > 20 mg per day in Chinese patients taking lipid-modifying doses of niacin-containing products.²⁰⁷ It is not known if this risk of myopathy observed in Chinese patients applies to other Asian patients.
- High dose simvastatin: potential increased risk of myopathy with the 80 mg dose of simvastatin compared to lower doses of simvastatin and possibly other statin drugs.
- Due to the increased risk of myopathy, including rhabdomyolysis, use of the 80 mg dose of simvastatin should be restricted to patients who have been taking simvastatin 80 mg chronically (e.g., for ≥ 12 months) without evidence of muscle toxicity.
- New patients should not be started on simvastatin 80 mg.
- Place patients who do not meet their LDL-C goal on simvastatin 40 mg on alternative LDL-C lowering treatment(s) that provides greater LDL-C lowering.
- Follow the recommendations in the simvastatin-containing products labels regarding drugs that may increase the risk for muscle injury when used with simvastatin. Switch patients who need to be initiated on a drug that interacts with simvastatin to an alternative statin with less potential for the drug-drug interaction.
- Severe renal impairment: do not initiate therapy unless patient has already tolerated treatment with simvastatin at 10 mg daily or higher.
- Concomitant administration of gemfibrozil, cyclosporine, or danazol is contraindicated.
- Concomitant administration of strong CYP3A4 inhibitors (e.g., itraconazole, ketoconazole, posaconazole, HIV protease inhibitors, erythromycin, clarithromycin, telithromycin and nefazodone) is contraindicated.

CLINICAL TRIALS

Search Strategies

Articles were identified through searches performed on PubMed and review of information sent by manufacturers. Search strategy included the FDA-approved use of all drugs in this class. Randomized, controlled, comparative trials for FDA-approved indications are considered the most relevant in this category. Clinical outcome trials rather than surrogate markers as trial primary outcome parameters are considered the most relevant in this class. Studies included for analysis in the review were published in English, performed with human participants and randomly allocated participants to comparison groups. In addition, studies must contain clearly stated, predetermined outcome measure(s) of known or probable clinical importance, use data analysis techniques consistent with the

study question and include follow-up (endpoint assessment) of at least 80 percent of participants entering the investigation. Despite some inherent bias found in all studies including those sponsored and/or funded by pharmaceutical manufacturers, the studies in this therapeutic class review were determined to have results or conclusions that do not suggest systematic error in their experimental study design. While the potential influence of manufacturer sponsorship/funding must be considered, the studies in this review have also been evaluated for validity and importance.

Numerous short-term trials comparing agents for the reduction in LDL-C, changes in the various lipid parameters, and other surrogate markers have been published. No cardiovascular outcomes studies have been published for pitavastatin (Livalo) or for the combinations of niacin ER/lovastatin (Advicor), niacin ER/simvastatin (Simcor), or atorvastatin/amlodipine (Caduet). Many of the large clinical trials evaluating cardiovascular events and the use of statins have used placebo as a comparison or different dose (high dose versus low dose) comparisons. Large cardiovascular outcomes trials for primary and secondary prevention are summarized at the end of this section.

atorvastatin (Lipitor)

TNT study:²⁰⁸ The Treating to New Targets study evaluated the efficacy and safety of lowering LDL-C to less than 100 mg/dL in patients with stable CHD. In the randomized, double-blind study, 10,001 patients with CHD were enrolled and followed for a mean of 4.9 years. Initially, all patients underwent eight weeks of open-label atorvastatin 10 mg daily. Those patients with LDL-C less than 130 mg/dL were then randomized to atorvastatin 10 or 80 mg daily. Overall, atorvastatin reduced LDL-C by 35 percent with a mean LDL-C achieved in the atorvastatin 80 and 10 mg groups of 77 mg/dL and 101 mg/dL, respectively. The primary outcome measure was the occurrence of a first major cardiovascular event, defined as death from CHD, nonfatal nonprocedural-related myocardial infarction (MI), resuscitation after cardiac arrest, or fatal or nonfatal stroke. The event rate was 8.7 and 10.9 percent for the atorvastatin 80 and 10 mg groups, respectively ($p < 0.001$). This study was not powered to detect a difference in overall mortality between the two doses of atorvastatin. There were more noncardiovascular deaths in the atorvastatin 80 mg group. In specifically evaluating cerebrovascular events, the atorvastatin 80 mg group had fewer cerebrovascular events (hazard ratio (HR)=0.77, 95% CI, 0.64 to 0.93; $p = 0.007$) and stroke (HR=0.75, 95% CI, 0.59 to 0.96; $p = 0.02$).²⁰⁹ The incidence of hemorrhagic strokes was similar between the groups. Evaluating the diabetic population ($n = 1,501$) enrolled in TNT, a primary outcome measure occurred in 13.8 and 17.9 percent of the atorvastatin 80 and 10 mg groups, respectively (HR=0.75; 95% CI, 0.58 to 0.97, $p = 0.026$).²¹⁰ Beneficial effects were seen in diabetics in the high dose atorvastatin group for cerebrovascular events and any cardiovascular events. For patients with metabolic syndrome, high-dose atorvastatin significantly reduced the primary outcome measure compared to the low-dose atorvastatin group (9.5 versus 13 percent, respectively; HR=0.71; 95% CI, 0.61 to 0.84; $p < 0.0001$).²¹¹ Adverse events and discontinuation rates were significantly higher in the high-dose atorvastatin group (both $p < 0.001$). Five cases of rhabdomyolysis were reported, with two patients in the high-dose atorvastatin group and three patients in the low-dose atorvastatin group. Liver enzyme elevation, defined as two measurements greater than three times the upper limit of normal for ALT, AST, or both within four to 10 days, occurred more frequently in the high-dose atorvastatin group (1.2 versus 0.2 percent, $p < 0.001$). The manufacturer of atorvastatin funded the study.

A subgroup analysis of the TNT study evaluated the effect of high-dose atorvastatin for heart failure (HF).²¹² A history of HF was present in 7.8 percent of patients. A known ejection fraction less than 30

percent and advanced HF were exclusion criteria for the study. A predefined secondary end point of the study was hospitalization for HF. The incidence of hospitalization for HF was 2.4 percent for atorvastatin 80 mg and 3.3 percent for atorvastatin 10 mg (HR=0.74, 95% CI, 0.59 to 0.94, p=0.0116). In the patients with a history of HF, the incidence of HF-related hospitalization was 10.6 percent in the atorvastatin 80 mg group and 17.3 percent in the atorvastatin 10 mg group (HR=0.59, 95% CI, 0.4 to 0.88; p=0.008). The rates of hospitalization for HF were much lower among patients without a history of HF [1.8 percent in the 80 mg group and 2 percent in the 10 mg group (HR=0.87, 95% CI, 0.64 to 1.16, p=0.34)]. In a post-hoc analysis, this benefit of reduced hospitalizations for HF was only seen in patients with a history of HF.

A pre-specified secondary analysis of the TNT study assessed the effect of high-dose atorvastatin 80 mg daily or low-dose atorvastatin 10 mg daily in 3,809 patients aged 65 years or older with stable CHD.²¹³ The absolute risk was reduced by 2.3 percent and relative risk by 19 percent for major cardiovascular events in favor of the high-dose atorvastatin group (HR=0.81, 95% CI, 0.67 to 0.98, p=0.032). Among the components of the composite outcome, the mortality rates from CHD, nonfatal non-procedure-related MI, and fatal or nonfatal stroke (ischemic, embolic, hemorrhagic, or unknown origin) were all lower in older patients who received high-dose atorvastatin, although the difference was not statistically significant for each individual component. The improved clinical outcome was not associated with persistent elevations in creatine kinase (CK) levels.

Further analysis of the TNT data evaluated patients with CAD, type 2 diabetes, with or without chronic kidney disease (CKD).²¹⁴ Renal data was available for 1,431 patients of the 1,501 patients with diabetes. Of the 546 patients with diabetes and CKD, 17.4 percent experienced a major CV event compared to 13.4 percent of 885 patients with diabetes and normal estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFRs) (HR 1.32; 95% CI, 1 to 1.72; p<0.05). Compared with 10 mg of atorvastatin, 80 mg of atorvastatin reduced the relative risk of major CV events by 35 percent in patients with diabetes and CKD (20.9 percent versus 13.9 percent, respectively, HR 0.65; 95% CI, 0.43 to 0.98; p=0.04) and by 10 percent in patients with diabetes and normal eGFR (14.1 percent versus 12.8 percent, respectively, HR 0.90; 95% CI, 0.63 to 1.29; p=0.56). Over 4.8 years, the number needed to treat was 14 to prevent one major CV event. Both treatments were well tolerated.

ASCOT-LLA study:²¹⁵ As part of a larger study with 19,342 hypertensive patients with multiple risk factors for CHD, patients (n=10,305) with total-C less than 235 mg/dL were enrolled in the lipid-lowering arm and were randomized to atorvastatin 10 mg daily or placebo in a double-blind manner. The primary endpoint of the lipid-lowering trial was non-fatal MI and fatal CHD. After a median follow-up of 3.3 years, the trial was stopped early due to significantly lower event rate in the atorvastatin group (p=0.0005). Atorvastatin reduced the relative risk of nonfatal MI and fatal CHD by 36 percent over the study period. Stroke, a secondary endpoint, was reduced by approximately 27 percent with atorvastatin (p=0.024).

CARDS:²¹⁶ The effectiveness of atorvastatin in the primary prevention of cardiovascular events was evaluated in 2,838 patients with type 2 diabetes. The trial was a multicenter, double-blind, randomized trial enrolling patients ages 40 to 75 years who did not have a history of cardiovascular disease, near normal values for LDL-C (<160 mg/dL, baseline mean 119 mg/dL) and TG (<600 mg/dL, baseline mean 172 mg/dL). Patients also had at least one of the following risk factors: a history of retinopathy, albuminuria, current smoking, or hypertension. The mean duration of diabetes was six years upon study entry.²¹⁷ Patients were randomized to atorvastatin 10 mg daily or placebo. The trial was halted two years earlier than expected when atorvastatin was found to reduce the relative risk of the first

occurrence of acute cardiac event, coronary revascularization or stroke compared to placebo (relative risk reduction 37 percent [95% CI, -52 to -17], $p=0.001$). Looking at the endpoints individually found that the event rate of acute coronary heart disease events was reduced by 36 percent, coronary revascularizations by 31 percent, and stroke by 48 percent by atorvastatin ($p=0.016$) compared to placebo. Atorvastatin was well tolerated in the trial over a median of 3.9 years.

A multicenter, double-blind, randomized trial enrolled 1,255 patients with type 2 diabetes on hemodialysis to assess the efficacy and safety of atorvastatin 20 mg daily versus placebo.²¹⁸ The primary endpoint was the composite of cardiac death, nonfatal MI, and stroke. After four weeks, the mean LDL-C was reduced by 42 percent in the atorvastatin group; placebo group had a 1.3 percent reduction in LDL-C. After a median of four years, 469 patients reached the composite endpoint (atorvastatin, $n=226$; placebo $n=243$; [relative risk, 0.92; 95% CI, 0.77 to 1.1; $p=0.37$). Atorvastatin had no effect on death from cardiac causes, nonfatal MI, and nonfatal stroke. More patients died of stroke in the atorvastatin group ($n=27$) than in the placebo group ($n=13$; relative risk, 2.03; 95% CI, 1.05 to 3.93; $p=0.04$). Atorvastatin reduced the rate of all cardiac events combined (relative risk, 0.82; 95% CI, 0.68 to 0.99; $p=0.03$). Atorvastatin did not have a significant effect on combined cerebrovascular events or total mortality.

SPARCL:²¹⁹ In a multicenter, randomized, double-blind trial, atorvastatin 80 mg daily and placebo were compared for efficacy in reducing the risk of secondary stroke. Patients ($n=4,731$) had a history of stroke or TIA within one to six months before study entry, and LDL-C levels were between 100 to 109 mg/dL. Contemporary management with antiplatelet and antihypertensive agents was permitted. The study population had no known CHD. After a median of 4.9 years of follow-up, the rates of fatal and nonfatal strokes were 11.2 and 13.1 percent for atorvastatin and placebo, respectively ($p=0.03$). The five-year absolute risk reduction of major cardiovascular events associated with atorvastatin was 3.5 percent (HR=0.80; 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.92; $p=0.002$). Hemorrhagic stroke was slightly higher in the atorvastatin group; however, the incidence of fatal hemorrhagic stroke was similar between the two groups. There was no significant difference in total mortality between the groups. Baseline LDL-C levels were similar between the groups (132.7 versus 133.7 mg/dL); however, the mean LDL-C during the trial was 73 mg/dL and 129 mg/dL for the atorvastatin and placebo groups, respectively ($p<0.001$). Liver enzyme elevation was more common with atorvastatin. The discontinuation rate was higher in the atorvastatin group (17.5 versus 14.5 percent). Five cases of rhabdomyolysis were reported, with two patients in the atorvastatin group and three patients in the placebo group. After 4.9 years, at each level of LDL-C reduction, subjects with HDL-C value above the median or systolic blood pressure (BP) below the median had greater reductions in stroke and major CV events and those with a reduction in triglycerides above the median or diastolic BP below the median showed similar trends.²²⁰

A post-hoc analysis of the SPARCL trial suggested a higher incidence of hemorrhagic stroke (2.3 percent atorvastatin versus 1.4 percent placebo; HR: 1.68, 95% CI, 1.09 to 2.59; $p=0.0168$) but reduced risk of ischemic stroke in the patients treated with atorvastatin 80 mg.²²¹ Hemorrhagic stroke was more frequent in subjects who had a hemorrhagic stroke on study entry, in men, and with advanced age.

ASPEN:²²² In a double-blind, placebo-controlled study, the effect of atorvastatin 10 mg on the incidence of cardiovascular events in type 2 diabetics with lower levels of LDL-C than the current guidelines was determined. Patients ($n=2,410$) were randomized to atorvastatin 10 mg daily or placebo for four years. The primary composite endpoint consisted of cardiovascular death, nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, recanalization, CABG, resuscitated cardiac arrest, and worsening or unstable angina requiring hospitalization. The mean reduction of LDL-C was 29 percent over four years compared to

placebo ($p < 0.0001$). The composite endpoint rates were 13.7 and 15 percent for atorvastatin and placebo groups, respectively (HR=0.90; 95% CI, 0.73 to 1.12); the difference did not achieve statistical significance. In the patient subgroup with prior MI or interventional procedure, the composite endpoint rates were 26.2 and 30.8 percent for atorvastatin and placebo, respectively (HR=0.82, 95% CI, 0.59 to 1.15). In patients without a history of MI or interventional procedure, there was no significant difference between the two groups (10.4 percent for atorvastatin; 10.8 percent for placebo; HR=0.97; 95% CI, 0.74 to 1.28). The relative risk reductions for fatal and nonfatal MI did not achieve statistical significance (27 percent overall; $p=0.1$).

atorvastatin (Lipitor) versus pravastatin (Pravachol)

REVERSAL study.²²³ The 18-month randomized, double-blind, active-controlled, multicenter trial enrolled 654 patients to compare the effect of moderate lipid lowering therapy with pravastatin 40 mg daily to intensive lipid-lowering therapy with atorvastatin 80 mg daily on coronary artery atheroma burden and progression. Baseline mean LDL-C was 150.2 mg/dL in both treatment groups and was reduced to 110 mg/dL in the pravastatin group and to 79 mg/dL in the atorvastatin group ($p < 0.001$). Progression of coronary atherosclerosis occurred in the pravastatin group (2.7 percent; $p=0.001$) compared with baseline. Progression did not occur in the atorvastatin group (-0.4 percent; $p=0.98$) compared with baseline. For patients with CHD, intensive lipid-lowering treatment with atorvastatin reduced progression of coronary atherosclerosis compared with pravastatin.

PROVE IT-TIMI 22 study.²²⁴ The authors enrolled 4,162 patients who had been hospitalized for acute coronary syndrome (ACS) within the preceding ten days and compared 40 mg of pravastatin daily (standard therapy) with 80 mg of atorvastatin daily (intensive therapy) in a double-blind, double-dummy fashion. The primary endpoint was a composite of death from any cause, MI, unstable angina requiring rehospitalization, revascularization (performed at least 30 days after randomization), and stroke. Mean follow-up was 24 months. The median LDL-C level achieved during treatment was 95 mg/dL in the pravastatin group and 62 mg/dL in the atorvastatin group ($p < 0.001$). After 30 days, the composite endpoint occurred in three and 4.2 percent of the atorvastatin and pravastatin patients, respectively (HR=0.72; 95% CI, 0.58 to 0.89; $p=0.003$).²²⁵ Primary composite endpoint rates (death or major cardiovascular event) at two years were 26.3 percent in the pravastatin group and 22.4 percent in the atorvastatin group (95% CI, 5 to 26; $p=0.005$). Among ACS patients, an intensive lipid-lowering statin regimen provides greater protection against death or major cardiovascular events than does a standard regimen. These findings indicate that such patients benefit from early and continued lowering of LDL-C to levels substantially below current target levels.

The PROVE IT-TIMI 22 trial evaluated 4,162 patients hospitalized for ACS and randomized to atorvastatin 80 mg or pravastatin 40 mg daily.²²⁶ The relationship between on-treatment levels of TG and LDL-C and the composite end point of death, MI, and recurrent ACS were assessed 30 days after initial presentation. Low on-treatment TG (< 150 mg/dl) was associated with reduced CHD risk compared with higher TG in univariate analysis (hazard ratio [HR] 0.73, 95% CI, 0.62 to 0.87; $p < 0.001$) and in adjusted analysis (HR 0.80, 95% CI 0.66 to 0.97; $p=0.025$). For each 10 mg/dL decrease in on-treatment TG, the incidence of death, MI, and recurrent ACS was lower by 1.6 percent or 1.4 percent after adjustment for LDL-C or non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and other covariates ($p < 0.001$ and $p=0.01$, respectively). Lower CHD risk was also observed with TG < 150 mg/dl and LDL-C < 70 mg/dl (HR 0.72, 95% CI, 0.54 to 0.94; $p=0.017$) or low on-treatment TG, LDL-C, and C-reactive protein (< 2

mg/L) (HR 0.59, 95% CI, 0.41 to 0.83; $p=0.002$) compared with higher levels of each variable in adjusted analysis.

A double-blind, randomized trial of 893 ambulatory CAD patients (30 percent female) aged 65 to 80 years with one or more episode of myocardial ischemia that lasted three or more minutes during 48 hour ambulatory ECG at screening, compared atorvastatin 80 mg daily to pravastatin 40 mg daily with a 12 month follow-up.²²⁷ The primary efficacy parameter (absolute change from baseline in total duration of ischemia at month 12) was significantly reduced in both groups at three and 12 months (both $p<0.001$ for each treatment group) with no significant difference between the treatment groups. Atorvastatin patients experienced greater LDL-C reductions than the pravastatin group, a trend toward fewer major acute cardiovascular events (HR=0.71, 95% CI, 0.46 to 1.09, $p=0.114$), and a significantly greater reduction in all-cause death (HR= 0.33, 95% CI, 0.13 to 0.83; $p=0.014$).

atorvastatin (Lipitor) versus rosuvastatin (Crestor)

SATURN:²²⁸ In an effort to compare the effect of maximum doses of atorvastatin and rosuvastatin on the progression of coronary atherosclerosis as measured by intravenous ultrasound (IVUS), this double-blind clinical trial randomized 1,039 patients with coronary disease to receive atorvastatin 80 mg or rosuvastatin 40 mg daily. After 104 weeks of therapy, the LDL-C was 62.6 mg/dL in the rosuvastatin group versus 70.2 mg/dL in the atorvastatin group ($p=0.01$) and the HDL-C was 50.4 versus 48.6 mg/dL, respectively ($p=0.01$). There was no significant difference in the primary efficacy end point, percent atheroma volume (PAV), which decreased by 0.99 percent (95% [CI], -1.19 to -0.63) with atorvastatin and by 1.22 percent (95% CI, -1.52 to -0.9) with rosuvastatin ($p=0.17$). Regression of PAV was reported in 63.2 percent of patients with atorvastatin and 68.5 percent with rosuvastatin ($p=0.07$). The authors concluded that although no difference between the agents was found, the study does show that high-dose, intensive statin therapy can promote regression of atherosclerotic plaque.

atorvastatin (Lipitor) versus simvastatin (Zocor)

IDEAL:²²⁹ The study was a prospective, randomized, open-label, blinded endpoint trial evaluating atorvastatin 80 mg daily and simvastatin 20 mg daily for occurrence of coronary death, nonfatal MI, or cardiac resuscitation over a median of 4.8 years. A total of 8,888 North European patients with a history of MI were enrolled. A majority of patients were on statin therapy at baseline (simvastatin 50 percent, pravastatin 10 percent, and atorvastatin 11 percent). Baseline LDL-C levels were 121 mg/dL. Dose adjustments were permitted in the simvastatin group if total cholesterol was greater than 190 mg/dL after 24 weeks. For the atorvastatin group, if the LDL-C was less than 40 mg/dL, atorvastatin dose was reduced to 40 mg daily. After five years, the mean LDL-C levels were 80 and 100 mg/dL for atorvastatin and simvastatin, respectively. Major coronary event defined as CHD death, nonfatal MI, and cardiac resuscitation occurred in 9.3 percent of the atorvastatin patients and 10.4 percent of the simvastatin patients (HR=0.89; 95% CI, 0.78 to 1.01, $p=0.07$). The rates of composite endpoint of CHD death, nonfatal MI, cardiac resuscitation, and stroke were lower with atorvastatin (HR=0.87; 95% CI, 0.78 to 0.98; $p=0.02$). A significant reduction in nonfatal MI in favor of atorvastatin was observed (7.2 percent simvastatin; six percent atorvastatin; HR=0.83; 95% CI, 0.71 to 0.98; $p=0.02$). All cause mortality and cardiovascular mortality were similar in both groups. Discontinuation rate due to adverse effects was higher in the atorvastatin group (9.6 versus 4.2 percent, $p<0.001$). Liver enzyme elevation was reported more frequently with atorvastatin ($p<0.001$). An analysis of heart failure (HF) in secondary prevention showed that atorvastatin 80 mg was associated with a 26 percent decrease in

new HF events compared with simvastatin 20 to 40 mg (HR 0.74, 95% CI, 0.57 to 0.97, $p=0.03$). Atorvastatin tended to be associated with fewer HF events in those with HF at baseline ($n=537$, HR 0.65, 95% CI, 0.38 to 1.11, $p=0.11$) and those without HF at baseline ($n=8,351$, HR 0.8, 95% CI, 0.59 to 1.09, $p=0.15$). Also, HF without preceding MI ($n=187$) was decreased (HR 0.73, 95% CI, 0.54 to 0.97, $p=0.03$).²³⁰

atorvastatin plus amlodipine (Caduet)

No large clinical outcomes trials have been performed with the combination of atorvastatin and amlodipine. Short-term comparison trials with atorvastatin and amlodipine have been completed.²³¹

ezetimibe plus simvastatin (Vytorin)

Several studies with surrogate endpoints have compared the combination of ezetimibe and simvastatin to its individual components, atorvastatin, and rosuvastatin.^{232,233,234,235,236,237,238}

ENHANCE: A two-year, randomized, double-blind, multicenter study of 720 patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia (HeFH) compared ezetimibe/simvastatin 10/80 mg versus simvastatin 80 mg.^{239,240} The study showed no significant difference between ezetimibe/simvastatin versus simvastatin in the primary surrogate endpoint of carotid intima media thickness (IMT), measured at three sites in the carotid arteries, using ultrasound imaging. The change in mean carotid IMT after two years was 0.0111 ± 0.0038 mm versus 0.0058 ± 0.0037 mm, for the combination product versus simvastatin alone ($p=0.29$). Ezetimibe/simvastatin reduced LDL-C to a greater degree, 58 percent compared to simvastatin 41 percent, ($p<0.01$), after two years of treatment. There was a between group difference of 16.5 percent ($p<0.01$) for LDL-C lowering when comparing the simvastatin group to the ezetimibe/simvastatin group. This was not a clinical outcomes study, yet it generated attention since carotid ultrasound imaging can be a predictor of cardiac events, and the study results were delayed in being released. The American College of Cardiology (ACC) and American Heart Association (AHA) have released recommendations regarding the use of products containing ezetimibe and consider it a reasonable option for patients who are currently on a high-dose statin but are not at LDL-C goal, cannot tolerate statins, or can only tolerate a low-dose statin.²⁴¹ The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidelines echo these recommendations.²⁴²

SEAS: A double-blind trial involving 1,873 patients with mild to moderate, asymptomatic aortic stenosis randomized patients to 40 mg of simvastatin plus 10 mg of ezetimibe or placebo daily.²⁴³ The primary outcome was a composite of major CV events, including death from CV causes, aortic-valve replacement, nonfatal MI, hospitalization for unstable angina pectoris, heart failure, coronary-artery bypass grafting, percutaneous coronary intervention, and nonhemorrhagic stroke. Secondary outcomes were events related to aortic-valve stenosis and ischemic CV events. During a median follow-up of 52.2 months, the primary outcome occurred in 35.3 percent in the ezetimibe/simvastatin group and in 38.2 percent in the placebo group (HR in the ezetimibe/simvastatin group, 0.96; 95% CI, 0.83 to 1.12; $p=0.59$). There was no significant difference between the two groups in the secondary outcome of aortic-valve-related events, including aortic-valve replacement, death from CV causes, and hospitalization for HF as a consequence of progression of aortic stenosis [HR, 0.97; 95% CI, 0.83 to 1.14 ($p=0.73$)]. However, aortic-valve replacement, the principal component of the secondary composite outcome, was performed in 28.3 percent in the ezetimibe/simvastatin group and in 29.9 percent in the placebo group (HR 1; 95% CI, 0.84 to 1.18; $p=0.97$). The primary endpoint of major CV events was not significantly reduced in ezetimibe/simvastatin compared to placebo. Fewer patients had ischemic CV

events in the ezetimibe/simvastatin group compared to the placebo group (HR 0.78; 95% CI, 0.63 to 0.97; $p=0.02$), mainly due to the smaller number of patients who underwent CABG. Cancer occurred more frequently in the ezetimibe/simvastatin group ($p=0.01$). An interim analysis of the ongoing CV trials with simvastatin/ezetimibe (Vytorin), SHARP and IMPROVE-IT, did not show a significant increase in cancer ($p=0.61$).²⁴⁴ Based on review of SEAS and interim data from IMPROVE-IT and SHARPS, the FDA believes it is unlikely that simvastatin/ezetimibe (Vytorin) or ezetimibe (Zetia) increase the risk of cancer or cancer-related death.²⁴⁵ Final analysis of SHARP was consistent with the interim results, reporting no increase in cancer risk.²⁴⁶

SHARP: In a double-dummy study, patients ($n=9,438$) with advanced CKD with no known history of MI or coronary revascularization were randomized in a ratio of 4:4:1 to daily ezetimibe 10 mg plus simvastatin 20 mg, matching placebo, or simvastatin 20 mg (with the latter arm re-randomized at one year to ezetimibe 10 mg plus simvastatin 20 mg versus placebo).^{247,248} A total of 3,056 patients in the study were on dialysis. After a median follow-up of 4.9 years, patients that received ezetimibe/simvastatin combination experienced a 17 percent reduction in major atherosclerotic events (defined as the combination of MI, coronary death, ischemic stroke, or any revascularization procedure) compared to placebo ($p=0.0022$). Compared with placebo, ezetimibe/simvastatin resulted in average LDL-C differences of 43 mg/dL at one year and 33 mg/dL at 2.5 years. Ezetimibe/simvastatin was not associated with any excess of myopathy, hepatic toxicity, or biliary complications compared to placebo, or compared to simvastatin alone (at one year). There was no difference in incidence of cancer between groups (9.5 percent for each).

fluvastatin (Lescol)

LIPS study:²⁴⁹ Fluvastatin 40 mg twice daily was compared to placebo in a randomized, double-blind trial in 1,677 patients with stable or unstable angina or ischemia following a successful percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). Primary efficacy was determined by survival time free from cardiac death, nonfatal MI, or repeat procedure. Both groups were similarly matched at baseline including a mean LDL-C of 131 mg/dL with the exception that the fluvastatin group had significantly more diabetic patients than the placebo group (14.2 versus 9.8 percent, respectively). After nearly four years, fluvastatin was found to have a significantly longer event-free time compared to placebo ($p=0.01$). The fluvastatin group had a 21.4 percent incidence of events over four years compared to the placebo group with 26.7 percent (5.3 percent absolute risk reduction). Therapy was well tolerated. At the end of follow-up, 10.7 percent of fluvastatin patients and 24 percent of placebo patients were taking other lipid lowering therapies.

As part of the LIPS study, the impact of long-term fluvastatin treatment on cardiac events was evaluated in 847 stent-treated patients with average cholesterol levels.²⁵⁰ During the four-year follow-up period, fluvastatin significantly decreased total-C, LDL-C, and decreased the relative risk of first adverse atherosclerotic cardiac events by 30 percent.

ALERT study:²⁵¹ The effects of fluvastatin were evaluated in a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial in 2,102 renal transplant patients over five to six years. All patients had stable graft function and were on cyclosporine. Patients were given fluvastatin 40 mg daily or placebo. After two years, the dose of fluvastatin was doubled in 65 percent of the patients. Seventy-four percent of the fluvastatin patients did achieve LDL-C less than 115 mg/dL. The primary endpoint was the composite endpoint of cardiac death, non-fatal MI, or coronary intervention procedure. After a mean follow-up of 5.1 years, fluvastatin reduced LDL-C by 32 percent. The risk reduction of the composite

outcome was not significant. Fluvastatin reduced the number of cardiac deaths and non-fatal MIs compared to the placebo group ($p=0.005$). In a two-year, open-label extension of the ALERT trial, 1,652 patients who completed the first part of the ALERT trial continued fluvastatin XL 80 mg daily.²⁵² The mean LDL-C at the end of the trial was 98 mg/dL. After a mean follow-up period of 6.7 years, patients had a reduced risk of the first major cardiac event (HR=0.79; 95% CI, 0.63 to 0.99; $p=0.036$) and in cardiac death and nonfatal MI (HR=0.71; 95% CI, 0.55 to 0.93, $p=0.014$). Both groups were similar for total mortality and graft loss.

niacin ER / lovastatin (Advicor)

No large clinical outcomes trials have been performed with the combination of niacin ER and lovastatin. Short-term comparison trials with atorvastatin and simvastatin have been completed.^{253,254}

niacin ER / simvastatin (Simcor)

No large clinical outcomes trials have been performed with the combination of niacin ER and simvastatin. This combination product has been compared to simvastatin.^{255,256}

pitavastatin (Livalo)

No large clinical outcomes trials have been performed with pitavastatin. Pitavastatin has been compared to pravastatin, simvastatin, and atorvastatin in patients with primary hyperlipidemia or mixed dyslipidemia, in non-inferiority trials, extension study, as well as in a long-term post-marketing study.^{257,258,259,260,261,262}

JAPAN ACS:²⁶³ This was a randomized, open-label, blinded end-point, parallel group, multicenter Japanese study. A total of 307 ACS patients undergoing intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) guided percutaneous coronary intervention were randomized; 252 patients had evaluable IVUS examinations at baseline and 8 to 12 months follow-up. Patients were randomized to 4 mg/day pitavastatin or 20 mg/day atorvastatin with a primary end point of percentage change in nonculprit coronary plaque volume. Pitavastatin 4 mg and atorvastatin 20 mg similarly reduced nonculprit coronary plaque volume post-ACS. The mean percentage change in plaque volume was -16.9 ± 13.9 percent and -18.1 ± 14.2 percent ($p=0.5$) in the pitavastatin and atorvastatin arms, respectively. This was associated with negative vessel remodeling.

A 12-week, active-controlled double-blind, double-dummy trial compared the efficacy of pitavastatin to simvastatin in patients ($n=857$) with primary hypercholesterolemia or combined dyslipidemia.²⁶⁴ Patients were randomized to one of four groups: pitavastatin 2 mg, pitavastatin 4 mg, simvastatin 20 mg or simvastatin 40 mg, given daily. Pitavastatin 2 mg showed significantly greater reductions of LDL-C (39 versus 35 percent; $p=0.014$), non-HDL-C ($p=0.021$) and TC ($p=0.041$) compared with simvastatin 20 mg and led to more patients achieving the European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS) LDL-C treatment target. Pitavastatin 4 mg showed similar effects on all lipid parameters compared to simvastatin 40 mg. The reductions in LDL-C were 44 and 43 percent, respectively. The safety profiles of pitavastatin and simvastatin were similar at the two dose levels.

pravastatin (Pravachol)

ALLHAT-LLT study:²⁶⁵ The study investigated the effects of pravastatin and usual care on all-cause mortality in 10,355 patients with moderate hypercholesterolemia and hypertension over almost five years. The multicenter, non-blinded study randomized patients with LDL-C of 120 to 180 mg/dL to

pravastatin 40 mg daily or usual care. Subjects included all patient subtypes including females, Blacks, Hispanics, patients with a history of CHD, and those with type 2 diabetes. Of the usual care group, 17.1 percent used statins at year four, and 26.1 percent used statins at year six. The LDL-C levels were reduced by 28 percent with pravastatin compared to 11 percent with usual care for those who had LDL-C determinations. All-cause mortality and CHD event rates were similar between the two groups. Secondary endpoints of nonfatal MI or fatal CHD events combined, cause-specific mortality, and cancer were similar between the two groups.

The WOSCOPS study was a randomized primary prevention trial comparing pravastatin to placebo over five years in a large cohort of men with hyperlipidemia (TC > 250 mg/dL) and no prior history of MI.²⁶⁶ The combined outcome of death from definite coronary heart disease or definite nonfatal MI was reduced from 7.9 to 5.5 percent ($p < 0.001$). A ten-year follow-up of the trial showed a significant reduction in coronary events.²⁶⁷ The rates of death from all cardiovascular causes [pravastatin: 7.6 percent; placebo: 9 percent; 0.81 (95% CI, 0.68-0.96) $p = 0.01$] and death from any cause (18.7 percent for pravastatin versus 20.5 percent for placebo; 0.88 (95% CI, 0.79-0.99); $p = 0.03$) were lower in the pravastatin group over the total follow-up period. Deaths from CHD were fewer in the pravastatin group [5.1 percent; 0.78 (95% CI, 0.64-0.96) $p = 0.02$] compared to placebo group (6.3 percent). There were no excess deaths from non-cardiovascular causes or incident cancers.

rosuvastatin (Crestor)

Short-term trials have been published comparing LDL-C reductions of rosuvastatin, atorvastatin, pravastatin, and simvastatin.^{268,269,270,271,272,273,274,275,276,277,278,279,280,281,282,283} Rosuvastatin has also been compared to atorvastatin in a short-term trial comparing the effect on HDL-C.²⁸⁴

ASTEROID:²⁸⁵ In a prospective, randomized, open-label, blinded endpoint trial, rosuvastatin 40 mg daily was administered to 507 patients. All patients underwent a baseline intravascular ultrasound (IVUS) of a single coronary vessel. After two years of rosuvastatin therapy, patients underwent a repeat IVUS to determine a change in atherosclerosis burden. A total of 349 patients had two sets of IVUS. LDL-C levels were 130.4 mg/dL at baseline and decreased to a mean of 60.8 mg/dL during the study ($p < 0.001$.) Over 75 percent of the population achieved LDL-C levels below 70 mg/dL. HDL-C levels increased from a mean of 43.1 mg/dL to 49 mg/dL. The primary endpoint was change in percent atheroma volume in the 10 mm-segment with the greatest disease severity at baseline and the change in normalized total atheroma volume for the entire artery. The change in the percent atheroma volume was reduced by a mean of 0.98 percent ($p < 0.001$ versus baseline); this represents a median reduction of 9.1 percent in atheroma volume in the 10 mm-segment identified at baseline. Regression in percent atheroma volume occurred in 63.6 percent of patients and 36.4 percent showed progression. For the normalized total atheroma volume for the artery, a median reduction of 6.8 percent was observed. It is important to note the open-label design of the study, large number of patients not completing the study, and the fact that the manufacturer funded the study.

METEOR:²⁸⁶ A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter study assessed whether rosuvastatin 40 mg could slow progression and/or cause regression of carotid intima-media thickness (CIMT) over two years in 984 patients in low risk individuals with subclinical atherosclerosis. Among participants in the rosuvastatin group, the mean baseline LDL-C level of 155 mg/dL declined to 78 mg/dL, a mean reduction of 49 percent ($p < 0.001$ versus placebo). The change in maximum CIMT for the 12 carotid sites was -0.0014 mm/year (95% CI, -0.0041 to 0.0014) for the rosuvastatin group versus 0.0131 mm/year (95% CI, 0.0087 to 0.0174) for the placebo group ($p < 0.001$). Serious rosuvastatin

cardiovascular adverse events were observed in 0.86 percent of patients, although this was not statistically significant. Other adverse events were similar for rosuvastatin and placebo. Though rosuvastatin reduced the rate of progression of maximum CIMT over two years, rosuvastatin did not induce disease regression.

JUPITER:^{287,288} A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter study assessed rosuvastatin 20 mg versus placebo in the primary prevention of CV events. A total of 17,802 patients with normal to low LDL-C and elevated levels of high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hsCRP) were enrolled to determine if long-term treatment with rosuvastatin would reduce the rate of first major CV events in patients with LDL-C <130 mg/dL who are at high vascular risk due to an enhanced inflammatory response indicated by hsCRP levels \geq 2 mg/L. The trial was stopped early after a median follow-up of 1.9 years based on evidence of a reduction in CV morbidity and mortality among patients who received rosuvastatin compared to placebo.²⁸⁹ Rosuvastatin reduced LDL-C and hsCRP levels by 50 and 37 percent, respectively. The rates of the primary end point were 0.77 and 1.36 per 100 person-years of follow-up in the rosuvastatin and placebo groups, respectively (HR for rosuvastatin, 0.56; 95% CI, 0.46 to 0.69; $p < 0.00001$), with corresponding rates of 0.17 and 0.37 for MI (HR 0.46; 95% CI, 0.3 to 0.7; $p = 0.0002$), 0.18 and 0.34 for stroke (HR 0.52; 95% CI, 0.34 to 0.79; $p = 0.002$), 0.41 and 0.77 for revascularization or unstable angina (HR 0.53; 95% CI, 0.4 to 0.7; $p < 0.00001$), 0.45 and 0.85 for the combined end point of MI, stroke, or death from CV causes (HR 0.53; 95% CI, 0.4 to 0.69; $p < 0.00001$), and 1 and 1.25 for death from any cause (HR, 0.8; 95% CI, 0.67 to 0.97; $p = 0.02$). The decrease in stroke risk was due to a 51 percent relative reduction in the rate of ischemic stroke (HR, 0.49; 95% CI, 0.30 to 0.81; $p = 0.004$), with no difference in the rates of hemorrhagic stroke between the active and placebo groups (HR, 0.67; 95% CI, 0.24 to 1.88; $p = 0.44$).²⁹⁰ There was not a significant increase in myopathy or cancer in the rosuvastatin group, but there was a significantly higher incidence of physician-reported diabetes. The five-year number needed to treat (NNT) for JUPITER to prevent one CV event was 25 patients or 95 patients over two years.²⁹¹

In a prospective analysis of 87 percent of the full cohort of JUPITER, the effects of rosuvastatin 20 mg versus placebo on rates of non-fatal MI, non-fatal stroke, admission for unstable angina, arterial revascularization, or CV death (pre-specified endpoints) during a maximum follow-up of five years (median 1.9 years) was assessed.²⁹² Compared with placebo, rosuvastatin patients who achieved LDL-C less than 1.8 mmol/L (70 mg/dL) had a 55 percent reduction in CV events (HR 0.45, 95% CI, 0.34 to 0.6, $p < 0.0001$), and those achieving hsCRP less than 2 mg/L had a 62 percent reduction (HR 0.38, 95% CI, 0.26 to 0.56, $p < 0.0001$). Although LDL-C and hsCRP reductions were only weakly correlated in individual patients, the analysis found a 65 percent reduction in CV events in the rosuvastatin group who achieved both LDL-C less than 1.8 mmol/L (70 mg/dL) and hsCRP less than 2 mg/L (adjusted HR 0.35, 95% CI, 0.23 to 0.54), versus a 33 percent reduction in those who achieved one or neither target (HR 0.67, 95% CI, 0.52 to 0.87) ($p < 0.0001$ for all treatment groups). In participants who achieved LDL-C less than 1.8 mmol/L (70 mg/dL) and hsCRP less than 1 mg/L, a 79 percent reduction (HR 0.21, 95% CI, 0.09 to 0.52) was noted.

AURORA:²⁹³ A randomized, double-blind, prospective, multicenter study of 2,776 patients who were undergoing maintenance hemodialysis compared rosuvastatin 10 mg daily to placebo. The combined primary end point was death from CV causes, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke. Secondary end points included death from all causes and individual cardiac and vascular events. After three months, the mean reduction in LDL-C levels was 43 percent in patients receiving rosuvastatin, from a mean baseline level of 100 mg/dL. However rosuvastatin had no significant effect on the composite primary end point

of death from CV causes, nonfatal MI, or nonfatal stroke. During a median follow-up period of 3.8 years, 396 patients in the rosuvastatin group and 408 patients in the placebo group reached the primary end point (9.2 and 9.5 events per 100 patient-years, respectively; HR for the combined end point in the rosuvastatin group versus the placebo group, 0.96; 95% CI, 0.84 to 1.11; $p=0.59$). Rosuvastatin had no effect on individual components of the primary end point. There was also no significant effect on all-cause mortality (13.5 versus 14 events per 100 patient-years; HR 0.96; 95% CI, 0.86 to 1.07; $p=0.51$).

simvastatin (Zocor)

Heart Protection Study (HPS) Collaborative Group:²⁹⁴ A five-year trial to evaluate the effect of simvastatin 40 mg daily compared to matching placebo enrolled 20,536 patients with CHD, other arterial disease, or diabetes for the effects of simvastatin on mortality, coronary event rates, major vascular events and stroke. All patient types, including females and the elderly, were enrolled. In the double-blind study, compliance rates were evaluated and found to be approximately 82 percent in the simvastatin group after five years of therapy. All-cause mortality was significantly lower in the simvastatin group (12.9 percent) compared to the placebo group (14.7 percent), with reduction in mortality seen in both vascular and nonvascular causes ($p=0.0003$). The percent of patients experiencing a first major vascular event (coronary event, stroke, or revascularization) was significantly lower in the simvastatin group (19.8 versus 25.2 percent; $p<0.0001$). Similar results were seen in the diabetic population ($n=5,963$).²⁹⁵ Simvastatin produced a 25 percent reduction in the incidence of first stroke and a 24 percent reduction in revascularization procedures. For patients with a history of cerebrovascular disease, there was no significant difference in recurrent strokes, but there was a 20 percent risk reduction in the rate of any major vascular event ($p=0.001$).²⁹⁶ Of the 3,500 patients with baseline LDL-C below 100 mg/dL, simvastatin-treated patients were observed to have similar risk reductions as compared to simvastatin-treated patients with higher baseline LDL-C levels. In patients with peripheral arterial disease (PAD), simvastatin was associated with a highly significant 22 percent relative reduction (RR) in the rate of first major vascular event (95% CI, 15 to 29, $p<0.0001$).²⁹⁷ No significant differences in muscle symptoms or discontinuations due to muscle symptoms were observed between the two groups. The incidence of elevated liver enzymes was not significantly different between simvastatin and placebo groups. In a subgroup analysis of HPS, patients were divided into six groups based on CRP levels (<1.25, 1.25-1.99, 2-2.99, 3-4.99, 5-7.99, and ≥ 8 mg/L).²⁹⁸ The primary endpoint for this intent-to-treat subgroup analyses was major vascular events (composite of coronary death, myocardial infarction, stroke, or revascularization). Simvastatin had a significant 24 percent (95% CI, 19 to 28) proportional reduction in the incidence of first major vascular event after randomization (19.8 percent allocated simvastatin versus 25.2 percent allocated placebo). There was no evidence that the proportional reduction in this endpoint, or its components, differed with baseline CRP concentration (trend $p=0.41$). Even in patients with baseline CRP under 1.25 mg/L, major vascular events were significantly reduced by 29 percent (99% CI, 12 to 43, $p<0.0001$). No significant heterogeneity in the relative risk reduction was observed between the subgroups defined by the combination of low or high baseline concentrations of LDL cholesterol and CRP ($p=0.72$). There was benefit in patients with low LDL-C and low CRP (27 percent relative reduction, 99% CI, 11 to 40, $p<0.0001$).

The effects of early intensive therapy or delayed initiation and less intensive therapy of simvastatin following an ACS event were investigated in the phase Z of the A to Z trial.²⁹⁹ The randomized, double-blind trial allocated patients to either (early therapy) simvastatin 40 mg daily for one month followed

by simvastatin 80 mg daily (n=2,265) or (delayed therapy) placebo for four months followed by simvastatin 20 mg daily (n=2,232). The composite of cardiovascular death, nonfatal MI, rehospitalization for ACS, or stroke occurred in 14.4 and 16.7 percent of the early and delayed therapy, respectively. This difference was not statistically significant (p=0.14). The only significant difference between the early and delayed therapy in individual endpoints was in cardiovascular death, which occurred in 5.4 and 4.1 percent of patients, respectively (HR=0.75; 95% CI, 0.57 to 1; p=0.05). Myopathy (CPK > 10 times upper limit of normal) occurred in 0.4 percent of simvastatin patients receiving 80 mg daily (p=0.02), whereas no patients receiving lower doses of simvastatin and only one patient taking placebo had evidence of myopathy.

A double-blind randomized trial studied the efficacy and safety of more intensive statin treatment in patients at high cardiovascular risk.³⁰⁰ Patients (n=12,064) with a history of MI who were either currently on or had clear indication for statin therapy and had a total cholesterol concentration of at least 3.5 mmol/L if already on a statin or 4.5 mmol/L if not were randomized to either 80 mg or 20 mg simvastatin daily. Participants were assessed at 2, 4, 8, and 12 months and then every 6 months until final follow-up. The primary endpoint was major vascular events, defined as coronary death, myocardial infarction, stroke, or arterial revascularization. During a mean follow-up of 6.7 years, simvastatin 80 mg produced an average 0.35 mmol/L greater reduction in LDL cholesterol compared with simvastatin 20 mg. Major vascular events occurred in 24.5 percent of participants on simvastatin 80 mg compared to 25.7 percent of those on simvastatin 20 mg, corresponding to a six percent reduction (risk ratio 0.94, 95% CI, 0.88 to 1.01; p=0.10). Two (0.03 percent) cases of myopathy were reported in patients taking simvastatin 20 mg versus 53 (0.9 percent) cases in the 80 mg group.

Summary of Large Clinical Trials

Drug	Primary Prevention	Secondary Prevention
atorvastatin (Lipitor)	ASCOT-LLA ³⁰¹ , CARDS ³⁰²	MIRACL ³⁰³ , GREACE ³⁰⁴ , PROVE IT-TIMI 22 ³⁰⁵ , TNT ³⁰⁶ , IDEAL ³⁰⁷ , ASPEN ³⁰⁸ , SPARCL ³⁰⁹
ezetimibe/simvastatin (Vytorin)	--	--
fluvastatin (Lescol)	--	LIPS ³¹⁰
lovastatin	AFCAPS/TexCAPS ³¹¹	--
pitavastatin (Livalo)	--	--
pravastatin	WOSCOPS ³¹² , PROSPER ³¹³ , ALLHAT ³¹⁴ , MEGA ³¹⁵	CARE ³¹⁶ , LIPID ³¹⁷ , PROSPER ³¹⁸ , PACT ³¹⁹ , PROVE IT-TIMI 22 ³²⁰
rosuvastatin (Crestor)	JUPITER ³²¹	--
simvastatin	HPS ³²²	4S ³²³ , HPS ³²⁴ , Phase Z of A to Z ³²⁵ , IDEAL ³²⁶

LDL-C Reductions^{327,328,329,330,331,332,333,334,335,336,337,338,339,340,341,342,343,344,345,346, 347,348,349,350,351,352,353,354,355,356}

Drug	<25% decrease	25-35% decrease	36-45% decrease	46-50% decrease	51-60% decrease
atorvastatin (Lipitor, Caduet)	--	10mg	10 - 20mg	40mg	80mg
ezetimibe / simvastatin (Vytorin)	--	--	10mg/10mg	10mg/10mg – 10mg/20mg	10mg/20mg – 10mg/80mg
fluvastatin (Lescol)	20 - 40mg	40 - 80mg	80mg	--	--
fluvastatin XL (Lescol XL)	--	80mg	80mg	--	--
lovastatin	10 - 20mg (including 20mg every other day)	20 - 40mg (including 20mg every other day)	40 - 80mg	--	--
lovastatin ER (Altoprev)	10mg	10 - 40mg	40 - 60mg	--	--
niacin ER / lovastatin (Advicor)	--	1,000mg/20mg	1,000mg/40mg - 2,000mg/40mg	--	--
niacin ER / simvastatin (Simcor)*	1,000mg/20mg - 2,000mg/40mg	--	--	--	--
pitavastatin (Livalo)	--	--	2-4 mg	--	--
pravastatin	10 - 20mg	20 - 40mg	80mg	--	--
rosuvastatin (Crestor)	--	--	5-10mg	10mg	10 - 40mg
simvastatin	5mg	5 - 20mg	20 - 80mg	80mg**	--

Reductions in LDL-C are obtained from prescribing information and clinical trials and therefore, should not be considered comparative.

* These results show the additional LDL-C lowering for either treatment naïve patients or after receiving simvastatin 20 mg or 40 mg.

** Per FDA safety communication in March 2010, simvastatin 80 mg has the potential increased risk of myopathy compared with lower doses of simvastatin and possibly other drugs in the statin class.

Effects on TG and HDL-C^{357,358,359,360,361,362,363,364,365,366,367,368,369,370,371,372,373,374,375,376,377,378,379,380}

Drug	Triglyceride change (%)	HDL-C change (%)
atorvastatin (Lipitor, Caduet) 10 - 80mg	- 17 to - 37	- 0.1 to 9
ezetimibe / simvastatin (Vytorin) 10mg/10mg – 10mg/80mg	- 23 to - 35	6 to 12
fluvastatin (Lescol) 10 - 80mg	- 2.7 to - 23	- 3 to 9
fluvastatin XL (Lescol XL) 80mg	- 19 to - 25	7 to 11
lovastatin 20 - 80mg	- 6 to - 27	3 to 10
lovastatin ER (Altoprev) 10 - 60 mg	- 10 to - 33	6 to 13
niacin ER / lovastatin (Advicor) 1,000mg/20mg - 2,000mg/40mg	- 29 to - 49	17 to 32
niacin ER / simvastatin (Simcor) 1,000mg/20mg - 2,000mg/40mg	- 23 to - 38	15 to 29
pitavastatin (Livalo) 2 - 4 mg	-14 to -22	2 to 7
pravastatin 10 - 80mg	- 9 to - 24	2 to 12
rosuvastatin (Crestor) 5 - 40mg	- 10 to - 35	8 to 14
simvastatin 5 - 80mg	- 9 to - 34	3 to 16

Effects on TG and HDL-C are obtained from prescribing information and clinical trials and therefore, should not be considered comparative.

META-ANALYSIS

A meta-analysis evaluated the trials (TNT, IDEAL, AtoZ, and PROVE IT/TIMI-22) comparing the intensive lipid-lowering with moderate statin therapy in a total of 27,548 patients.³⁸¹ A pooled analysis for intensive lipid-lowering was associated with 16 percent odds reduction ($p < 0.000001$) for coronary death or MI.

A meta-analysis of 27,548 patients with ACS or stable CAD from four randomized, controlled trials comparing intensive to moderate dose statin therapy was done from 1995 to 2006.³⁸² Intensive dose therapy with atorvastatin (Lipitor) or simvastatin 80 mg was associated with better reductions in CV death (OR=0.86, 95% CI, 0.75 to 0.99, $p=0.031$), MI (OR=0.84, 95% CI, 0.76 to 0.93, $p < 0.001$), and stroke (OR=0.82, 95% CI, 0.72 to 0.94, $p=0.004$). However, intensive dose therapy was also associated with an increased risk for any adverse event (OR=1.44, 95% CI, 1.33 to 1.55, $p < 0.001$) and an increased risk for LFT and CK elevations.

A meta-analysis evaluated data from 13 statin studies that included a total of 90,056 patients. The meta-analysis included large statin trials beginning with 4S, published in 1994, and concluding with CARDS, published in 2004. Assuming appropriate adherence and achievement of approximately 39 mg/dL (1 mmol/L) reduction in LDL-C, statins can reduce the five-year incidence of major coronary events and revascularization by approximately 20 percent.³⁸³

A meta-analysis of atorvastatin (Lipitor) and simvastatin across all dose combinations in terms of changes in TC, LDL-C, TG, and HDL-C was conducted from 17 published trials and one unpublished

trial.³⁸⁴ Atorvastatin was two to four times as potent as simvastatin in reducing TC, LDL-C, and TG. Simvastatin was more effective than atorvastatin in increasing HDL-C.

A meta-analysis of 15 randomized controlled statin trials through May 2006 looked at gender specific incidence of cardiovascular events.³⁸⁵ Cardiovascular events were reduced in men (RR= 0.76, 95% CI, 0.7 to 0.81) and women (RR=0.79, 95% CI, 0.69 to 0.9). Reductions in mortality, MI, and stroke predominantly contributed to the reduction in cardiovascular events in men on statins, but women did not have a reduction in mortality or stroke.

Treatment with ezetimibe (Zetia) 10 mg/day or placebo added to current statin therapy was compared in a meta-analysis of five randomized controlled trials with at least six weeks duration in 5,039 adults with hypercholesterolemia.³⁸⁶ The weighted mean difference between treatments significantly favored the ezetimibe/statin combination over placebo/statin for total cholesterol [-16.1 percent (95% CI, -17.3 to -14.8), $p < 0.0001$], LDL-C [-23.6 percent (95% CI, -25.6 to -21.7), $p < 0.0001$] and HDL-C [1.7 percent (95% CI, 0.9 to 2.5), $p < 0.0001$]. The relative risk of reaching the LDL-C treatment goal was significantly higher for patients on ezetimibe/statin relative to those on placebo/statin [3.4 (2, 5.6), $p < 0.0001$]. In pre-defined sub-group analyses of studies in patients with CHD, the weighted mean difference between treatments remained significantly in favor of ezetimibe/statin ($p < 0.0001$) for total cholesterol and LDL-C but was no longer significant for HDL-C. Elevations in liver enzymes did not differ significantly between groups.

A systematic overview of 18 randomized controlled trials of combination statin and ezetimibe trials was performed to assess risk in 14,471 patients.³⁸⁷ Compared with statin monotherapy, combination therapy did not result in significant absolute increases in risks of myalgias (risk difference -0.033, 95% CI, -0.06 to -0.01), creatine kinase increases (risk difference 0.011, 95% CI, -0.02 to 0.04), rhabdomyolysis (risk difference -0.003, 95% CI, -0.01 to 0.004), transaminase increases (risk difference -0.003, 95% CI, -0.01 to 0.005), gastrointestinal adverse events (risk difference 0.005, 95% CI, -0.03 to 0.04), or discontinuations because of an adverse event (risk difference -0.005, 95% CI, -0.03 to 0.02). This systematic review showed that the addition of ezetimibe to statin therapy did not increase the risk of myalgias, creatine kinase levels, rhabdomyolysis, transaminase levels, gastrointestinal adverse events, or discontinuations due to an adverse event.

A meta-analysis compared the overall efficacy of statins on cardiac morbidity and mortality in hypertensive and non-hypertensive patients enrolled in major randomized clinical trials including the ASCOT-LLA and ALLHAT-LLT trials.³⁸⁸ Statin therapy decreased cardiac death by 24 percent (RR 0.76, 95% CI, 0.71 to 0.82). There was no evidence of difference in RR estimates for hypertensive (RR 0.78, 95% CI 0.72 to 0.84) and non-hypertensive (RR 0.76, 95% CI 0.72 to 0.8) patients. This study showed that statin therapy decreases CV morbidity and mortality to the same extent in hypertensive and non-hypertensive patients.

A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials comparing different intensities of statin therapy was conducted to evaluate the evidence for aggressive LDL-C lowering in CAD patients.³⁸⁹ A search of electronic databases including, MEDLINE, EMBASE, Cochrane Central Registry of Controlled Trials, and Web of Science for randomized controlled trials published up to July 19, 2007, identified studies that compared statin regimens of different intensities in adults with CAD and that reported CV events or mortality. Seven trials consisting of 20,395 patients were included. As expected, more intensive statin regimens compared to less intensive regimens further reduced LDL-C levels (0.72 mmol/L reduction, 95% CI, 0.6-0.84 mmol/L), and reduced the risk of MI (OR 0.83, 95% CI, 0.77-0.91) and stroke (OR 0.82,

95% CI, 0.71-0.95). Although there was no effect on mortality among patients with chronic CAD (OR 0.96, 95% CI, 0.8-1.14), all-cause mortality was reduced in patients with ACS treated with more intensive statin regimens (OR 0.75, 95% CI, 0.61-0.93) compared with lower intensity regimens. Intensive regimens were associated with small absolute increases in rates of drug discontinuation (2.5 percent), elevated levels of aminotransferases (1 percent), and myopathy (0.5 percent). In addition, there was no difference in non-CV mortality. This meta-analysis shows the use of more intensive statin regimens in patients with established CAD. The study does not find sufficient evidence to treat to particular LDL-C targets, using combination lipid-lowering therapy to achieve these targets, or for using more intensive regimens in patients without established CAD.

A meta-analysis of 20 randomized trials of at least 12-months duration in predominantly primary prevention populations showed that statins are effective in primary prevention of CV events.³⁹⁰ The study pooled 19 trials (n=63,899) for all-cause mortality and found a RR 0.93 (95% CI, 0.87 to 0.99, p=0.03). Eighteen trials (n=59,469) assessed CV deaths (RR 0.89, 95% CI, 0.81 to 0.98, p=0.01). Seventeen trials (n=53,371) found an RR of 0.85 (95% CI, 0.77 to 0.95, p=0.004) for major CV events, and 17 trials (n=52,976) assessed MIs (RR 0.77, 95% CI, 0.63 to 0.95, p=0.01). Incidence of cancer or rhabdomyolysis were not elevated in 10 trials (n=45,469).

A meta-analysis of 11 prospective randomized controlled statin trials in 65,229 patients, without baseline CVD history and with data on all-cause mortality were identified in Medline and Cochrane databases (January 1970-May 2009).³⁹¹ Effect estimates were pooled using a random-effects model meta-analysis, with heterogeneity assessed with the I2 statistic. A total of 2,793 deaths occurred. The use of statins in this high-risk primary prevention setting was not associated with a statistically significant reduction in the risk of all-cause mortality (RR, 0.91; 95% CI, 0.83-1.01). There was no statistical evidence of heterogeneity among studies (I2 = 23 percent; 95% CI, zero-61 percent; p=0.23).

SUMMARY

The Adult Treatment Panel (ATP) III guidelines consider lipid-lowering therapy to be part of treatment of patients with or at risk for cardiovascular disease. Any of the HMG-CoA reductase inhibitors can safely lower LDL-C by the 30 percent required in most patients to reach goal.

Cardiovascular outcomes trials are desired since they directly measure clinical endpoints such as stroke, myocardial infarction, or cardiac death. However, since long-term trials in large patient populations are difficult to perform, coronary atherosclerosis surrogate endpoints are often used instead. These include LDL-C, various lipid parameters, C-reactive protein (CRP), carotid intima-media thickness (IMT), and coronary intravascular ultrasound (IVUS). LDL-C remains the primary target of lipid lowering therapy.

Statins have demonstrated clear improvements in primary and secondary prevention of cardiovascular events. They have shown a decrease in the incidence of myocardial infarction, stroke, need for revascularization, angina hospitalization, cardiovascular mortality, and overall mortality. Combination therapy may avoid the increase in adverse effects often seen with higher doses of statins. The statin combination agents [niacin ER/lovastatin (Advicor), niacin ER/simvastatin (Simcor) and atorvastatin/amlodipine (Caduet)], and ezetimibe/simvastatin (Vytorin) have not been proven to offer a substantial benefit on cardiovascular morbidity and mortality over and above that of the statin component.

REFERENCES

- 1 Lescol [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; October 2006.
- 2 Lescol XL [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; July 2011.
- 3 Mevacor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; May 2010.
- 4 Altoprev [package insert]. Atlanta, GA; Shionogi; March 2010.
- 5 Advicor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott Laboratories; February 2011.
- 6 Pravachol [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; May 2011.
- 7 Lipitor [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; June 2009.
- 8 Caduet [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; August 2011.
- 9 Livalo [package insert]. Montgomery, AL; Kowa Pharmaceuticals; August 2011.
- 10 Crestor [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; May 2011.
- 11 Zocor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; October 2011.
- 12 Vytorin [package insert]. North Wales, PA; Merck/Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals; November 2011.
- 13 Simcor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 14 Weng TC, Yang YH, Lin SJ, et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis on the therapeutic equivalence of statins. *J Clin Pharm Ther.* 2010; 35(2):139-151.
- 15 Roger VL, Go AS, Lloyd-Jones D, et al. Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics—2011 Update: A Report from the American Heart Association Statistics Committee and Stroke Statistics Subcommittee. Dallas, TX. Available at: <http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/reprint/CIR.Ob013e3182009701v1>. Accessed November 17, 2011.
- 16 Yan AT, Yan RT, Tan M, et al. Contemporary Management of Dyslipidemia in High-Risk Patients: Targets Still Not Met. *Am J Med.* 2006; 119, 676-683.
- 17 Ghandehari H, Kamal-Bahl S, Wong ND. Prevalence and extent of dyslipidemia and recommended lipid levels in US adults with and without cardiovascular comorbidities: the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2003-2004. *Am Heart J.* 2008; 156(1):112-119.
- 18 Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Bairy Merz CN, et al. Implications of recent clinical trials for the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III Guidelines. *Circulation.* 2004; 110:227-239.
- 19 Kent SM, Coyle LC, Flaherty PJ, et al. Marked low-density lipoprotein cholesterol reduction below current national cholesterol education program targets provides the greatest reduction in carotid atherosclerosis. *Clin Cardiol.* 2004; 27(1):17-21.
- 20 O'Keefe JH, Cordain L, Harris WH. Optimal low density lipoprotein is 50 to 75 mg/dL. Lower is better and physiologically normal. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2004; 43:2142-2146.
- 21 National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III). Third Report of the National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Cholesterol in Adults (Adult Treatment Panel III) final report. *Circulation.* 2002; 106:3143–3421.
- 22 Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Bairy Merz CN, et al. Implications of recent clinical trials for the National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III Guidelines. *Circulation.* 2004; 110:227-239.
- 23 Smith SC, Benjamin EJ, Bonow RO, et al. AHA/ACCF Secondary Prevention and Risk Reduction Therapy for Patients With Coronary and Other Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease: 2011 Update : A Guideline From the American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology Foundation. *Circulation.* published online November 3, 2011. Available at: http://my.americanheart.org/professional/StatementsGuidelines/ByPublicationDate/CurrentYear/Current-Year_UCM_322835_Article.jsp. Accessed November 17, 2011.
- 24 Anderson JL, Adams CD, Antman EM, et al. ACCF/AHA 2011 Focused Update of the Guidelines for the management of patients with unstable angina/non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction (Updating the 2007 Guidelines): a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines developed in collaboration with the American College of Emergency Physicians, American College of Physicians, Society for Academic Emergency Medicine, Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and Society of Thoracic Surgeons. *Circulation* 2011, 123:2022-2060. Available at: http://my.americanheart.org/professional/StatementsGuidelines/ByPublicationDate/CurrentYear/Current-Year_UCM_322835_Article.jsp. Accessed November 17, 2011.
- 25 Smith SC, Benjamin EJ, Bonow RO, et al. AHA/ACCF Secondary Prevention and Risk Reduction Therapy for Patients With Coronary and Other Atherosclerotic Vascular Disease: 2011 Update : A Guideline From the American Heart Association and American College of Cardiology Foundation. *Circulation.* published online November 3, 2011. Available at: http://my.americanheart.org/professional/StatementsGuidelines/ByPublicationDate/CurrentYear/Current-Year_UCM_322835_Article.jsp. Accessed November 17, 2011.
- 26 Furie KL, Kasner SE, Adams RJ, et al. Guidelines for the Prevention of Stroke in Patients with Stroke or Transient Ischemic Attack. A Guideline for Healthcare Professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke.* 2011; 42 (1):227-276. Available at: <http://stroke.ahajournals.org/cgi/reprint/STR.Ob013e3181f7d043>. Accessed November 17, 2011.
- 27 Miller M, Stone NJ, Ballantyne C, et al. Triglycerides and cardiovascular disease. *Circulation.* 2011; DOI: 10.1161/CIR.Ob013c3182160726. Available at: <http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/reprint/123/20/2292>. Accessed November 17, 2011.
- 28 Daniels SR, Greer FR, et al. Committee on Nutrition. Lipid screening and cardiovascular health in childhood. *Pediatrics.* 2008; 122(1):198-208.
- 29 Ridker PM, and the JUPITER Study Group. Rosuvastatin in the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease among patients with low levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and elevated high-sensitivity C-reactive protein: rationale and design of the JUPITER trial. *Circulation.* 2003; 108(19):2292-2297.
- 30 John Hopkins Medicine. Statin Therapy May Be Overprescribed in Healthy People Without Evidence of Diseased Arteries, New Study Shows. November 2010. Available at: http://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/news/media/releases/statin_therapy_may_be_overprescribed_in_healthy_people_without_evidence_of_diseased_arteries_new_study_shows. Accessed November 17, 2011.

- 31 Blaha MJ, Budoff MJ, DeFilippis AP, et al. Associations between C-reactive protein, coronary artery calcium, and cardiovascular events: implications for the JUPITER population from MESA, a population-based cohort study. *Lancet*. 2011; 378 (9792):684-692.
- 32 Goldberg AC, Hopkins PN, Toth PP, et al. Familial hypercholesterolemia: screening, diagnosis, and management of pediatric and adult patients. Clinical guidance from the National Lipid Association Expert Panel on familial hypercholesterolemia *J Clin Lipidol*. 2011; 5(3):133-140. Available at: [http://www.lipidjournal.com/article/S1933-2874\(11\)00081-X/abstract](http://www.lipidjournal.com/article/S1933-2874(11)00081-X/abstract). Accessed November 17, 2011.
- 33 The Lovastatin Study Group IV. A multicenter comparison of lovastatin and probucol for treatment of severe primary hypercholesterolemia. *Am J Cardiol*. 1990; 66(8):22B-30B.
- 34 Plakgiannis R, Cohen H. Optimal low-density lipoprotein cholesterol lowering—morning versus evening statin administration. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2007; 41(1):106-110.
- 35 Ballantyne CM, Raichlen JS, Cain VA. The MERCURY II trial. Statin therapy alters the relationship between apolipoprotein B and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol targets in high-risk patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2008; 52(8):626-632.
- 36 Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FAH, et al for the JUPITER Study Group. Rosuvastatin to prevent vascular events in men and women with elevated C-reactive protein. *N Engl J Med*. 2008; 359(21):2195-2207.
- 37 Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FA, et al. JUPITER Trial Study Group. Reduction in c-reactive protein and LDL cholesterol and cardiovascular event rates after initiation of rosuvastatin: a prospective study of the JUPITER trial. *Lancet*. 2009; 373(9670):1175-1182.
- 38 Nissen SE, Tuzcu EM, Schoenhagen P, et al for the REVERSAL Investigators. Statin Therapy, LDL Cholesterol, C-Reactive Protein, and Coronary Artery Disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2005; 352:29-38.
- 39 Ridker PM, Cannon CP, Morro, et al for the PROVE IT–TIMI 22 Investigators. C-Reactive Protein Levels and Outcomes after Statin Therapy. *N Engl J Med*. 2005; 352:20-28.
- 40 Fraker Jr TD, Fihn SD, Gibbons RJ, et al. 2007 chronic angina focused update of the ACC/AHA 2002 guideline update for the management of patients with chronic stable angina: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force on practice guidelines writing group to develop the focused update of the 2002 guidelines for the management of patients with chronic stable angina). 2007. Available at <http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/reprint/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.187930>. Accessed November 17, 2011.
- 41 Simcor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 42 AIM-HIGH Investigators. The role of niacin in raising high-density lipoprotein cholesterol to reduce cardiovascular events in patients with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease and optimally treated low-density lipoprotein cholesterol: baseline characteristics of study participants. The Atherothrombosis Intervention in Metabolic syndrome with low HDL/high triglycerides: impact on Global Health outcomes (AIM-HIGH) trial. *American Heart J*. 2011 Mar;161(3):538-43. Epub 2011 Feb 2.
- 43 NIH News. Available at: <http://public.nhlbi.nih.gov/newsroom/home/GetPressRelease.aspx?id=2792>. Accessed November 18, 2011.
- 44 AIM-HIGH Investigators. Niacin in patients with low HDL cholesterol levels receiving intensive statin therapy. published on-line. Available at: <http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa1107579>. Accessed November 17, 2011.
- 45 Available at: <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/PostmarketDrugSafetyInformationforPatientsandProviders/ucm256841.htm>. Accessed November 18, 2011.
- 46 Caduet [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; August 2011.
- 47 Lipitor [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; June 2009.
- 48 Vytorin [package insert]. North Wales, PA; Merck/Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals; November 2011.
- 49 Zetia [package insert]. North Wales, PA; Merck/Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals; June 2008.
- 50 Lescol [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; October 2006.
- 51 Lescol XL [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; July 2011.
- 52 Mevacor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; May 2010.
- 53 Altoprev [package insert]. Atlanta, GA; Shionogi; March 2010.
- 54 Advicor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott Laboratories; February 2011.
- 55 Simcor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 56 Livalo [package insert]. Montgomery, AL; Kowa Pharmaceuticals; August 2011.
- 57 Pravachol [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; May 2011.
- 58 Crestor [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; May 2011.
- 59 Zocor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; October 2011.
- 60 Caduet [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; August 2011.
- 61 Vytorin [package insert]. North Wales, PA; Merck/Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals; November 2011.
- 62 Advicor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott Laboratories; February 2011.
- 63 Migoya EM, Bergman A, Hreniuk D, et al. Bioequivalence of an ezetimibe/simvastatin combination tablet and coadministration of ezetimibe and simvastatin as separate tablets in healthy subjects. *Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2006; 44(2):83-92.
- 64 Simcor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 65 Gillett RC, Norrell A. Consideration for safe use of statins: liver enzyme abnormalities and muscle toxicity. *Am Fam Physician*. 2011; 83(6):711-716.
- 66 Omar MA, Wilson JP, Cox TS. Rhabdomyolysis and HMG-CoA Reductase Inhibitors. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2001; 35: 1096-107.
- 67 Thompson PD, Clarkson P, Karas RH, et al. Statin-associated myopathy. *JAMA*. 2003; 289:1681-90.
- 68 Bays H. Statin Safety: Overview and Assessment of the data – 2005. *Am J Cardiol*. 2006; 97(suppl):6-26C.
- 69 FDA Drug Safety Communication: New restrictions, contraindications, and dose limitations for Zocor (simvastatin) to reduce the risk of muscle injury. Available at: <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm256581.htm>. Accessed November 17, 2011.
- 70 Pasternak RC, Smith SC, Bairey-Merz CN, et al. ACC/AHA/NHLBI Advisory on the Use and Safety of Statins. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2002; 40:568-73.
- 71 Grundy SM. The Issue of Statin Safety. *Circulation*. 2005; 111:3016-3019.
- 72 Venero CV, Thompson PD. Managing statin myopathy. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am*. 2009. 38(1):121-136.
- 73 Niaspan [package insert]. Cranbury, NJ; Kos Pharmaceuticals; March 2008.
- 74 Simcor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 75 Livalo [package insert]. Montgomery, AL; Kowa Pharmaceuticals; August 2011.
- 76 Mevacor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; May 2010.
- 77 Drugs for lipids. Treatment Guidelines from The Medical Letter. 2008; 6(66):9-16.

- 78 Lipitor [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; June 2009.
- 79 Zocor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; October 2011.
- 80 Crestor [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; June 2010.
- 81 Vytorin [package insert]. North Wales, PA; Merck/Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals; November 2011.
- 82 Simcor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 83 Lipitor [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; June 2009.
- 84 Caduet [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; August 2011.
- 85 Vytorin [package insert]. North Wales, PA; Merck/Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals; November 2011.
- 86 Lescol [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; October 2006.
- 87 Lescol XL [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; July 2011.
- 88 Mevacor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; May 2010.
- 89 Altoprev [package insert]. Atlanta, GA; Shionogi; March 2010.
- 90 Advicor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott Laboratories; February 2011.
- 91 Pravachol [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; May 2011.
- 92 Livalo [package insert]. Montgomery, AL; Kowa Pharmaceuticals; August 2011.
- 93 Crestor [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; May 2011.
- 94 Zocor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; October 2011.
- 95 Simcor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 96 Caduet [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; August 2011.
- 97 Simcor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 98 Lipitor [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; June 2009.
- 99 Vytorin [package insert]. North Wales, PA; Merck/Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals; November 2011.
- 100 Lescol [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; October 2006.
- 101 Lescol XL [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; July 2011.
- 102 Mevacor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; May 2010.
- 103 Altoprev [package insert]. Atlanta, GA; Shionogi; March 2010.
- 104 Advicor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott Laboratories; February 2011.
- 105 Simcor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 106 Livalo [package insert]. Montgomery, AL; Kowa Pharmaceuticals; August 2011.
- 107 Pravachol [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; May 2011.
- 108 Crestor [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; May 2011.
- 109 Zocor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; October 2011.
- 110 Caduet [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; August 2011.
- 111 Rubenfire M; Impact of Medical Subspecialty on Patient Compliance to Treatment Study Group. Safety and compliance with once-daily niacin extended-release/lovastatin as initial therapy in the Impact of Medical Subspecialty on Patient Compliance to Treatment (IMPACT) study. *Am J Cardiol.* 2004; 94(3):306-11.
- 112 Advicor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott Laboratories; February 2011.
- 113 Simcor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 114 Bays H, Sapre A, Taggart W, et al. Long-term (48-week) safety of ezetimibe 10 mg/day coadministered with simvastatin compared to simvastatin alone in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2008; 24(10):2953-2966.
- 115 Alsheikh-Ali AA, Ambrose MS, Kuvlin JT, et al. The Safety of Rosuvastatin as used in common clinical practice. A Postmarketing Analysis. *Circulation.* 2005; 111:3051-3057.
- 116 Grundy SM. The Issue of Statin Safety. *Circulation.* 2005; 111: 3016-3019.
- 117 Bays H. Statin Safety: Overview and Assessment of the data – 2005. *Am J Cardiol.* 2006; 97(suppl):6-26C.
- 118 Shepherd J, Vidt DG, Miller E, et al. Safety of rosuvastatin: update on 16,876 rosuvastatin-treated patients in a multinational clinical trial program. *Cardiology.* 2007; 107(4):433-443.
- 119 Davidson MH, Robinson JG. Safety of aggressive lipid management. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2007; 49(17):1753-1762.
- 120 Włodarczyk J, Sullivan D, Smith M. Comparison of benefits and risks of rosuvastatin versus atorvastatin from a meta-analysis of head-to-head randomized controlled trials. *Am J Cardiol.* 2008; 102(12):1654-1662.
- 121 Dale KM, Coleman CI, Henyan NH, et al. Statins and cancer risk: a meta-analysis. *JAMA.* 2006; 295(1):74-80.
- 122 Kuoppala J, Lamminpää A, Pukkala E. Statins and cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Cancer.* 2008 Aug. 14. Epub ahead of print.
- 123 Alsheikh-Ali AA, Maddukuri PV, Han H, et al. Effect of the magnitude of lipid lowering on risk of elevated liver enzymes, rhabdomyolysis, and cancer: insights from large randomized statin trials. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2007; 50(5):409-418.
- 124 Alsheikh-Ali AA, Trikalinos TA, Kent DA, et al. Statins, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, and risk of cancer. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2008; 52(14):1141-1147.
- 125 Cholesterol Treatment Trialists' (CTT) Collaboration. Efficacy and safety of more intensive lowering of LDL cholesterol: a meta-analysis of data from 170,000 participants in 26 randomised trials. *Lancet.* 2010; 376 (9753):1670-1681.
- 126 Ezetimibe revisited. *The Medical Letter.* 2008; 50(1293):67.
- 127 Robessø AB, Pedersen TR, Allen C, et al. Design and baseline characteristics of the simvastatin and ezetimibe in aortic stenosis (SEAS) study. *Am J Cardiol.* 2007; 99(7):970-973.
- 128 Rossebø AB, Pedersen TR, Boman K, et al. SEAS Investigators. Intensive lipid lowering with simvastatin and ezetimibe in aortic stenosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2008; 359(13):1343-1356.
- 129 Peto R, Emberson J, Landray M, et al. Analyses of cancer data from three ezetimibe trials. *N Engl J Med.* 2008; 359(13):1357-1366.
- 130 Available at:
<http://www.fda.gov/drugs/drugsafety/postmarketdrugsafetyinformationforpatientsandproviders/drugsafetyinformationforhealthcareprofessionals/ucm194964.htm>. Accessed November 17, 2011.

- 131 Cannon CP, Giugliano RP, Blazing MA, et al. Rationale and design of IMPROVE IT (IMProved Reduction of Outcomes: Vytorin Efficacy International Trial): comparison of ezetimibe/simvastatin versus simvastatin monotherapy on cardiovascular outcomes in patients with acute coronary syndrome. *Am Heart J*. 2008 Nov;156(5):826-32.
- 132 Baigent C, Landry M. Study of Heart and Renal Protection (SHARP). *Kidney Int*. 2003;63:Suppl 84:S207-S210.
- 133 <http://www.theheart.org/article/1155027.do>. Accessed November 17, 2011.
- 134 FDA Drug Safety Communication: New restrictions, contraindications, and dose limitations for Zocor (simvastatin) to reduce the risk of muscle injury. Available at: <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/ucm256581.htm>. Accessed November 17, 2011.
- 135 Drugs for lipids. Treatment guidelines from the Medical Letter. 2011; 9(103): 13-20.
- 136 Crestor [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; May 2011.
- 137 Livalo [package insert]. Montgomery, AL; Kowa Pharmaceuticals; August 2011.
- 138 Lipitor [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; June 2009.
- 139 Lescol [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; October 2006.
- 140 Mevacor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; May 2010.
- 141 Pravachol [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; May 2011.
- 142 Zocor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; October 2011.
- 143 Lescol XL [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; July 2011.
- 144 Altoprev [package insert Atlanta, GA; Shionogi; March 2010.
- 145 Crestor [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; May 2011.
- 146 Livalo [package insert]. Montgomery, AL; Kowa Pharmaceuticals; August 2011.
- 147 Newman CB, Palmer G, Silbershatz H, et al. Safety of atorvastatin derived from analysis of 44 completed trials in 9,416 patients. *Am J Cardiol*. 2003; 92(6):670-6.
- 148 Vytorin [package insert]. North Wales, PA; Merck/Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals; November 2011.
- 149 Advicor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott Laboratories; February 2011.
- 150 de Denuis S, Spinler SA, Miller K, et al. Statins and liver toxicity: a meta-analysis. *Pharmacotherapy*. 2004; 24(5):584-91.
- 151 Simcor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 152 Lipitor [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; June 2009.
- 153 Caduet [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; August 2011.
- 154 Crestor [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; May 2011.
- 155 Zocor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; October 2011.
- 156 Vytorin [package insert]. North Wales, PA; Merck/Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals; November 2011.
- 157 Simcor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 158 Lipitor [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; June 2009.
- 159 Lescol [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; October 2006.
- 160 Lescol XL [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; July 2011.
- 161 Mevacor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; May 2010.
- 162 Pravachol [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; May 2011.
- 163 Zocor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; October 2011.
- 164 Crestor [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; May 2011.
- 165 Clauss SB, Holmes KW, Hopkins P, et al. Efficacy and safety of lovastatin therapy in adolescent girls with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia. *Pediatrics*. 2005; 116(3):682-8.
- 166 McCrindle BW, Urbina EM, Dennison BA, et al. Drug therapy of high-risk lipid abnormalities in children and adolescents: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association atherosclerosis, hypertension, and obesity in youth committee, council of cardiovascular disease in the young, with the council on cardiovascular nursing. *Circulation*. 2007; 115:1948-1967. Available at: <http://circ.ahajournals.org/cgi/reprint/CIRCULATIONAHA.107.181946>. Accessed November 18, 2011.
- 167 Daniels SR, Benuck I, Christakis DA, et al. Expert Panel on Integrated Guidelines for Cardiovascular Health and Risk Reduction in Children and Adolescents: Summary Report. Available at: <http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/guidelines>. Accessed November 18, 2011.
- 168 Goldberg AC, Hopkins PN, Toth PP, et al. Familial hypercholesterolemia: screening, diagnosis, and management of pediatric and adult patients. Clinical guidance from the National Lipid Association Expert Panel on familial hypercholesterolemia *J Clin Lipidol*. 2011; 5(3):133-140. Available at: [http://www.lipidjournal.com/article/S1933-2874\(11\)00081-X/abstract](http://www.lipidjournal.com/article/S1933-2874(11)00081-X/abstract). Accessed November 18, 2011.
- 169 Nakamura H, Arakawa K, Itakura H, et al for the MEGA Study Group. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with pravastatin in Japan (MEGA Study): a prospective randomized controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2006; 368(9542):1152-63.
- 170 Lloret R, Ycas J, Stein M, et al for the STARSHIP Study Group. Comparison of rosuvastatin versus atorvastatin in Hispanic-Americans with hypercholesterolemia (from the STARSHIP trial). *Am J Cardiol*. 2006; 98(6):768-73.
- 171 Deedwania PC, Gupta M, Stein M, et al. Comparison of rosuvastatin versus atorvastatin in South-Asian patients at risk of coronary heart disease (from the IRIS Trial). *Am J Cardiol*. 2007; 99(11):1538-1543.
- 172 Crestor [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; May 2011.
- 173 Zhu JR, Tomlinson B, Ro YM, et al. A randomized study comparing the efficacy and safety of rosuvastatin with atorvastatin for achieving lipid goals in clinical practice in Asian patients at high risk of cardiovascular disease (DISCOVERY-Asia study). *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2007; 23(12):3055-3068.
- 174 Athyros VG, Kakafika AI, Papageorgiou AA, et al. Effects of statin treatment in men and women with stable coronary heart disease: a subgroup analysis of the GREACE Study. *Curr Res Med Opin*. 2008; 24(6):1593-1599.
- 175 Zocor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; October 2011.
- 176 FDA. FDA Drug Safety Communication. Available at: <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/PostmarketDrugSafetyInformationforPatientsandProviders/ucm204882.htm>. Accessed November 18, 2011.
- 177 Livalo [package insert]. Montgomery, AL; Kowa Pharmaceuticals; August 2011.
- 178 Albert MA, Glynn RJ, Fonseca FA, et al. Race, ethnicity, and the efficacy of rosuvastatin in primary prevention: the Justification for the Use of Statins in Prevention: an Intervention Trial Evaluating Rosuvastatin (JUPITER) trial. *Am Heart J*. 2011 Jul;162(1):106-14.e2. Epub 2011 Jun 12.

- 179 SHARP Collaborative Group. Study of Heart and Renal Protection (SHARP): Randomized trial to assess the effects of lowering low-density lipoprotein cholesterol among 9,438 patients with chronic kidney disease. *Am Heart J*. 2010; published online 20 September 2010.
- 180 <http://www.theheart.org/article/1155027.do>. Accessed November 18, 2011.
- 181 Baigent C, Landray MJ, Reith C, et al. The effects of lowering LDL cholesterol with simvastatin plus ezetimibe in patients with chronic kidney disease (Study of Heart and Renal Protection): a randomised placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2011 June 9; 377(9784): 2181–2192.
- 182 Lipitor [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; June 2009.
- 183 Lescol [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; October 2006.
- 184 Mevacor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; May 2010.
- 185 Pravachol [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; May 2011.
- 186 Zocor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; October 2011.
- 187 Lescol XL [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; July 2011.
- 188 Altoprev [package insert]. Atlanta, GA; Shionogi; March 2010.
- 189 Crestor [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; May 2011.
- 190 Vytorin [package insert]. North Wales, PA; Merck/Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals; November 2011.
- 191 Livalo [package insert]. Montgomery, AL; Kowa Pharmaceuticals; August 2011.
- 192 Advicor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott Laboratories; February 2011.
- 193 Caduet [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; August 2011.
- 194 Lipitor [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; June 2009.
- 195 Lescol [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; October 2006.
- 196 Mevacor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; May 2010.
- 197 Pravachol [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; May 2011.
- 198 Zocor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; October 2011.
- 199 Lescol XL [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; July 2011.
- 200 Altoprev [package insert]. Atlanta, GA; Shionogi; March 2010.
- 201 Crestor [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; May 2011.
- 202 Livalo [package insert]. Montgomery, AL; Kowa Pharmaceuticals; August 2011.
- 203 Vytorin [package insert]. North Wales, PA; Merck/Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals; November 2011.
- 204 Advicor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott Laboratories; February 2011.
- 205 Caduet [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; August 2011.
- 206 Advicor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott Laboratories; February 2011.
- 207 Available at <http://www.fda.gov/Drugs/DrugSafety/PostmarketDrugSafetyInformationforPatientsandProviders/ucm204882.htm>. Accessed November 18, 2011.
- 208 LaRosa JC, Grundy SM, Waters DD, et al for the Treating to New Targets (TNT) Investigators. Intensive lipid lowering with atorvastatin in patients with stable coronary disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2005; 352(14):1425-35.
- 209 Waters DD, LaRosa JC, Barter P, et al. Effects of high-dose atorvastatin on cerebrovascular events in patients with stable coronary disease in the TNT (treating to new targets) study. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2006; 48(9):1793-9.
- 210 Shepherd J, Breazna A, Barter P, et al for the Treating to New Targets Study. Effect of Lowering LDL Cholesterol Substantially Below Currently Recommended Levels in Patients With Coronary Heart Disease and Diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2006; 29:1220–1226.
- 211 Deedwania P, Barter P, Carmena R, et al for the Treating to New Targets Investigators. Reduction of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in patients with coronary heart disease and metabolic syndrome: analysis of the Treating to New Targets study. *Lancet*. 2006; 368(9539):919-28.
- 212 Khush KK, Waters DD, Bittner V, et al. Effect of high-dose atorvastatin on hospitalizations for heart failure; subgroup analysis of the Treating to New Targets (TNT) study. *Circulation*. 2007; 115(5):576-583.
- 213 Wegner NK, Lewis SJ, Herrington DM, et al. Outcomes of using high- or low-dose atorvastatin in patients 65 years of age or older with stable coronary heart disease. *Ann Intern Med*. 2007; 147(1):1-9.
- 214 Shepherd J, Kastelein JP, Bittner VA, et al. Treating to New Targets Steering Committee and Investigators. Intensive lipid lowering with atorvastatin in patients with coronary artery disease, diabetes, and chronic kidney disease. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2008; 83(8):870-879.
- 215 Sever PS, Dahlöf B, Poulte NR, et al. Prevention of coronary and stroke events with atorvastatin in hypertensive patients who have average or lower-than-average cholesterol concentrations, in the Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial--Lipid Lowering Arm (ASCOT-LLA): a multicentre randomized controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2003; 361:1149-58.
- 216 Colhoun HM, Betteridge DJ, Durrington PN, et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with atorvastatin in type 2 diabetes in the Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS): multicentre randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2004; 364(9435):685-96.
- 217 Thomason MJ, Colhoun HM, Livingstone SJ, et al. Baseline characteristics in the Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS) in patients with Type 2 diabetes. *Diabet Med*. 2004; 21(8):901-5.
- 218 Wanner C, Krane V, Marz W, et al for the German Diabetes and Dialysis Study Investigators. Atorvastatin in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus undergoing hemodialysis. *N Engl J Med*. 2005; 353:238-48.
- 219 Amarenco P, Bogousslavsky J, Callahan A 3rd, et al for the Stroke Prevention by Aggressive Reduction in Cholesterol Levels (SPARCL) Investigators. High-dose atorvastatin after stroke or transient ischemic attack. *N Engl J Med*. 2006; 355(6):549-59.
- 220 Amarenco P, Goldstein LB, Messig M, et al on behalf of the SPARCL Investigators. Relative and cumulative effects of lipid and blood pressure control in the Stroke Prevention by Aggressive Reduction in Cholesterol Levels trial. *Stroke*. 2009; 40(7):2486-2492.
- 221 Goldstein LB, Amarenco P, Szarek M, et al on behalf of the SPARCL Investigators. Hemorrhagic stroke in the Prevention by Aggressive Reduction in Cholesterol Levels study. *Neurology*. 2008; 70(24 Pt 2):2355-2356.
- 222 Knopp RH, d'Emden M, Smilde JG, et al. Efficacy and safety of atorvastatin in the prevention of cardiovascular end points in subjects with type 2 diabetes: the Atorvastatin Study for Prevention of Coronary Heart Disease Endpoints in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (ASPEN). *Diabetes Care*. 2006; 29(7):1478-85.
- 223 Nissen SE, Tuzcu EM, Schoenhagen P, et al. Effect of intensive compared with moderate lipid-lowering therapy on progression of coronary atherosclerosis: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2004; 291(9):1071-80.

- 224 Cannon CP, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, et al. Comparison of intensive and moderate lipid lowering with statins after acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med.* 2004; 350(15):1495-1504.
- 225 Ray KK, Cannon CP, McCabe CH, et al for the PROVE IT-TIMI 22 Investigators. Early and late benefits of high-dose atorvastatin in patients with acute coronary syndromes: results from the PROVE IT-TIMI 22 trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2005; 46(8):1405-10.
- 226 Miller M, Cannon CP, Murphy SA, et al for the PROVE-IT-TIMI 22 trial. Impact of triglyceride levels beyond low-density lipoprotein cholesterol after acute coronary syndrome in the PROVE IT-TIMI 22 trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2008; 51(7):724-730.
- 227 Deedwania P, Stone PH, Bairey Merz CN, et al. Effects of intensive versus moderate lipid-lowering therapy on myocardial ischemia in older patients with coronary heart disease: results of the Study Assessing Goals in the Elderly (SAGE). *Circulation.* 2007; 115(6):700-707.
- 228 Nicholls SJ, Ballantyne CM, Barter PJ, et al. Effect of Two Intensive Statin Regimens on Progression of Coronary Disease. published on-line November 15, 2011. Available at: <http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa1110874>. Accessed November 17, 2011.
- 229 Pedersen TR, Faergeman O, Kastelein JJ, et al for the Incremental Decrease in End Points Through Aggressive Lipid Lowering (IDEAL) Study Group. High-dose atorvastatin vs. usual-dose simvastatin for secondary prevention after myocardial infarction: the IDEAL study: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2005; 294(19):2437-45.
- 230 Strandberg TE, Holme I, Faergeman O, et al. IDEAL Study Group. Comparative effects of atorvastatin (80 mg) versus simvastatin (20 to 40 mg) in preventing hospitalizations for heart failure in patients with previous myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol.* 2009; 103(10):1381-1385.
- 231 Caduet [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; August 2011.
- 232 Bays HE, Ose L, Fraser N, et al for the Ezetimibe Study Group. A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, factorial design study to evaluate the lipid-altering efficacy and safety profile of the ezetimibe/simvastatin tablet compared with ezetimibe and simvastatin monotherapy in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia. *Clin Ther.* 2004; 26(11):1758-73.
- 233 Feldman T, Koren M, Insull W Jr, et al. Treatment of high-risk patients with ezetimibe plus simvastatin co-administration versus simvastatin alone to attain National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III low-density lipoprotein cholesterol goals. *Am J Cardiol.* 2004; 93(12):1481-6.
- 234 Goldberg AC, Sapre A, Liu J, et al. Efficacy and safety of ezetimibe coadministered with simvastatin in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2004; 79(5):620-9.
- 235 Davidson MH, McGarry T, Bettis R, et al. Ezetimibe coadministered with simvastatin in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2002; 40(12):2125-34.
- 236 Ballantyne CM, Abate N, Yuan Z, et al. Dose-comparison study of the combination of ezetimibe and simvastatin (Vytorin) versus atorvastatin in patients with hypercholesterolemia. The Vytorin Versus Atorvastatin (VYVA) Study. *Am Heart J.* 2005; 149:464-73.
- 237 Goldberg RB, Guyton JR, Mazzone T, et al. Ezetimibe/simvastatin vs. atorvastatin in patients with type 2 diabetes and hypercholesterolemia: the VYTAL study. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2006; 81(12):1579-1588.
- 238 Catapano AL, Davidson MH, Ballantyne CM, et al. Lipid-altering efficacy of the ezetimibe/simvastatin single tablet versus rosuvastatin in hypercholesterolemic patients. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2006; 22(10):2041-53.
- 239 Kastelein JJ, Sager PT, de Groot E, et al. Comparison of ezetimibe plus simvastatin versus simvastatin monotherapy on atherosclerosis progression in familial hypercholesterolemia. Design and rationale of the ezetimibe and simvastatin in hypercholesterolemia enhances atherosclerosis regression (ENHANCE) trial. *Am Heart J.* 2005; 149(2):234-239.
- 240 Kastelein JJ, Akdim F, Stroes ES, et al. ENHANCE Investigators. Simvastatin with or without ezetimibe in familial hypercholesterolemia. *N Engl J Med.* 2008; 358(14):1431-1443.
- 241 <http://www.cardiosource.org/News-Media/Media-Center/News-Releases/2008/01/15.aspx>. Accessed November 18, 2011.
- 242 National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE). Ezetimibe for the treatment of primary (heterozygous-familial and non-familial) hypercholesterolemia. London (UK): National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE); 2007 (Technology appraisal guidance; no. 132).
- 243 Rossebø AB, Pedersen TR, Boman K, et al. SEAS Investigators. Intensive lipid lowering with simvastatin and ezetimibe in aortic stenosis. *N Engl J Med.* 2008; 359(13):1343-1356.
- 244 Peto R, Emberson J, Landray M, et al. Analyses of cancer data from three ezetimibe trials. *N Engl J Med.* 2008; 359(13):1357-1366.
- 245 Available at: <http://www.fda.gov/drugs/drugsafety/postmarketdrugsafetyinformationforpatientsandproviders/drugsafetyinformationforhealthcareprofessionals/ucm194964.htm>. Accessed November 18, 2011.
- 246 <http://www.theheart.org/article/1155027.do>. Accessed November 18, 2011.
- 247 SHARP Collaborative Group. Study of Heart and Renal Protection (SHARP): Randomized trial to assess the effects of lowering low-density lipoprotein cholesterol among 9,438 patients with chronic kidney disease. *Am Heart J.* 2010; published online 20 September 2010.
- 248 <http://www.theheart.org/article/1155027.do>. Accessed November 18, 2011.
- 249 Serruys P, de Feyter P, Macaya C, et al. Fluvastatin for prevention of cardiac events following successful first percutaneous coronary intervention: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2002; 287:3215-3222.
- 250 Saia F, de Feyter P, Serruys PW, et al. Effect of fluvastatin on long-term outcome after coronary revascularization with stent implantation. *Am J Cardiol.* 2004; 93(1):92-5.
- 251 Holdaas H, Fellstrom B, Jardine AG, et al. Effect of fluvastatin on cardiac outcomes in renal transplant recipients: a multicentre, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet.* 2003; 361(9374):2024-31.
- 252 Holdaas H, Fellstrom B, Cole E, et al for the Assessment of LEscol in Renal Transplantation (ALERT) Study Investigators. Long-term cardiac outcomes in renal transplant recipients receiving fluvastatin: the ALERT extension study. *Am J Transplant.* 2005; 5(12):2929-36.
- 253 Bays HE, Dujovne CA, McGovern ME, et al. Comparison of Once-Daily, Niacin Extended-Release/lovastatin with standard doses of atorvastatin and simvastatin (the Advicor versus other Cholesterol-Modulating agents trial evaluation [ADVOCATE]). *Am J Cardiol.* 2003; 91; 667-72.
- 254 McKenney JM, Jones PH, Bays HE, et al. Comparative effects on lipid levels of combination therapy with a statin and extended-release niacin or ezetimibe versus a statin alone (the COMPELL study). *Atherosclerosis.* 2007; 192(2):432-437.
- 255 Ballantyne CM, Davidson MH, McKenney JM, et al. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of a combination tablet of niacin extended-release and simvastatin with simvastatin 80 mg monotherapy: the SEACOAST II (high-dose) study. *J Clin Lipidology.* 2008; 101(10):1428-1436.
- 256 Simcor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 257 Livalo [package insert]. Montgomery, AL; Kowa Pharmaceuticals; August 2011.
- 258 Saito Y, Yamada N, Teramoto T, et al. A randomized, double-blind trial comparing the efficacy and safety of pitavastatin versus pravastatin in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia. *Atherosclerosis* 2002; 162:373-379.

- 259 Ose L, Budinski D, Hounslow N, et al. Comparison of pitavastatin with simvastatin in primary hypercholesterolaemia or combined dyslipidaemia. *CMRO* 2009; 25:2755-2764.
- 260 Ose L, Budinski D, Hounslow N, et al. Long-term treatment with pitavastatin is effective and well-tolerated by patients with primary hypercholesterolemia or combined dyslipidemia. *Atherosclerosis*. 2010; 210(1):202-208.
- 261 Budinski D, Arneson V, Hounslow N, et al. Pitavastatin compared with atorvastatin in primary hypercholesterolemia or combined dyslipidemia. *Clin Lipidol* 2009;4:291-302
- 262 Kurihara Y, Douzono T, Koji K, et al. A large-scale, long-term, prospective post-marketing surveillance of pitavastatin (Livalo tablet) -Livalo effectiveness and safety (LIVES) study. *Jpn Pharmacol Ther*. 2008; 36(8):709-731.
- 263 Hiro T, Kimura T, Morimoto T, et al. JAPAN-ACS Investigators. Effect of intensive statin therapy on regression of coronary atherosclerosis in patients with acute coronary syndrome: a multicenter randomized trial evaluated by volumetric intravascular ultrasound using pitavastatin versus atorvastatin (JAPAN-ACS [Japan assessment of pitavastatin and atorvastatin in acute coronary syndrome] study). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2009; 54(4):293-302.
- 264 Ose L, Budinski D, et al. Comparison of pitavastatin with simvastatin in primary hypercholesterolaemia or combined dyslipidaemia. *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2009; 25(11):2755-2764.
- 265 ALLHAT Officers and Coordinators for the ALLHAT Collaborative Research Group. Major outcomes in moderately hypercholesterolemic, hypertensive patients randomized to pravastatin vs. usual care: The Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT-LLT). *JAMA*. 2002; 288:2998-3007.
- 266 Sheperd J, Cobbe SM, Ford I. Prevention of coronary heart disease with pravastatin in men with hypercholesterolemia. West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study group. *N Engl J Med*. 1995; 333(20):1301-1307.
- 267 Ford I, Murray H, Packard CJ et al for West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study Group. Long-term follow-up of the West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study. *N Engl J Med*. 2007; 357(15):1477-1486.
- 268 Olsson AG, Pears J, McKellar J, et al. Effect of rosuvastatin on low-density lipoprotein cholesterol in patients with hypercholesterolemia. *Am J Cardiol*. 2001; 88(5):504-8.
- 269 Schneck DW, Knopp RH, Ballantyne CM, et al. Comparative effects of rosuvastatin and atorvastatin across their dose ranges in patients with hypercholesterolemia and without active arterial disease. *Am J Cardiol*. 2003; 91(1):33-41.
- 270 Stein EA, Strutt K, Southworth H, et al. Comparison of rosuvastatin versus atorvastatin in patients with heterozygous familial hypercholesterolemia. *Am J Cardiol*. 2003; 92(11):1287-93.
- 271 Paoletti R, Fahmy M, Mahla G, et al. Rosuvastatin demonstrates greater reduction of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol compared with pravastatin and simvastatin in hypercholesterolaemic patients: a randomized, double-blind study. *J Cardiovasc Risk*. 2001; 8(6):383-90.
- 272 Blasetto JW, Stein EA, Brown WV, et al. Efficacy of rosuvastatin compared with other statins at selected starting doses in hypercholesterolemic patients and in special population groups. *Am J Cardiol*. 2003; 91(5A):3C-10C.
- 273 Shepherd J, Hunninghake DB, Barter P, et al. Guidelines for lowering lipids to reduce coronary artery disease risk: a comparison of rosuvastatin with atorvastatin, pravastatin, and simvastatin for achieving lipid-lowering goals. *Am J Cardiol*. 2003; 91(5A):11C-17C.
- 274 Jones PH, Davidson MH, Stein EA, et al. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of rosuvastatin versus atorvastatin, simvastatin, and pravastatin across doses (STELLAR Trial). *Am J Cardiol*. 2003; 92(2):152-60.
- 275 Schwartz GG, Bolognese MA, Tremblay BP, et al. Efficacy and safety of rosuvastatin and atorvastatin in patients with hypercholesterolemia and a high risk of coronary heart disease: a randomized, controlled trial. *Am Heart J*. 2004; 148(1):e4.
- 276 Strandberg TE, Feely J, Sigurdsson EL for the DISCOVERY study group. Twelve-week, multicenter, randomized, open-label comparison of the effects of rosuvastatin 10 mg/d and atorvastatin 10 mg/d in high-risk adults: a DISCOVERY study. *Clin Ther*. 2004; 26(11):1821-33.
- 277 Schuster H, Barter PJ, Stender S, et al for the Effective Reductions in Cholesterol Using Rosuvastatin Therapy I study group. Effects of switching statins on achievement of lipid goals: Measuring Effective Reductions in Cholesterol Using Rosuvastatin Therapy (MERCURY I) study. *Am Heart J*. 2004; 147(4):705-13.
- 278 Stender S, Schuster H, Barter P, et al for the MERCURY I Study Group. Comparison of rosuvastatin with atorvastatin, simvastatin and pravastatin in achieving cholesterol goals and improving plasma lipids in hypercholesterolaemic patients with or without the metabolic syndrome in the MERCURY I trial. *Diabetes Obes Metab*. 2005; 7(4):430-8.
- 279 Olsson AG, Istad H, Luurila O, et al for the Rosuvastatin Investigators Group. Effects of rosuvastatin and atorvastatin compared over 52 weeks of treatment in patients with hypercholesterolemia. *Am Heart J*. 2002; 144(6):1044-51.
- 280 Ballantyne CM, Bertolami M, Hernandez Garcia HR, et al. Achieving LDL cholesterol, non-HDL cholesterol, and apolipoprotein B target levels in high-risk patients: Measuring Effective Reductions in Cholesterol Using Rosuvastatin therapy (MERCURY) II. *Am Heart J*. 2006; 151(5):e1-9.
- 281 Stalenhoef AF, Ballantyne CM, Sarti C, et al. A comparative study with rosuvastatin in subjects with metabolic syndrome: results of the COMETS study. *Eur Heart J*. 2005; 26(24):2664-72.
- 282 Milionis HJ, Rizos E, Kostapanos M, et al. Treating to target patients with primary hyperlipidaemia: comparison of the effects of ATORvastatin and ROSuvastatin (the ATOROS study). *Curr Med Res Opin*. 2006; 22(6):1123-31.
- 283 Insull W Jr, Ghali JK, Hassman DR, et al. Achieving low-density lipoprotein cholesterol goals in high-risk patients in managed care: comparison of rosuvastatin, atorvastatin, and simvastatin in the SOLAR trial. *Mayo Clin Proc*. 2007; 82(5):543-550.
- 284 Asztalos BF, Le Maulf F, Dallal GE, et al. Comparison of the effects of high doses of rosuvastatin versus atorvastatin on the subpopulations of high-density lipoproteins. *Am J Cardiol*. 2007; 99(5):681-685.
- 285 Nissen SE, Nicholls SJ, Sipahi I, et al for the ASTEROID Investigators. Effect of Very High-Intensity Statin Therapy on Regression of Coronary Atherosclerosis. The ASTEROID Trial. *JAMA*. 2006; 295: 1556 – 1565.
- 286 Crouse JR 3rd, Raichlen JS, Riley WA, et al for the METEOR Study Group. Effect of rosuvastatin on progression of carotid intima-media thickness in low-risk individuals with subclinical atherosclerosis: the METEOR Trial. *JAMA*. 2007; 297(12):1344-1353.
- 287 Ridker PM, and the JUPITER Study Group. Rosuvastatin in the primary prevention of cardiovascular disease among patients with low levels of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and elevated high-sensitivity C-reactive protein: rationale and design of the JUPITER trial. *Circulation*. 2003; 108(19):2292-2297.
- 288 Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FAH, et al for the JUPITER Study Group. Rosuvastatin to prevent vascular events in men and women with elevated C-reactive protein. *N Engl J Med*. 2008; 359(21):2195-2207.
- 289 Vaccarino V, Bremner JD, Kelley ME. JUPITER : A Few Words of Caution. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2009; 2: 286-288.

- 290 Everett BM, Glynn RJ, MacFadyen JG, et al. Rosuvastatin in the prevention of stroke among men and women with elevated levels of C-reactive protein: justification for the use of statins in prevention: an intervention trial evaluating rosuvastatin (JUPITER). *Circulation*. 2010; 121(1):143-150.
- 291 Rosuvastatin (Crestor) for high C-reactive protein (CRP). *Pharmacist's Letter*. 2010; 26(3):260302.
- 292 Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FA, et al. JUPITER Trial Study Group. Reduction in c-reactive protein and LDL cholesterol and cardiovascular event rates after initiation of rosuvastatin: a prospective study of the JUPITER trial. *Lancet*. 2009; 373(9670):1175-1182.
- 293 Fellström BC, Jardine AG, Schmieder RE, et al for the AURORA Study Group. Rosuvastatin and cardiovascular events in patients undergoing hemodialysis. *N Engl J Med*. 2009; 360(14):1395-1407.
- 294 Heart Protection Study Collaborative Group. MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study of cholesterol lowering with simvastatin in 20,536 high-risk individuals: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2002; 360:7-22.
- 295 Collins R, Armitage J, Parish S, et al. MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study of cholesterol-lowering with simvastatin in 5963 people with diabetes: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2003; 361(9374):2005-16.
- 296 Collins R, Armitage J, Parish S, et al. Effects of cholesterol-lowering with simvastatin on stroke and other major vascular events in 20536 people with cerebrovascular disease or other high-risk conditions. *Lancet*. 2004; 363(9411):757-67.
- 297 Heart Protection Study Collaborative Group. Randomized trial of the effects of cholesterol-lowering with simvastatin on peripheral vascular and other major vascular outcomes in 20,536 people with peripheral arterial disease and other high-risk conditions. *J Vasc Surg*. 2007; 45(4):645-654.
- 298 Heart Protection Study Collaborative Group. C-reactive protein concentration and the vascular benefits of statin therapy: an analysis of 20,536 patients in the Heart Protection Study. *Lancet*. 2011; 377(9764):469-476.
- 299 de Lemos JA, Blazing MA, Wiviott SD, et al. Early intensive vs. a delayed conservative simvastatin strategy in patients with acute coronary syndromes: phase Z of the A to Z trial. *JAMA*. 2004; 292(11):1307-16.
- 300 Study of the Effectiveness of Additional Reductions in Cholesterol and Homocysteine (SEARCH) Collaborative Group. Intensive lowering of LDL cholesterol with 80 mg versus 20 mg simvastatin daily in 12 064 survivors of myocardial infarction: a double-blind randomised trial. *Lancet*. 2010; 376(9753):1658-1669.
- 301 Sever PS, Dahlöf B, Poulte NR, et al. Prevention of coronary and stroke events with atorvastatin in hypertensive patients who have average or lower-than-average cholesterol concentrations, in the Anglo-Scandinavian Cardiac Outcomes Trial--Lipid Lowering Arm (ASCOT-LLA): a multicenter randomized controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2003; 361:1149-58.
- 302 Colhoun HM, Betteridge DJ, Durrington PN, et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with atorvastatin in type 2 diabetes in the Collaborative Atorvastatin Diabetes Study (CARDS): multicenter randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2004; 364(9435):685-96.
- 303 Schwartz GG, Olsson AG, Ezekowitz MD, et al. Effects of atorvastatin on early recurrent ischemic events in acute coronary syndromes. The MIRACL study: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2001; 285(13):1711-1718.
- 304 Athyros VG, Papageorgiou AA, Mercouris BR, et al. Treatment with atorvastatin to the National Cholesterol Educational Program versus usual care in secondary coronary heart disease prevention. The GREek Atorvastatin and Coronary heart-disease evaluation (GREACE) study. *Current Medical Research and Opinion*. 2002; 18:220-228.
- 305 Cannon CP, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, et al. Comparison of intensive and moderate lipid lowering with statins after acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med*. 2004; 350(15):14-95-1504.
- 306 LaRosa JC, Grundy SM, Waters DD, et al for the Treating to New Targets (TNT) Investigators. Intensive lipid lowering with atorvastatin in patients with stable coronary disease. *N Engl J Med*. 2005; 352(14):1425-35.
- 307 Pedersen TR, Faergeman O, Kastelein JJP, et al for the Incremental Decrease in End Points Through Aggressive Lipid Lowering (IDEAL) Study Group. High-Dose Atorvastatin vs. Usual-Dose Simvastatin for Secondary Prevention After Myocardial Infarction. The IDEAL Study: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *JAMA*. 2005; 294:2437-2445.
- 308 Knopp RH, d'Emden M, Smilde JG, et al. Efficacy and safety of atorvastatin in the prevention of cardiovascular end points in subjects with type 2 diabetes: the Atorvastatin Study for Prevention of Coronary Heart Disease Endpoints in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus (ASPEN). *Diabetes Care*. 2006; 29(7):1478-1485.
- 309 Amarenco P, Bogousslavsky J, Callahan A 3rd, et al for the Stroke Prevention by Aggressive Reduction in Cholesterol Levels (SPARCL) Investigators. High-dose atorvastatin after stroke or transient ischemic attack. *N Engl J Med*. 2006; 355(6):549-559.
- 310 Serruys P, de Feyter P, Macay C, et al. Fluvastatin for prevention of cardiac events following successful first percutaneous coronary intervention: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2002; 287:3215-3222.
- 311 Downs JR, Clearfield M, Weis S, et al. Primary prevention of acute coronary events with lovastatin in men and women with average cholesterol levels: results of AFCAPS/TexCAPS. Air Force/Texas Coronary Atherosclerosis Prevention Study. *JAMA*. 1998; 279:1615-1622.
- 312 Shepherd J, Cobbe SM, Ford I, et al. Prevention of coronary heart disease with pravastatin in men with hypercholesterolemia. West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1995; 333:1301-1307.
- 313 Shepherd J, Blauw GJ, Murphy MB, et al. PROSPER study group. Pravastatin in elderly individuals at risk of vascular disease (PROSPER): a randomized controlled trial. PROSpective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk. *Lancet*. 2002; 360 (9346):1623-1630.
- 314 ALLHAT Officers and Coordinators for the ALLHAT Collaborative Research Group. Major outcomes in moderately hypercholesterolemic, hypertensive patients randomized to pravastatin vs. usual care: The Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT-LLT). *JAMA*. 2002; 288:2998-3007.
- 315 Nakamura H, Arakawa K, Itakura H, et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular disease with pravastatin in Japan (MEGA Study): a prospective randomized controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2006; 368(9542):1155-1163.
- 316 Sacks FM, Pfeffer MA, Moye LA, et al. The effect of pravastatin on coronary events after myocardial infarction in patients with average cholesterol levels. *N Engl J Med*. 1996; 335:1001-1009.
- 317 The LIPID Study Group (Long-term Intervention with Pravastatin in Ischemic Disease). Prevention of cardiovascular events and death with pravastatin in patients with coronary heart disease and a broad range of initial cholesterol levels. *N Engl J Med*. 1998; 339:1349-1357.
- 318 Shepherd J, Blauw GJ, Murphy MB, et al. PROSPER study group. Pravastatin in elderly individuals at risk of vascular disease (PROSPER): a randomized controlled trial. PROSpective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk. *Lancet*. 2002; 360 (9346):1623-1630.
- 319 Thompson PL, Meredith I, Amerena J, et al. Effect of pravastatin compared with placebo initiated within 24 hours of onset of acute myocardial infarction or unstable angina: the Pravastatin in Acute Coronary Treatment (PACT) trial. *Am Heart J*. 2004; 148(1):e2.
- 320 Cannon CP, Braunwald E, McCabe CH, et al. Comparison of intensive and moderate lipid lowering with statins after acute coronary syndromes. *N Engl J Med*. 2004; 350(15):1495-1504.

- 321 Ridker PM, Danielson E, Fonseca FAH, et al. for the JUPITER Study Group. Rosuvastatin to prevent vascular events in men and women with elevated C-reactive protein. *N Engl J Med*. 2008; 359(21):2195-2207.
- 322 Heart Protection Study Collaborative Group. MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study of cholesterol lowering with simvastatin in 20,536 high-risk individuals: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2002; 360:7-22.
- 323 Randomized trial of cholesterol lowering in 4444 patients with coronary heart disease: The Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S). *Lancet*. 1994; 344:1383-1389.
- 324 Heart Protection Study Collaborative Group. MRC/BHF Heart Protection Study of cholesterol lowering with simvastatin in 20,536 high-risk individuals: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2002; 360:7-22.
- 325 de Lemos JA, Blazing MA, Wiviott SD, et al. Early intensive vs. a delayed conservative simvastatin strategy in patients with acute coronary syndromes: phase Z of the A to Z trial. *JAMA*. 2004; 292(11):1307-1316.
- 326 Pedersen TR, Faergeman O, Kastelein JJP, et al for the Incremental Decrease in End Points Through Aggressive Lipid Lowering (IDEAL) Study Group. High-Dose Atorvastatin vs. Usual-Dose Simvastatin for Secondary Prevention After Myocardial Infarction. The IDEAL Study: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *JAMA*. 2005; 294:2437-2445.
- 327 Lipitor [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; June 2009.
- 328 Lescol [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; October 2006.
- 329 Lescol XL [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; July 2011.
- 330 Mevacor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; May 2010.
- 331 Pravachol [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; May 2011.
- 332 Zocor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; October 2011.
- 333 Altoprev [package insert]. Atlanta, GA; Shionogi; March 2010.
- 334 Schwartz GG, Olsson AG, Ezekowitz MD, et al. Effects of Atorvastatin on Early Recurrent Ischemic Events in Acute Coronary Syndromes. The MIRACL Study: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *JAMA*. 2001; 285(13):1711-1718.
- 335 McKenney JM. The lipid-lowering formulary. *Am J Managed Care*. 1996; 2(Suppl):S48-S58.
- 336 Herd JA, Ballantyne CM, Farmer JA, et al. Effects of fluvastatin on coronary atherosclerosis in patients with mild to moderate cholesterol elevations (Lipoprotein and Coronary Atherosclerosis Study [LCAS]). *Am J Cardiol*. 1997; 80:278-286.
- 337 Ballantyne CM, Pazzucconi F, Pinto X, et al. Efficacy and tolerability of fluvastatin extended-release delivery system: a pooled analysis. *Clin Ther*. 2001; 23(2):177-192.
- 338 Blankenhorn DH, Azen SP, Krams DM, et al. Coronary angiographic changes with lovastatin therapy. The Monitored Atherosclerosis Regression Study (MARS). The MARS Research Group. *Ann Intern Med*. 1993; 119:969-976.
- 339 Crouse JR, Lukacsko P, Niecestro R, et al. Dose response, safety and efficacy of an extended-release formulation of Lovastatin in adults with hypercholesterolemia. *Am J Cardiol*. 2002; 89:226-228.
- 340 Davidson MH, Lukacsko P, Sun JX, et al. A multiple-dose pharmacodynamic, safety, and pharmacokinetic comparison of extended- and immediate-release formulations of lovastatin. *Clin Ther*. 2002; 24:112-125.
- 341 Shepherd J, Cobbe SM, Ford I, et al. Prevention of coronary heart disease with pravastatin in men with hypercholesterolemia. West of Scotland Coronary Prevention Study Group. *N Engl J Med*. 1995; 333:1301-1307.
- 342 Stein E, Plotkin D, Bays H, et al. Effects of simvastatin (40 and 80mg/day) in patients with mixed hyperlipidemia. *Am J Cardiol*. 2000; 86:406-411.
- 343 MAAS Investigators. Effect of simvastatin on coronary atheroma: The Multicenter Anti-Atheroma Study (MAAS). *Lancet*. 1994; 344: 633-638.
- 344 Bays HE, Dujovne CA, McGovern ME, et al. Comparison of Once-Daily, niacin Extended-Release/lovastatin with standard doses of atorvastatin and simvastatin (the Advicor versus other Cholesterol-Modulating agents trial evaluation [ADVOCATE]). *Am J Cardiol*. 2003; 91; 667.
- 345 Crestor [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; May 2011.
- 346 Vytorin [package insert]. North Wales, PA; Merck/Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals; November 2011.
- 347 Advicor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott Laboratories; February 2011.
- 348 Jones PH, McKenney JM, Karalis DG, et al. Comparison of the efficacy and safety of atorvastatin initiated at different starting doses in patients with dyslipidemia. *Am Heart J*. 2005; 149(1):e1.
- 349 Bays HE, Ose L, Fraser N, et al for the Ezetimibe Study Group. A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, factorial design study to evaluate the lipid-altering efficacy and safety profile of the ezetimibe/simvastatin tablet compared with ezetimibe and simvastatin monotherapy in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia. *Clin Ther*. 2004; 26(11):1758-73.
- 350 Ballantyne CM, Blazing MA, King TR, et al. Efficacy and safety of ezetimibe co-administered with simvastatin compared with atorvastatin in adults with hypercholesterolemia. *Am J Cardiol*. 2004; 93(12):1487-94.
- 351 Nissen SE, Tuzcu EM, Schoenhagen P, et al. Effect of intensive compared with moderate lipid-lowering therapy on progression of coronary atherosclerosis: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2004; 291(9):1071-80.
- 352 ALLHAT Officers and Coordinators for the ALLHAT Collaborative Research Group. Major outcomes in moderately hypercholesterolemic, hypertensive patients randomized to pravastatin vs. usual care: The Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT-LLT). *JAMA*. 2002; 288:2998-3007.
- 353 Davidson MH, McGarry T, Bettis R, et al. Ezetimibe coadministered with simvastatin in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2002; 40(12):2125-34.
- 354 Stein EA, Amerena J, Ballantyne CM, et al. Long-term efficacy and safety of rosuvastatin 40 mg in patients with severe hypercholesterolemia. *Am J Cardiol*. 2007; 100(9):1387-1396.
- 355 Simcor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 356 Livalo [package insert]. Montgomery, AL; Kowa Pharmaceuticals; August 2011.
- 357 Lipitor [package insert]. New York, NY; Pfizer; June 2009.
- 358 Lescol [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; October 2006.
- 359 Lescol XL [package insert]. East Hanover, NJ; Novartis Pharmaceutical Corporation; July 2011.
- 360 Mevacor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; May 2010.
- 361 Pravachol [package insert]. Princeton, NJ; Bristol-Myers Squibb; May 2011.
- 362 Zocor [package insert]. Whitehouse Station, NJ; Merck; October 2011.
- 363 Altoprev [package insert]. Atlanta, GA; Shionogi; March 2010.

- 364 Blankenhorn DH, Azen SP, Krams DM, et al. Coronary angiographic changes with lovastatin therapy. The Monitored Atherosclerosis Regression Study (MARS). The MARS Research Group. *Ann Intern Med.* 1993; 119:969-976.
- 365 Downs JR, Clearfield M, Weis S, et al. Primary prevention of acute coronary events with lovastatin in men and women with average cholesterol levels: results of AFCAPS/TexCAPS. *Air Force/Texas Coronary Atherosclerosis Prevention Study. JAMA.* 1998; 279:1615-1622.
- 366 Downs JR, Beere PA, Whitney E, et al. Design & rationale of the Air Force/Texas coronary atherosclerosis prevention study (AFCAPS/TexCAPS). *Am J Cardiol.* 1997; 80:287-293.
- 367 Stein E, Plotkin D, Bays H, et al. Effects of simvastatin (40 and 80mg/day) in patients with mixed hyperlipidemia. *Am J Cardiol.* 2000; 86:406-411.
- 368 MAAS Investigators. Effect of simvastatin on coronary atheroma: The Multicenter Anti-Atheroma Study (MAAS). *Lancet.* 1994; 344: 633-638.
- 369 Randomized trial of cholesterol lowering in 4444 patients with coronary heart disease: The Scandinavian Simvastatin Survival Study (4S). *Lancet.* 1994; 344:1383-1389.
- 370 Crestor [package insert]. Wilmington, DE; AstraZeneca; May 2011.
- 371 Olsson AG, Eriksson M, Johnson O, et al. A 52-week, multicenter, randomized, parallel-group, double-blind, double-dummy study to assess the efficacy of atorvastatin and simvastatin in reaching low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and triglyceride targets: the treat-to-target (3T) study. *Clin Ther.* 2003; 25(1):119-38.
- 372 Vytorin [package insert]. North Wales, PA; Merck/Schering-Plough Pharmaceuticals; November 2011.
- 373 Advicor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott Laboratories; February 2011.
- 374 Bays HE, Ose L, Fraser N, et al for the Ezetimibe Study Group. A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, factorial design study to evaluate the lipid-altering efficacy and safety profile of the ezetimibe/simvastatin tablet compared with ezetimibe and simvastatin monotherapy in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia. *Clin Ther.* 2004; 26(11):1758-73.
- 375 Ballantyne CM, Blazing MA, King TR, et al. Efficacy and safety of ezetimibe co-administered with simvastatin compared with atorvastatin in adults with hypercholesterolemia. *Am J Cardiol.* 2004; 93(12):1487-94.
- 376 Nissen SE, Tuzcu EM, Schoenhagen P, et al. Effect of intensive compared with moderate lipid-lowering therapy on progression of coronary atherosclerosis: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2004; 291(9):1071-80.
- 377 ALLHAT Officers and Coordinators for the ALLHAT Collaborative Research Group. Major outcomes in moderately hypercholesterolemic, hypertensive patients randomized to pravastatin vs. usual care: The Antihypertensive and Lipid-Lowering Treatment to Prevent Heart Attack Trial (ALLHAT-LLT). *JAMA.* 2002; 288:2998-3007.
- 378 Davidson MH, McGarry T, Bettis R, et al. Ezetimibe coadministered with simvastatin in patients with primary hypercholesterolemia. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2002; 40(12):2125-34.
- 379 Simcor [package insert]. North Chicago, IL; Abbott; June 2011.
- 380 Livalo [package insert]. Montgomery, AL; Kowa Pharmaceuticals; August 2011.
- 381 Cannon CP, Steinberg BA, Murphy SA, et al. Meta-analysis of cardiovascular outcomes trials comparing intensive versus moderate statin therapy. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2006; 48(3):438-45.
- 382 Silva M, Matthews ML, Jarvis C, et al. Meta-analysis of drug-induced adverse events associated with intensive-dose statin therapy. *Clin Ther.* 2007; 29(2):253-260.
- 383 Baigent C, Keech A, Kearney PM, et al for the Cholesterol Treatment Trialists' (CTT) Collaborators. Efficacy and safety of cholesterol-lowering treatment: prospective meta-analysis of data from 90,056 participants in 14 randomized trials of statins. *Lancet.* 2005; 366(9493):1267-78.
- 384 Rogers SL, Magliano DJ, Levison DB, et al. A dose-specific meta-analysis of lipid changes in randomized controlled trials of atorvastatin and simvastatin. *Clin Ther.* 2007; 29(2):242-252.
- 385 Dale KM, Coleman CI, Shah SA, et al. Impact of gender on statin efficacy. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2007; 23(3):565-574.
- 386 Mikhailidis DP, Sibbring GC, Ballantyne CM, et al. Meta-analysis of the cholesterol-lowering effect of ezetimibe added to ongoing statin therapy. *Curr Med Res Opin.* 2007; 23(8):2009-2026.
- 387 Kashani A, Sallam T, Bheemreddy S, et al. Review of side-effect profile of combination ezetimibe and statin therapy in randomized clinical trial. *Am J Cardiol.* 2008; 101(11):1606-1613.
- 388 Messerli FH, Pinto L, Tang SS, et al. Impact of systemic hypertension on the cardiovascular benefits of statin therapy-a meta-analysis. *Am J Cardiol.* 2008; 101(3):319-325.
- 389 Josan K, Majumdar SR, McAlister FA. The efficacy and safety of intensive statin therapy: a meta-analysis of randomized trials. *CMAJ.* 2008; 178(5):576-584.
- 390 Mills EJ, Rachlis B, Wu P, et al. Primary prevention of cardiovascular mortality and events with statin treatments: a network meta-analysis involving more than 65,000 patients. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2008; 52(22):1769-1781.
- 391 Ray KR, Seshasai RS, Erqou S, et al. Statins and all-cause mortality in high-risk primary prevention. A meta-analysis of 11 randomized controlled trials involving 65,229 participants. *Arch Intern Med.* 2010; 170(12):1024-1031.